

## ASYMPTOTIC NONBASES WHICH ARE NOT SUBSETS OF MAXIMAL ASYMPTOTIC NONBASES

JULIEN HENNEFELD

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $A$  be a set of positive integers. If all but a finite number of the positive integers can be written as a sum of  $h$  elements of  $A$ , then  $A$  is called an asymptotic basis of order  $h$ . Otherwise,  $A$  is called an asymptotic nonbasis of order  $h$ . For each  $h \geq 2$ , we construct an asymptotic nonbasis of order  $h$  which is not a subset of a maximal asymptotic nonbasis of order  $h$ .

For  $A$ , a set of positive integers, let  $hA$  denote the set of all sums of  $h$  not necessarily distinct elements of  $A$ . If  $hA$  contains all but finitely many of the positive integers, then  $A$  is an asymptotic basis of order  $h$ . If  $A$  is an asymptotic nonbasis of order  $h$ , but  $A \cup \{a\}$  is an asymptotic basis of order  $h$  for every positive integer  $a \notin A$ , then  $A$  is called a maximal asymptotic nonbasis of order  $h$ .

The question of whether every asymptotic nonbasis of order  $h$  is a subset of some maximal asymptotic nonbasis of order  $h$  was originally posed by Nathanson [3] and repeated by Erdős and Nathanson [1], [2].

**THEOREM.** For  $h \geq 2$ , let  $A = \{1\} \cup \{h\} \cup \{\text{all multiples of } h, \text{ except } q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots\}$ , where  $\{q_i\}$  is an increasing sequence of multiples of  $h$ , with  $\lim(q_{i+1} - q_i) = \infty$ . Then  $A$  is an asymptotic nonbasis of order  $h$  which is not a subset of any maximal asymptotic nonbasis of order  $h$ .

**PROOF.** To simplify the notation, we will only give the proof for  $h > 3$ . Clearly, since 1 is the only element of  $A$  not congruent to 0 (mod  $h$ ),  $hA$  will miss all integers of the form  $q_i + h - 1$ . Also, note that all but finitely many of the integers that  $hA$  misses are of the form  $q_i + h - 1$ . This is because for all  $i$  sufficiently large,

$$q_i + h - 2 = (q_i - h) + h + 1 + \cdots + 1$$

is the sum of  $h$  elements of  $A$ . Also,

$$q_i + h - 3 = (q_i - 2h) + h + h + 1 + \cdots + 1$$

---

Received by the editors March 15, 1976.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 10L05, 10L10, 10J99.

*Key words and phrases.* Sum sets, asymptotic bases, asymptotic nonbases, maximal nonbases.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

is the sum of  $h$  elements of  $A$ . Similarly, all integers in  $[q_i, q_i + h - 2]$  have representations as sums of  $h$  elements in  $A$ . Every integer in  $[q_i + h, q_{i+1}]$  is in  $hA$  for  $i$  sufficiently large, because each  $q_i + rh$  in  $(q_i, q_{i+1})$  can be expressed as a sum of  $j$  elements from  $A$  for each  $j$  in  $[1, h]$ .

We claim that if  $x$  is any integer greater than 1, which is not congruent to  $0 \pmod{h}$ , then  $A \cup \{x\}$  is an asymptotic basis of order  $h$ . To prove this claim we will consider separately the two cases:  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{h}$  and  $x \not\equiv 1 \pmod{h}$ .

If  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{h}$ , then for all  $i$  sufficiently large,

$$q_i + 1 = x + [q_i - (x - 1)]$$

is the sum of two elements of  $A \cup \{x\}$ , and thus by  $h - 2$  additions of 1,  $q_i + h - 1$  will be the sum of  $h$  elements of  $A \cup \{x\}$ .

If  $x \not\equiv 1 \pmod{h}$ , take  $m$  large enough so that  $hx < q_i - q_{i-1}$ , for all  $i \geq m$ . Pick  $p$ :  $ph < x < (p + 1)h$ . Then  $q_i + 1 < (q_i - ph) + x < q_i + h$ . Since  $(q_i - ph) + x$  is the sum of two elements from  $A \cup \{x\}$ ,  $q_i + h - 1$  can be expressed as a sum of fewer than  $h$  elements of  $A \cup \{x\}$ . To express it as a sum of exactly  $h$  elements, use an expression of the form

$$[q_i - (p + r)h] + x + h + \cdots + h + 1 + \cdots + 1.$$

Thus the claim is proved.

Therefore, the only hope for extending  $A$  to a maximal asymptotic nonbasis is by adjoining  $B$ , a subset of  $\{q_1, q_2, \dots\}$ . However, if there are infinitely many  $q_i$  missing from  $B$ , then  $A \cup B$  will be a nonbasis which is not maximal. If there are only finitely many  $q_i$  missing from  $B$ , then  $A \cup B$  will be a basis. In neither case will  $A \cup B$  be a maximal nonbasis.

REMARK. The author originally constructed the example  $A = \{1\} \cup \{\text{all multiples of } h, \text{ except } h^2, h^3, h^4, \dots\}$  and wishes to thank M. B. Nathanson for pointing out the somewhat more general example given in this paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Erdős and M. B. Nathanson, *Maximal asymptotic nonbases*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **48** (1975), 57–60. MR **50** #9831.
2. ———, *Oscillations of bases for the natural numbers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **53** (1975), 253–258.
3. M. B. Nathanson, *Minimal bases and maximal nonbases in additive number theory*, J. Number Theory **6** (1974), 324–333. MR **50** #265.
4. A. Stöhr, *Gelöste und ungelöste Fragen über Basen der natürlichen Zahlentheorie*. I, J. Reine Angew. Math. **194** (1955), 40–65.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROOKLYN COLLEGE, CUNY, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11210