

## ON ODD DIMENSIONAL SURGERY WITH FINITE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP

JEAN-CLAUDE HAUSMANN<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** One proves that, for any finite group  $G$  and homomorphism  $\omega: G \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ , the natural homomorphism  $L_{2k+1}^h(\mathbf{Z}G, \omega) \rightarrow L_{2k+1}^h(\mathbf{Q}G, \omega)$  between Wall surgery groups is identically zero. Some results concerning the exponent of  $L_{2k+1}^h(\mathbf{Z}G; \omega)$  are deduced.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $G$  be a group and  $\omega: G \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  be a homomorphism (orientation character). Let  $L_n^h(G; \omega)$  be the Wall surgery obstruction group in dimension  $n$  for surgery to a homotopy equivalence. By changing  $\mathbf{Z}G$  into  $\mathbf{Q}G$  in the definition of  $L_n^h$ , one gets groups  $L_n^h(\mathbf{Q}G; \omega)$ . They contain the obstruction for surgery to an  $[n - 1]/2$ -connected rational homology equivalence. There is a natural homomorphism  $r: L_n^h(G; \omega) \rightarrow L_n^h(\mathbf{Q}G; \omega)$  which is used in the long exact localization sequence for surgery obstruction groups of W. Pardon [P1].

Our first result is the following:

**THEOREM 1.** *For any finite group  $G$  and homomorphism  $\omega: G \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ , the homomorphism  $r: L_{2k+1}^h(G; \omega) \rightarrow L_{2k+1}^h(\mathbf{Q}G; \omega)$  is the zero homomorphism.*

Consequently, in the odd dimensional case with a finite fundamental group, the surgery to a rational homotopy equivalence is always possible. The obstruction for surgery to a homotopy equivalence is always expressible by the linking numbers approach developed in [KM], [W1] and [C]. Since the kernel of  $r$  is annihilated by 8 [C], one has

**COROLLARY 2.** *For any finite group  $G$  and homomorphism  $\omega: G \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $L_{2k+1}^h(G; \omega)$  is annihilated by 8.*

It has been conjectured that this exponent is 4, at least for a large class of finite groups. In this direction, a slight improvement of the proof of Theorem 1 gives the following results:

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\Phi} B \rightarrow 1$  be an exact sequence of finite groups, and let  $\omega: B \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  be a homomorphism. Suppose that  $N$  is a 2-group. If  $y \in \text{Ker}(\Phi_*: L_{2k+1}^h(G; \Phi \circ \omega) \rightarrow L_{2k+1}^h(B; \omega))$ , then  $4y = 0$ .*

Received by the editors May 13, 1976 and, in revised form, July 30, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 18F25, 57D65.

<sup>1</sup> Supported in part by NSF Grant MPS72-05055 A03.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

**COROLLARY 4.** *For any finite 2-group  $G$  and any homomorphism  $\omega: G \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $L_{2k+1}^h(G; \omega)$  is annihilated by 4.*

**COROLLARY 5.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group whose 2-Sylow subgroup is normal. Suppose that  $\omega$  is trivial (the orientable case). Then  $L_{2k+1}^h(G; \omega)$  is annihilated by 4.*

For instance, the assumptions of Corollary 5 are fulfilled if  $G$  is a finite nilpotent group, since a finite nilpotent group is the product of its Sylow subgroups [H].

A part of these statements is more or less known to be obtainable by different methods. Some particular cases are also deducible from published results of other authors. For instance, if  $k$  is odd, Theorem 1 is obvious since  $L_{2k+1}^h(\mathbf{Q}G; \omega) = 0$  [C]. On the other hand, in the orientable case ( $\omega$  trivial), Corollary 2 can be deduced from [W3, exact sequence, p. 78 and remark (4), p. 2]. Corollaries 4 and 5 are deducible from [W3] when  $G$  is abelian. Recently, W. Pardon independently found an algebraic proof of Corollary 4 and A. Bak computed  $L_n^h(G; \omega)$  when  $G$  has its 2-Sylow subgroup normal and abelian [Bak2]. Finally, an announcement of Theorem 1 when  $G$  is abelian was published by R. M. Geist [G]. Our proofs are independent from these results and our approach is quite different.

In §2, we give a sufficient condition for a degree one map between a manifold pair and a Poincaré pair (of odd dimension) to be a rational homotopy equivalence. In §3, we establish a functoriality property for a part of the localization exact sequence of surgery groups ([P1] and [P2]). It would be interesting to know in which generality such a functoriality property holds.

Results of §§2 and 3 are used in §4 for proving Theorem 1; finally, Theorem 4 and Corollaries 4 and 5 are proved in §5.

I am grateful to W. Pardon for conversations, to C.T.C. Wall and A. Bak for commenting on these results, and to the referee for a great simplification of my original proof of Proposition 2.1.

**2. A class of rational homology equivalences.** Denote, as usual, by  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$  the subring of  $\mathbf{Q}$  of fractions expressible with a denominator prime to  $p$ . This section is devoted to proving the following proposition:

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $(X, Y)$  be a Poincaré pair of dimension  $2k + 1$  such that  $\pi_1(Y) \simeq \pi_1(X)$  is a finite group  $G$ . Let  $N \subset G$  be a normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  ( $p$  some prime). Consider a map  $f: (M; \partial M) \rightarrow (X; Y)$  of degree one ( $M^{2k+1}$  a compact manifold), with  $f|_{\partial M}$  a homotopy equivalence. Suppose that  $f$  is  $k$ -connected and is a  $\mathbf{Z}(G/N)$ -homology equivalence (local coefficients). Then  $f$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ -homology equivalence (and thus a rational homology equivalence).*

**PROOF.** If  $B$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -module, we denote by  $K_k(M; B)$  the  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -module  $H_{k+1}(f; B)$  and  $K_k(M)$  is used for  $K_k(M; \mathbf{Z}G)$ . By [CS, Lemma 1.4] one has  $K_k(M; \mathbf{Z}(G/N)) = K_k(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}G} \mathbf{Z}(G/N)$ . This last module is  $\mathbf{Z}$ -isomorphic to  $K_k(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}N} \mathbf{Z} = K_k(M)/I \cdot K_k(M)$ , where  $I$  is the augmentation ideal of  $N$ .

Since  $f$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}(G/N)$ -homology equivalence, one has  $K_k(M; \mathbf{Z}(G/N)) = 0$ , and then  $K_k(M) = I \cdot K_k(M)$ .

Since  $K_k(M)$  is finitely generated [W2, Lemma 2.3] and  $G$  is finite,  $K_k(M)/pK_k(M)$  is a finite abelian  $p$ -group. The action of the finite  $p$ -group  $N$  on any finite abelian  $p$ -group  $L$  is nilpotent, i.e.  $I^s \cdot L = 0$  for  $s$  large enough. (The proof goes like in [H, pp. 47 and 155].) Therefore  $K_k(M) = pK_k(M)$ , which is equivalent to  $K_k(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} = 0$ . But  $K_k(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} = K_k(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}G} \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}G = K_k(M; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}G)$ , the last isomorphism by [CS, Lemma 1.4]. Thus,  $K_k(M; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}G) = 0$  and  $f$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ -homology equivalence.

**3. Partial functoriality for the surgery group localization exact sequence.** We will use a functorial property of the following leg of the Pardon exact sequence [P1]:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} L_{2k+2}^h(\mathbf{Q}G, \omega) &\rightarrow L_{2k+1}^t(\mathbf{Z}G; \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}) \\ &\rightarrow L_{2k+1}^h(G, \omega) \rightarrow L_{2k+1}^h(\mathbf{Q}G, \omega). \end{aligned}$$

This functoriality property is implied by the corresponding property for this other formulation of the sequence [P2, Theorem 2.1]:

$$(3.2) \quad W_0^{-\lambda}(\mathbf{Q}G) \rightarrow W_0^{-\lambda}(\mathbf{Q}G/\mathbf{Z}G) \rightarrow W_1^{\lambda}(\mathbf{Z}G) \rightarrow W_1^{\lambda}(\mathbf{Q}G)$$

where  $\lambda = (-1)^k$ . (See [P2] for the definitions.) Sequences (3.1) and (3.2) are related by the following commutative diagram:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} W_0^{-\lambda}(\mathbf{Q}G) & \longrightarrow & W_0^{-\lambda}(\mathbf{Q}G/\mathbf{Z}G) & \longrightarrow & W_1^{\lambda}(\mathbf{Z}G) & \longrightarrow & W_1^{\lambda}(\mathbf{Q}G) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{2k+2}^h(\mathbf{Q}G, \omega) & \longrightarrow & L_{2k+1}^t(\mathbf{Z}G; \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}) & \longrightarrow & L_{2k+1}^h(G; \omega) & \longrightarrow & L_{2k+1}^h(\mathbf{Q}G; \omega) \end{array}$$

where the left two vertical arrows are identity maps (the groups are identical) and two right vertical arrows divide out by  $w_1^{\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the category whose objects are pairs  $(G; \omega)$ , where  $G$  is a finite group and  $\omega: G \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  is a homomorphism. A morphism  $F: (G, \omega_G) \rightarrow (H, \omega_H)$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a homomorphism  $f: G \rightarrow H$  such that  $\omega_G = \omega_H \circ f$ . Let  $Ab$  denote the category of abelian groups. The group rings  $RG$  ( $R = \mathbf{Z}$  or  $\mathbf{Q}$ ) are understood to be endowed with the involution  $\sum n_g g = \sum n_g \omega(g)g^{-1}$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *The correspondences*

$$(G, \omega) \rightarrow W_i^{\lambda}(RG), \quad i = 0 \text{ or } 1, \lambda = \pm 1,$$

and

$$(G, \omega) \mapsto W_0^\lambda(\mathbf{Q}G/\mathbf{Z}G)$$

give rise to functors from  $\mathfrak{F}$  to  $Ab$  so that sequence (3.2) (and thus (3.1)) is functorial.

**PROOF.**  $W_1^\lambda(RG)$  are functors in the usual way. The only nonobvious point is to define  $f_* : W_0^\lambda(\mathbf{Q}G/\mathbf{Z}G) \rightarrow W_0^\lambda(\mathbf{Q}H/\mathbf{Z}H)$  for an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -morphism  $f: (G, \omega_G) \rightarrow (H, \omega_H)$ . By definition of  $W_0^\lambda(\mathbf{Q}G/\mathbf{Z}G)$  [P2, p. 10] it suffices to have  $f_*$  defined on classes in  $W_0^\lambda$  which are represented by a triple  $(M, \varphi, \psi)$ , where

- (1)  $M$  is a finite  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -module admitting a short free  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -resolution

$$0 \rightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{\mu} F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

- (2)  $\varphi: M \times M \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}G/\mathbf{Z}G$  is a nonsingular  $\lambda$ -hermitian form.

- (3)  $\psi \cdot M \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}G/S_\lambda(\mathbf{Z}G)$  is a function satisfying (i)–(iii) of [P2].

Let us define the image by  $f_*$  of a class  $(M, \varphi, \psi)$  to be the class of  $(M', \varphi', \psi')$ , where

- (1)  $M' = M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}G} \mathbf{Z}H$ .

- (2)  $\varphi': M' \times M' \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}H/\mathbf{Z}H$  is defined by  $\varphi'(x \otimes a, y \otimes b) = \bar{a}f(\varphi(x, y))b$  (see [Ba, §6]).

- (3)  $\psi': M' \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}H/S_\lambda(\mathbf{Z}H)$  is the unique extension of  $\psi$  such that  $\psi'(x \otimes 1) = f(\psi(x))$  [Ba, 6.3].

Let us check that  $(M', \varphi', \psi')$  actually determines a class in  $W_0^\lambda(\mathbf{Q}H/\mathbf{Z}H)$ .  $M'$  is a finite  $\mathbf{Z}H$ -module; it admits the following short free resolution:

$$0 \rightarrow F_1 \otimes \mathbf{Z}H \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes 1} F_0 \otimes \mathbf{Z}H \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$$

(the tensor product is always understood over  $\mathbf{Z}G$ ). Indeed  $F_i \otimes \mathbf{Z}H$  are  $\mathbf{Z}H$ -free of same  $\mathbf{Z}H$ -rank. Since  $H$  is a finite group,  $F_1 \otimes \mathbf{Z}H$  and  $F_2 \otimes \mathbf{Z}H$  have same finite  $\mathbf{Z}$ -rank. Thus  $\mu \otimes 1$  is injective.

To prove that  $\varphi'$  is nonsingular, it suffices [Ba, p. 45] to check that the homomorphism

$$j_M: \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}G}(M; \mathbf{Q}G/\mathbf{Z}G) \otimes \mathbf{Z}H \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}H}(M'; \mathbf{Q}H/\mathbf{Z}H)$$

given by  $j_M(h \otimes a)(x \otimes b) = \bar{a}f(h(x))b$  is an isomorphism (observe that  $\mathbf{Q}G/\mathbf{Z}G \otimes \mathbf{Z}H \simeq \mathbf{Q}H/\mathbf{Z}H$ ). By [P2, Proposition 1.4],  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}G}(M; \mathbf{Q}G/\mathbf{Z}G)$  has the following free resolution:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}G}(F_0; \mathbf{Z}G) \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}G}(F_1; \mathbf{Z}G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}G}(M; \mathbf{Q}G/\mathbf{Z}G) \rightarrow 0.$$

As above, tensoring by  $\mathbf{Z}H$  leaves this sequence exact. Hence, one has

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 & & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}G}(F_0; \mathbf{Z}G) \otimes \mathbf{Z}H & \xrightarrow{j_{F_0}} & \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}H}(F_0 \otimes \mathbf{Z}H; \mathbf{Z}H) \\
 \downarrow \bar{\mu} \otimes 1 & & \downarrow \bar{\mu} \otimes 1 \\
 \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}G}(F_1; \mathbf{Z}G) \otimes \mathbf{Z}H & \xrightarrow{j_{F_1}} & \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}H}(F_1 \otimes \mathbf{Z}H; \mathbf{Z}H) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}G}(M; \mathbf{Q}G/\mathbf{Z}G) & \xrightarrow{j_M} & \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}H}(M'; \mathbf{Q}H/\mathbf{Z}H) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

The commutativity of the lowest square comes from the definitions of  $j_{F_1}$  and  $j_M$  and of the identification of  $\text{coker } \bar{\mu}$  with  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}G}(M; \mathbf{Q}G/\mathbf{Z}G)$  [P2, (1.5)]. Clearly,  $j_{\mathbf{Z}G}$  is an isomorphism. By additivity,  $j_{F_0}$  and  $j_{F_1}$  are isomorphisms. Thus,  $j_M$  is an isomorphism.

Finally, one checks without difficulty that a kernel is mapped onto a kernel. Thus  $f_*: W_0^\lambda(\mathbf{Q}G/\mathbf{Z}G) \rightarrow W_0^\lambda(\mathbf{Q}H/\mathbf{Z}H)$  is well defined.

The functoriality of sequence (3.2) then comes directly from the definitions of each arrow.

**4. Proof of Theorem 1.** Theorem 1 will be proven first when  $G$  is a finite 2-group, then when  $G$  is a 2-hyerelementary group and last in the general case using the induction theorem due to Dress. We shall make use of the following lemma, in which  $r: L_{2k+1}^h(G; \Phi \circ \omega) \rightarrow L_{2k+1}^h(\mathbf{Q}G; \Phi \circ \omega)$  is the natural homomorphism, as in the Introduction.

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Let  $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\Phi} B \rightarrow 1$  be an extension of finite groups, with  $N$  a  $p$ -group. Let  $\omega: B \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  be a homomorphism. Then, for all  $x \in \text{Ker}(L_{2k+1}^h(G; \omega \circ \Phi) \rightarrow L_{2k+1}^h(B; \omega))$ , one has  $r(x) = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $M^{2k}$  be a manifold,  $k \geq 3$ , with  $\pi_1(M) = G$  and orientation homomorphism  $\omega \circ \Phi$ . Accordingly [W2, Theorem 6.5] there exists a cobordism  $(W^{2k+1}, M, M')$  and a normal map of degree one  $(f, b) f: (W, M, M') \rightarrow (M \times I, M \times \{0\}, M \times \{1\})$  such that  $f|M = \text{id}_M$ , the map  $f|M'$  is a homotopy equivalence, and the surgery obstruction  $\theta(f, b) \in L_{2k+1}(G; \omega \circ \Phi)$  is equal to  $x$ .

Let  $\Gamma_{2k+1}^h(\mathbf{Z}G \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathbf{Z}B)$  be the Cappell-Shaneson surgery obstruction group [CS]. This is a subgroup of  $L_{2k+1}^h(B; \omega)$ . Therefore, the assumption on  $x$  implies that  $x$  belongs to the kernel of the natural homomorphism

$$L_{2k+1}^h(G; \omega \circ \Phi) \rightarrow \Gamma_{2k+1}^h(\mathbf{Z}G \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathbf{Z}B).$$

Hence, by [CS, Proposition 2.1],  $(f, b)$  is normally cobordant to  $(f', b')$  where  $f'$  is a  $k$ -connected  $\mathbf{ZB}$ -homology equivalence. By Proposition 2.1,  $f'$  is a rational homology equivalence and then, by [C, Theorem 4.10],  $r(x) = 0$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

Case 1.  $G$  is a finite 2-group. If  $\omega$  is the trivial homomorphism, the result follows from Lemma 4.1 applied to  $N = G$ . If  $\omega$  is not trivial, one uses Lemma 4.1 applied to the case  $B = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  and  $\Phi = \omega_G$  together with the fact that  $L_{2k+1}^h(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}; \text{id}) = 0$  [W2, Theorem 13.A.1].

Case 2.  $G$  is a 2-hyerelementary group. This means that  $G$  has a decomposition  $1 \rightarrow C \rightarrow G \rightarrow P \rightarrow 1$ , where  $P$  is a 2-group and  $C$  is cyclic. The proof will be by induction on  $d(G)$ , the greatest odd divisor of  $|G|$ . If  $d(G) = 1$ ,  $G$  is a 2-group and we can use Case 1. If  $d > 1$ , one may write  $C = C_1 \times C_2$ , where  $C_1$  is a cyclic  $p$ -group with  $p \neq 2$ , and  $(p, |C_2|) = 1$ . Thus, one has a split exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow C_1 \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow[\substack{\Phi \\ s}]{\substack{\Phi \\ s}} \bar{G} \longrightarrow 1$$

and  $\omega_G: G \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  factors through  $\omega_{\bar{G}}: \bar{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ .  $\bar{G}$  is a 2-hyerelementary group with  $d(\bar{G}) < d(G)$ . By induction hypothesis,  $r: L_{2k+1}^h(\bar{G}; \omega_{\bar{G}}) \rightarrow L_{2k+1}^h(\mathbf{Q}\bar{G}; \omega_{\bar{G}})$  is zero. Using the functoriality of sequence (3.1), one gets a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \longrightarrow & L_{2k+1}^t(\mathbf{Z}G; \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}) & \xrightarrow{i} & L_{2k+1}^h(G; \omega_G) & \xrightarrow{r} & L_{2k+1}^h(\mathbf{Q}G; \omega_G) \\ & \downarrow \Phi^t \Big\} s^t & & \downarrow \Phi_* \Big\} s_* & & \downarrow \\ \longrightarrow & L_{2k+1}^t(\mathbf{Z}\bar{G}; \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} & L_{2k+1}^h(\bar{G}; \omega_{\bar{G}}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{r}} & L_{2k+1}^h(\mathbf{Q}\bar{G}; \omega_{\bar{G}}) \\ & & & \searrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ & & & & & 0 \end{array}$$

Thus one can write  $x \in L_{2k+1}^h(G; \omega_G)$  as  $x = x_1 + x_2$  with  $x_1 = i(y)$  and  $x_2 \in \ker \Phi_*$ . By Lemma 4.1,  $r(x) = 0$ .

Case 3. General case. Since  $L_{\text{odd}}^h(G; \omega)$  is a 2-group [C], the Dress induction theorem [D] asserts that the product of restrictions  $L_{2k+1}^h(G; \omega) \rightarrow \prod_{H \in \mathfrak{H}(G)} L_{2k+1}^h(H; \omega|_H)$  is injective, where  $\mathfrak{H}(G)$  is the set of 2-hyerelementary subgroups of  $G$ . The same holds for  $L_{2k+1}^h(\mathbf{Q}G, \omega)$ . Theorem 1 then follows, using Case 2.

5. Proof of Theorem 3 and Corollaries 4 and 5. Let  $M^{2k}$  be a closed manifold with  $\pi_1(M) = G$  and orientation character  $\omega \circ \Phi$ . Represent  $y$  as the surgery obstruction for a normal map of degree one:

$$f: (W^{2k+1}, M, M') \rightarrow (M \times I, M \times \{0\}, M \times \{1\}).$$

As in the proof of Lemma 4.1, the condition on  $y$  implies that  $f$  is normally cobordant to an  $f'$  that is a  $k$ -connected  $\mathbf{ZB}$ -homology equivalence. By Proposition 2.1,  $f'$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$ -homology equivalence. Therefore, using [PP, §5], one can consider  $y$  as an element of  $J_k(G)$ , the Grothendieck group based on

linking forms over finite  $ZG$ -modules without 2-torsion.  $J_k(G)$  has exponent 4 [PP, Theorem 5.1]. Thus  $4\gamma = 0$ .

Corollary 4 is a consequence of Theorem 4, applied to  $N = G$  in the orientable case and to  $\Phi = \omega$  otherwise (see the proof of Theorem 1, Case 1). For Corollary 5, one uses Theorem 3 for  $N$  equal to the normal 2-Sylow group of  $G$ , and the fact that  $L_{\text{odd}}^h(H) = 0$  when  $H$  has odd order ([Bak] or [P1]).

## REFERENCES

- [Bak] A. Bak, *Odd dimension surgery groups of odd torsion groups vanish*, *Topology* **14** (1975), 367–374.
- [Bak2] ———, *The computation of surgery groups of finite groups with abelian 2-hyperelementary*, *Algebraic K-theory*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer, Berlin and New York, vol. 551, pp. 384–409.
- [Ba] H. Bass, *Unitary algebraic K-theory*, *Proc. Conf. Seattle Res. Center, Batelle Memorial Inst.*, 1972, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 343, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973. MR **51** #8211.
- [CS] S. Cappell and J. Shaneson, *The codimension two placement problem and homology equivalent manifolds*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **99** (1974), 277–348. MR **49** #3978.
- [C] F. Connolly, *Linking numbers and surgery*, *Topology* **12** (1973), 389–409.
- [D] A. Dress, *Induction and structure theorem for orthogonal representations of finite groups*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **102** (1975), 291–326.
- [G] R. M. Geist, *Semicharacteristic detection of obstructions to rational homotopy equivalence*, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **21** (1974), A-451. Abstract #74T-G72.
- [H] M. Hall, *The theory of groups*, Macmillan, New York, 1959. MR **21** #1996.
- [KM] M. Kervaire and J. Milnor, *Groups of homotopy spheres. I*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **77** (1963), 504–537. MR **26** #5584.
- [P1] W. Pardon, *Local surgery and application to the theory of quadratic forms*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **82** (1976), 131–134.
- [P2] ———, *The exact sequence of a localization for Witt groups*, *Algebraic K-Theory*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 551, Springer, Berlin and New York, pp. 303–310.
- [PP] D. Passman and T. Petrie, *Surgery with coefficients in a field*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **95** (1972), 385–405. MR **46** #10011.
- [W1] C. T. C. Wall, *Surgery of non-simply-connected manifolds*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **84** (1966), 217–276. MR **35** #3692.
- [W2] ———, *Surgery on compact manifolds*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [W3] ———, *Classification of hermitian forms. VI. Group rings*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **103** (1976), 1–80.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540

*Current address:* Section de Mathématiques Université, 2-4 rue de Lièvre, 1211 Geneva 24, Switzerland