

## GROWTH NEAR THE BOUNDARY IN $H^2(\mu)$ SPACES<sup>1</sup>

THOMAS KRIETE AND TAVAN TRENT

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $H^2(\mu)$  be the closure in  $L^2(\mu)$  of the complex polynomials, where  $\mu$  is a finite Borel measure supported on the closed unit disk in the complex plane. For  $|z| < 1$ , let  $E(z) \equiv \sup |p(z)|/\|p\|$  where the supremum is over all polynomials  $p$  whose  $L^2(\mu)$  norm  $\|p\|$  is nonzero. An inequality is derived asymptotically relating  $E(z)$  (as  $z$  tends to the unit circle) to the part of  $\mu$  supported on the unit circle. The interplay between  $\mu$  and the growth of functions in  $H^2(\mu)$  is studied in the event that  $E(z) < \infty$  for  $|z| < 1$ .

**1. General  $H^2(\mu)$  spaces over the disk.** Let  $\mu$  be a finite positive compactly supported Borel measure on the complex plane. It is well known that many interesting questions in the theory of subnormal operators can be reduced to function-theoretic questions about  $H^2(\mu)$ , the subspace of  $L^2(\mu)$  spanned by all polynomials [1], [2], [3]. The purpose of this note is to investigate the relationship between  $\mu$  and the quantity  $E(z)$  defined in the abstract, under the assumption that  $\mu$  is supported on the closure  $\bar{D}$  of the open unit disk  $D$ . Note that  $E(z) < \infty$  if and only if point evaluation at  $z$  is a bounded linear functional on polynomials with respect to the  $L^2(\mu)$  norm, and in this case  $E(z)$  is the norm of the evaluation functional. We consider  $E(z)$  only for  $z$  in  $D$  and we will be interested in the asymptotic behavior of  $E(z)$  as  $z$  tends to the boundary  $\partial D$ . In §2 we consider applications to the growth of functions in  $H^2(\mu)$  when  $E(z) < \infty$  for  $z$  in  $D$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be the part of  $\mu$  supported on  $\partial D$  and  $\nu$  the part supported on  $D$ , so that  $\mu = \alpha + \nu$ . Let  $\sigma$  denote normalized Lebesgue measure on  $\partial D$ ,  $d\sigma(\theta) = d\theta/2\pi$ ,  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ , and suppose that  $\alpha_0$  is the part of  $\alpha$  which is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\sigma$ . Let  $w$  denote any fixed representative of  $d\alpha_0/d\sigma$ . We use the convention that  $1/0 = \infty$  and  $\infty \cdot a = \infty$  for  $a > 0$ .

**THEOREM.** *With the understanding that  $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$  nontangentially we have*

$$(1) \quad \liminf_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} (1 - |z|^2)E(z)^2 \geq 1/w(e^{i\theta}) \quad \sigma\text{-a.e.}$$

*If in addition  $\int \log w \, d\sigma > -\infty$ , then  $E(z) < \infty$  for all  $z$  in  $D$  and*

Received by the editors October 28, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46E20, 30A78, 30A98; Secondary 47B20, 30A31.

*Key words and phrases.* Measures on unit disk,  $H^2(\mu)$  space, closure of polynomials, point evaluation functional, kernel function, functional Hilbert space, subnormal operator, growth estimates, Poisson integral.

<sup>1</sup>This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

$$(2) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} (1 - |z|^2) E(z)^2 = 1/w(e^{i\theta}) \quad \sigma\text{-a.e.}$$

To facilitate the proof we introduce the notation

$$P(z, u) = (1 - |z|^2)/|1 - \bar{z}u|^2, \quad \bar{z}u \neq 1.$$

The Poisson integral of an  $f$  in  $L^1(\sigma)$  is then

$$(3) \quad f(z) = \int P(z, e^{ix}) f(e^{ix}) d\sigma(x), \quad |z| < 1.$$

Analogously, if  $\beta$  is a finite positive measure supported on  $\bar{D}$  we define

$$\hat{\beta}(z) = \int P(z, u) d\beta(u), \quad |z| < 1.$$

Note that  $\hat{\beta}$  is not, in general, harmonic since  $P(z, u)$  is not harmonic in  $z$  for fixed  $u$  in  $D$ .

LEMMA 1.  $\lim_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} \hat{\nu}(z) = 0$  for  $\sigma$ -almost every  $e^{i\theta}$ , where the limit is taken nontangentially.

PROOF. For  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  let  $R_k$  be defined by

$$R_k(e^{ix}) = \int P(z, e^{ix}) |z|^{2k} d\nu(z).$$

Since  $\nu(\partial D) = 0$  an application of the Fubini-Tonelli theorems shows that  $R_k$  is in  $L^1(\sigma)$  and indeed, that

$$(4) \quad \int_{\partial D} f R_k d\sigma = \int_D f(z) |z|^{2k} d\nu(z)$$

for every  $f$  continuous on  $\bar{D}$  and harmonic in  $D$  (to see that (4) holds, substitute the representation (3) for  $f(z)$  into the right side and use Fubini's theorem). Thus  $R_k d\sigma$  is the "sweep" of  $|z|^{2k} d\nu(z)$  to the boundary. This concrete representation for balayage was brought to our attention by S. Clary's thesis [4].

Now let  $F_k$  denote the Poisson integral of  $R_k$ :

$$F_k(z) = \int P(z, e^{ix}) R_k(e^{ix}) d\sigma(x), \quad |z| < 1.$$

Fatou's theorem [6, p. 34] implies that for  $\sigma$ -almost every  $e^{i\theta}$ ,  $F_k(z)$  tends to  $R_k(e^{i\theta})$  as  $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$  nontangentially. On applying (4) to the continuous harmonic function

$$f(u) = \operatorname{Re}[(1 + \bar{z}u)/(1 - \bar{z}u)] \quad (\text{for } z \text{ fixed in } D)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1 + \bar{z}u}{1 - \bar{z}u}\right) |u|^{2k} d\nu(u) &= \int \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1 + \bar{z}e^{ix}}{1 - \bar{z}e^{ix}}\right) R_k(e^{ix}) d\sigma(x) \\ &= \int P(z, e^{ix}) R_k(e^{ix}) d\sigma(x) = F_k(z). \end{aligned}$$

We can now compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\nu}(z) &= \int P(z, u) d\nu(u) = \int \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |z|^2|u|^2} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1 + \bar{z}u}{1 - \bar{z}u} \right) d\nu(u) \\
 (5) \quad &= (1 - |z|^2) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |z|^{2j} \int \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1 + \bar{z}u}{1 - \bar{z}u} \right) |u|^{2j} d\nu(u) \\
 &= (1 - |z|^2) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |z|^{2j} F_j(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Fix  $e^{i\theta}$  such that  $R_0(e^{i\theta}) < \infty$  and  $F_j(z) \rightarrow R_j(e^{i\theta})$  for  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  as  $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$  nontangentially. Clearly this holds for  $\sigma$ -almost every  $e^{i\theta}$ . The condition  $R_0(e^{i\theta}) < \infty$  tells us (by definition) that  $P(z, e^{i\theta})$  (as a function of  $z$ ) belongs to  $L^1(\nu)$ . Since  $\nu$  is supported on  $D$ ,  $P(z, e^{i\theta})|z|^{2k} \downarrow 0$   $\nu$ -a.e. as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and we conclude from the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem that  $R_k(e^{i\theta}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Further, since  $R_{j+1}(e^{i\theta}) \leq R_j(e^{i\theta})$  for all  $j$ , we see that  $F_n(z) \leq F_k(z)$  whenever  $n \geq k$ .

Suppose that we are given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$  nontangentially. It suffices to show that

$$(6) \quad \limsup_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} \hat{\nu}(z) \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Fix  $k$  large enough so that  $R_k(e^{i\theta}) < \varepsilon$ . Then if  $z$  is sufficiently close to  $e^{i\theta}$  we have, for any  $n \geq k$ ,

$$F_n(z) \leq F_k(z) \leq R_k(e^{i\theta}) + \varepsilon < \varepsilon + \varepsilon = 2\varepsilon.$$

Combining this with (5) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\nu}(z) &\leq (1 - |z|^2) \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |z|^{2j} F_j(z) + \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} 2\varepsilon |z|^{2j} \right] \\
 &= (1 - |z|^2) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |z|^{2j} F_j(z) + 2\varepsilon |z|^{2k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F_j(z) \rightarrow R_j(e^{i\theta}) < \infty$  as  $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$  for all  $j$ , the first term on the right tends to zero and (6) is established. This completes the proof.

**PROOF OF THE THEOREM.** For fixed  $z$  in  $D$  the function  $(1 - \bar{z}u)^{-1}$  is a uniform limit of polynomials in  $\bar{D}$  and thus belongs to  $H^2(\mu)$ . Therefore,

$$1/|1 - \bar{z}u|^2 \leq E(u)^2 \int (1/|1 - \bar{z}s|^2) d\mu(s)$$

for all  $u$  in  $D$ . Setting  $u = z$  and multiplying by  $(1 - |z|^2)$  gives

$$1/(1 - |z|^2) \leq E(z)^2 \int \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}s|^2} d\mu(s)$$

or equivalently,

$$(7) \quad 1/\hat{\mu}(z) \leq (1 - |z|^2)E(z)^2.$$

With our previous notation we have  $\hat{\mu}(z) = \hat{\alpha}(z) + \hat{\nu}(z)$ . Lemma 1 tells us that for  $\sigma$ -almost every  $e^{i\theta}$ ,  $\hat{\nu}(z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$ ; Fatou's theorem, on the other

hand, implies that  $\hat{\alpha}(z) \rightarrow w(e^{i\theta})$   $\sigma$ -a.e. Thus  $\hat{\mu}(z)$  tends to  $w(e^{i\theta})$  as  $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$  nontangentially, at least  $\sigma$ -a.e., and (1) follows from taking the lim inf of both sides of (7) as  $z$  tends to  $e^{i\theta}$ .

Now assume that  $\log w$  is  $\sigma$ -integrable and select an outer function  $g$  in the Hardy space  $H^2(\sigma)$  with  $w = |g|^2$   $\sigma$ -a.e. [6, p. 53]. Then for any  $z$  in  $D$  and any polynomial  $p$

$$(8) \quad |p(z)|^2 \leq |g(z)|^{-2}(1 - |z|^2)^{-1} \int |p|^2 w \, d\sigma.$$

This follows from [5, p. 48].

Since (8) holds for all polynomials  $p$  and  $\int |p|^2 w \, d\sigma \leq \int |p|^2 \, d\mu$  we have.

$$E(z)^2 \leq |g(z)|^{-2}(1 - |z|^2)^{-1}, \quad |z| < 1.$$

Inasmuch as  $\lim_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} |g(z)|^2 = w(e^{i\theta})$   $\sigma$ -a.e. we find that

$$\limsup_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} (1 - |z|^2)E(z)^2 \leq 1/w(e^{i\theta}) \quad \sigma\text{-a.e.},$$

which in combination with (1) proves (2). This completes the proof.

**2. Functional growth when  $E(z)$  is finite on  $D$ .** We make the standing assumption in this section that  $E(z) < \infty$  for all  $z$  in  $D$ . Then for each  $z$  the evaluation functional  $p \rightarrow p(z)$  on polynomials has a unique bounded extension to all of  $H^2(\mu)$  giving rise to an unambiguous determination of  $f(z)$  for all  $f$  in  $H^2(\mu)$ . For each  $z$  there exists a unique element  $K_z$  of  $H^2(\mu)$  (the kernel function) such that

$$f(z) = \int f \bar{K}_z \, d\mu, \quad f \text{ in } H^2(\mu).$$

Clearly  $\|K_z\| = E(z)$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $m$  be a finite Borel measure on  $\partial D$  such that the inequality (1) (which holds  $\sigma$ -a.e. by the theorem) holds  $m$ -a.e. Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a positive continuous function on  $(0, 1]$ . If*

$$(9) \quad (1 - r^2)E(re^{i\theta})^2 \leq M \cdot (1 - r^2)/\Omega(1 - r^2)$$

for every  $f$  in  $H^2(\mu)$ , then there exists a positive constant  $M$  such that

$$\frac{1}{w(e^{i\theta})} \leq M \cdot \left( \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t}{\Omega(t)} \right) \quad m\text{-a.e.}$$

**PROOF.** Assume that (9) holds for every  $f$  in  $H^2(\mu)$ . We then have a well-defined linear map  $L: H^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^\infty(r \, dr \, dm(\theta))$  given by  $(Lf)(re^{i\theta}) = \Omega(1 - r^2)^{1/2} f(re^{i\theta})$ .  $L$  is readily seen to be closed, so it is bounded by the closed graph theorem. Thus there exists  $M > 0$  such that for every polynomial  $p$ ,

$$\Omega(1 - r^2)|p(re^{i\theta})|^2 \leq M\|p\|^2$$

for all  $r$  in  $[0, 1)$  and all  $e^{i\theta}$  in the closed support of  $m$ ; here we are using the continuity of  $\Omega$  and  $p$ . It follows that

$$(10) \quad m\text{-ess sup}_{e^{i\theta}} \left( \sup_{0 < r < 1} \Omega(1 - r^2)|f(re^{i\theta})|^2 \right) < \infty$$

for all  $e^{i\theta}$  outside of some  $m$ -null set and all  $r$  in  $[0, 1)$ . We may now take the  $\liminf$  as  $r \rightarrow 1$  in (10) and use the hypothesis on  $m$  to complete the proof.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a positive continuous function on  $(0, 1]$  with  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} t/\Omega(t) = 0$ . Then for  $\sigma$ -almost every  $e^{i\theta}$  in  $\partial D$  there exists an  $f$  in  $H^2(\mu)$  (depending on  $e^{i\theta}$ ) with*

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \Omega(1 - r^2) |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 = \infty.$$

**PROOF.** By the Theorem we can select a set  $G \subset \partial D$  with  $\sigma(G) = 0$  and so that inequality (1) holds for every  $e^{i\theta}$  in  $\partial D \setminus G$ . Suppose that  $e^{i\theta}$  is a point in  $\partial D \setminus G$  such that the supremum in the statement is finite for every  $f$  in  $H^2(\mu)$ . By taking  $m$  to be a unit point mass at  $e^{i\theta}$  we may conclude from Lemma 2 and the hypothesis on  $\Omega$  that  $1/w(e^{i\theta}) = 0$ . As this can only happen for  $e^{i\theta}$  in a set of  $\sigma$ -measure zero, the proof is complete.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $F \subset \partial D$  be a set of positive  $\sigma$ -measure such that the  $\sigma$ -essential infimum of  $w$  on  $F$  is zero. Then there exists an  $f$  in  $H^2(\mu)$  with*

$$\sup_{e^{i\theta} \text{ in } F; 0 < r < 1} (1 - r^2) |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 = \infty.$$

**PROOF.** We apply Lemma 2, taking  $m$  to be the restriction of  $\sigma$  to  $F$  and  $\Omega(t) = t$ . If the conclusion of the corollary fails, the Theorem and Lemma 2 together imply the existence of  $M > 0$  such that  $1/w(e^{i\theta}) \leq M$   $\sigma$ -a.e. on  $F$ , which contradicts the hypothesis on  $F$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $Q = \{e^{i\theta} : w(e^{i\theta}) = 0\}$ . Then for  $\sigma$ -almost every  $e^{i\theta}$  in  $Q$  there exists an  $f$  in  $H^2(\mu)$  (depending on  $e^{i\theta}$ ) with*

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} (1 - r^2) |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 = \infty.$$

**PROOF.** Select the set  $G$  as in the proof of Corollary 1. If there is an  $e^{i\theta}$  in  $Q \setminus G$  such that the supremum in the statement is finite for every  $f$  in  $H^2(\mu)$ , we may apply Lemma 2 with  $\Omega(t) = t$  and  $m$  a unit point mass at  $e^{i\theta}$  to conclude that  $w(e^{i\theta}) > 0$ , a contradiction. The proof is complete.

**3. Conclusion.** If  $\int \log w \, d\sigma = -\infty$  and  $\mu = w \, d\sigma$ , then  $E(z) \equiv \infty$  on  $D$  [5, p. 48] so that (2) does not hold in general. However we know of no counterexample to (2) with the property that  $E(z) < \infty$  for all  $z$  in  $D$ . When  $\int \log w \, d\sigma = -\infty$  our simple proof of (2) of course fails and any method of estimating  $E(z)$  from above for the purpose of proving (2) must necessarily take account of the possibly complex interplay between  $w$  and  $v$ , the part of  $\mu$  supported on  $D$ . A proof of (2) in any great generality might require an answer to the difficult question: when, in terms of  $\mu$ , is  $E(z)$  finite? Of course, when  $w = 0$   $\sigma$ -a.e. (1) implies (2) trivially. A more interesting instance of (2) occurs when  $\mu$  is the measure associated with the discrete Cesàro operator [7]. Here  $w(e^{i\theta})$  and  $E(z) = \|K_z\|$  are explicitly computable,  $\int \log w \, d\sigma = -\infty$  and (2) is easily verified [7, §1].

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Bram, *Subnormal operators*, Duke Math. J. **22** (1955), 75–94. MR **16**, 835.

2. J. Brennan, *Invariant subspaces and rational approximation*, J. Functional Analysis 7 (1971), 285–310.
3. ———, *Invariant subspaces and weighted polynomial approximation*, Ark. Mat. 11 (1973), 167–189. MR 50 #2891.
4. S. Clary, *Quasi-similarity and subnormal operators*, Doctoral Thesis, Univ. of Michigan, 1973.
5. U. Grenander and G. Szegő, *Toeplitz forms and their applications*, Calif. Mono. in Math. Sci., Univ. of California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1958. MR 20 #1349.
6. K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962. MR 24 #A2844.
7. T. Kriete and D. Trutt, *On the Cesaro operator*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 24 (1974), 197–214. MR 50 #2981.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA 22903