

FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR MAPPINGS WITH A CONTRACTIVE ITERATE AT A POINT¹

JANUSZ MATKOWSKI

ABSTRACT. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $T: X \rightarrow X$, and $\alpha: [0, \infty)^5 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be nondecreasing with respect to each variable. Suppose that for the function $\gamma(t) = \alpha(t, t, t, 2t, 2t)$, the sequence of iterates γ^n tends to 0 in $[0, \infty)$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \gamma(t)) = \infty$. Furthermore, suppose that for each $x \in X$ there exists a positive integer $n = n(x)$ such that for all $y \in X$,

$$d(T^n x, T^n y) \leq \alpha(d(x, T^n x), d(x, T^n y), d(x, y), d(T^n x, y), d(T^n y, y)).$$

Under these assumptions our main result states that T has a unique fixed point. This generalizes an earlier result of V. M. Sehgal and some recent results of L. Khazanchi and K. Iseki.

1. For a function $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ denote by γ^n , $n = 0, 1, \dots$, the n th iterate of γ . Before stating the main result we prove the following.

LEMMA . Suppose that $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is nondecreasing. Then for every $t > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^n(t) = 0$ implies $\gamma(t) < t$.

PROOF. Suppose that for some $t_0 > 0$ we have $\gamma(t_0) \geq t_0$. Hence, by the monotonicity of γ , $\gamma^n(t_0) \geq t_0$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. This proves the lemma.

REMARK 1. Note that for every right continuous function $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\gamma(t) < t$ for $t > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^n(t) = 0$.

THEOREM 1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $T: X \rightarrow X$, $\alpha: [0, \infty)^5 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, and let $\gamma(t) = \alpha(t, t, t, 2t, 2t)$ for $t \geq 0$.

Suppose that

1°. α is nondecreasing with respect to each variable,

2°. $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \gamma(t)) = \infty$,

3°. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^n(t) = 0$, $t > 0$,

4°. for every $x \in X$, there exists a positive integer $n = n(x)$ such that for all $y \in X$,

$$d(T^n x, T^n y) \leq \alpha(d(x, T^n x), d(x, T^n y), d(x, y), d(T^n x, y), d(T^n y, y)).$$

Then T has a unique fixed point $a \in X$ and for each $x \in X$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T^k x = a$.

PROOF. First we shall show that for every $x \in X$, the orbit $\{T^i x\}_{i=0}^\infty$ is bounded.

Received by the editors January 7, 1975 and, in revised form, May 19, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54H25, 47H50.

Key words and phrases. Fixed point, orbit, complete metric, Cauchy sequence.

¹ The author is indebted to the referee for his valuable remarks.

To prove this assertion we fix an $x \in X$, an integer s , $0 \leq s < n = n(x)$, and we put

$$u_k = d(x, T^{kn+s}x), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

$$h = \max(u_0, d(x, T^n x)).$$

By 2° there is a c , $c > h$, such that

$$t - \gamma(t) > h, \quad t > c.$$

From the choice of c we have $u_0 < c$. Suppose that there exists a positive integer j such that $u_j \geq c$. Evidently, we may assume that $u_i < c$ for $i < j$. Hence, by the triangle inequality,

$$d(T^n x, T^{(j-1)n+s}x) \leq d(x, T^n x) + u_{j-1} < 2u_j,$$

$$d(T^{jn+s}x, T^{(j-1)n+s}x) \leq u_j + u_{j-1} < 2u_j.$$

Now, using 4° and 1°, we get

$$u_j = d(x, T^{jn+s}x) \leq d(T^n x, T^n T^{(j-1)n+s}x) + d(x, T^n x)$$

$$\leq \alpha(u_j, u_j, u_j, 2u_j, 2u_j) + h = \gamma(u_j) + h,$$

i.e. $u_j - \gamma(u_j) \leq h$ which together with $u_j > c$ contradicts to the choice of c . Therefore $u_j < c$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots$, and, consequently, the orbit $\{T^i x\}_{i=0}^\infty$ is bounded.

Take an $x_0 \in X$ and put $n_0 = n(x_0)$. Define $\{x_k\}$ as follows

$$(1) \quad x_{k+1} = T^{n_k} x_k, \quad n_k = n(x_k), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Evidently, $\{x_k\}$ is a subsequence of the orbit $\{T^i x_0\}_{i=0}^\infty$. We shall prove that $\{x_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Let k and i be positive integers. From (1) we have

$$x_{k+i} = T^{n_{k+i-1} + \dots + n_k} x_k.$$

Denoting $s_0 = n_{k+i-1} + \dots + n_k$, we can write

$$d(x_k, x_{k+i}) = d(x_k, T^{s_0} x_k).$$

For the simplicity of the notations we put $t_i = d(x_{k-1}, T^i x_{k-1})$. Denote by s_1 that of the numbers $s_0, n_{k-1}, s_0 + n_{k-1}$ for which t_{s_1} has the greatest value. Thus, by the triangle inequality, we have

$$d(T^{n_{k-1}} x_{k-1}, T^{s_0} x_{k-1}) \leq t_{n_{k-1}} + t_{s_0} \leq 2t_{s_1},$$

$$d(T^{n_{k-1}+s_0} x_{k-1}, T^{s_0} x_{k-1}) \leq t_{n_{k-1}+s_0} + t_{s_0} \leq 2t_{s_1}.$$

Now 4° and 1° imply

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_k, T^{s_0} x_k) &= d(T^{n_{k-1}} x_{k-1}, T^{n_{k-1}} T^{s_0} x_{k-1}) \\ &\leq \alpha(t_{s_1}, t_{s_1}, t_{s_1}, 2t_{s_1}, 2t_{s_1}) = \gamma(t_{s_1}), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$d(x_k, T^{s_0} x_k) \leq \gamma(d(x_{k-1}, T^{s_1} x_{k-1})).$$

Repeating this procedure, we can find positive integers s_j , $j = 1, \dots, k-1$, such that

$$d(x_{k-j}, T^{s_j} x_{k-j}) \leq \gamma(d(x_{k-j-1}, T^{s_{j+1}} x_{k-j-1})).$$

Hence, since γ is nondecreasing, we obtain

$$d(x_k, x_{k+i}) \leq \gamma^k(d(x_0, T^{s_k} x_0)) \leq \gamma^k(M),$$

where M denotes the diameter of the orbit $\{T^i x_0\}_{i=0}^\infty$. By 3°, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^k(M) = 0$. This proves that $\{x_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

By the completeness of X there is an $a = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k$, $a \in X$. We shall show that for $n = n(a)$, $T^n a = a$.

For an indirect proof suppose that $\varepsilon = d(T^n a, a) > 0$. Using the argument of the preceding part of the proof, we see that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n x_k, x_k) = 0.$$

Therefore, by the Lemma, there exists a k_0 such that

$$d(a, x_k) \leq \frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon - \gamma(\varepsilon)), \quad d(T^n x_k, x_k) \leq \frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon - \gamma(\varepsilon)), \quad k \geq k_0.$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= d(T^n a, a) \leq d(T^n a, T^n x_k) + d(T^n x_k, x_k) + d(x_k, a) \\ &\leq \alpha(d(a, T^n a), d(a, T^n x_k), d(a, x_k), d(T^n a, x_k), d(T^n x_k, x_k)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon - \gamma(\varepsilon)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $d(a, T^n x_k) \leq d(a, x_k) + d(x_k, T^n x_k)$, $d(T^n a, x_k) \leq d(T^n a, a) + d(a, x_k)$, it follows that for $k \geq k_0$,

$$d(a, T^n x_k) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon - \gamma(\varepsilon)) < \varepsilon, \quad d(T^n a, x_k) \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Therefore, by 1°,

$$\varepsilon \leq \alpha(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, \varepsilon, 2\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon - \gamma(\varepsilon)) = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon + \gamma(\varepsilon)) < \varepsilon,$$

which is a contradiction. Consequently, $T^n a = a$.

Suppose that there is a point $b \in X$, $b \neq a$, such that $T^n b = b$ with $n = n(a)$. Then by 4° and the Lemma

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, b) &= d(T^n a, T^n b) \leq \alpha(0, d(a, b), d(a, b), d(a, b), 0) \\ &\leq \gamma(d(a, b)) < d(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction proves that a is a unique fixed point of T^n .

Since $Ta = T^n Ta$, just proved uniqueness yields $Ta = a$. Now it is trivial that a is a unique fixed point of T .

To prove the last statement of Theorem 1 take an $x \in X$, an integer s , $0 \leq s < n = n(a)$, and put

$$a_k = d(a, T^{kn+s}x), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Suppose that for some k , $a_k > a_{k-1}$. Then, using 4°, 1° and 3° (cf. the Lemma), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_k &= d(T^n a, T^n T^{(k-1)n+s}x) \\ &\leq \alpha(0, a_k, a_{k-1}, a_{k-1}, d(T^{kn+s}x, T^{(k-1)n+s}x)) \\ &\leq \alpha(a_k, a_k, a_k, a_k, 2a_k) \leq \gamma(a_k) < a_k. \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction proves that $a_k \leq a_{k-1}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Hence, using 4° and 1°, we have

$$a_k = d(T^n a, T^{kn+s}x) \leq \alpha(a_{k-1}, a_{k-1}, a_{k-1}, a_{k-1}, 2a_{k-1}) \leq \gamma(a_{k-1})$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. This yields $a_k \leq \gamma^k(a_0)$ and, in view of 3°, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k = 0$. This completes the proof.

REMARK 2. Note that we have not assumed the continuity of T .

2. As a simple consequence of Theorem 1 we obtain the following

THEOREM 2. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $T: X \rightarrow X$, and $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. If γ is nondecreasing, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \gamma(t)) = \infty$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^k(t) = 0$ for $t > 0$, and for each $x \in X$ there is a positive integer $n = n(x)$ such that for all $y \in X$,*

$$d(T^n x, T^n y) \leq \gamma(d(x, y)),$$

then T has a unique fixed point $a \in X$. Moreover, for each $x \in X$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T^k x = a$.

REMARK 3. Taking in Theorem 2 $\gamma(t) = ct$ with $0 < c < 1$, we obtain V. M. Sehgal's fixed point theorem in which the assumption of the continuity of T is removed (cf. [4]).

For $\alpha(t_1, \dots, t_5) = at_1 + bt_2 + ct_3 + bt_4 + at_5$, Theorem 1 yields the following

THEOREM 3. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the following condition: for each $x \in X$ there is a positive integer $n = n(x)$ such that for all $y \in X$,*

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^n x, T^n y) &\leq a[d(x, T^n x) + d(y, T^n y)] + b[d(x, T^n y) + d(T^n x, y)] \\ &\quad + cd(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

where a, b, c are nonnegative and $3a + 3b + c < 1$ then T has a unique fixed point $p \in X$. Moreover, for every $x \in X$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T^k x = p$.

Recently K. Iseki [2], generalizing the results of V. M. Sehgal [4] and L. Khazanchi [3], has obtained an analogous result but there T is assumed to be continuous and $4a + 4b + c < 1$.

REMARK 4. L. F. Guseman [1] noted that in [4] the continuity of T is superfluous. He also gave an interesting reformulation of Sehgal's result. In a similar way we can formulate our Theorems 1-3.

EXAMPLE. Let $X = [0, \infty)$, $d(x, y) = |x - y|$, $Tx = x/(1 + x)$, $\gamma(t) = t/(1 + t)$ for $x, y, t \in [0, \infty)$. We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^n(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t}{1 + nt} = 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \gamma(t)) = \infty$$

and

$$d(Tx, Ty) = \left| \frac{x}{x+1} - \frac{y}{y+1} \right| = \frac{|x-y|}{(1+x)(1+y)} \leq \frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|} = \gamma(d(x, y)).$$

Thus all the assumptions of Theorem 2 are fulfilled, but that is not the case for Theorem 3. To see this suppose that there are nonnegative a, b, c satisfying conditions of Theorem 3. Then for $x = 0$ we obtain

$$\frac{y}{1+ny} \leq a \left(y - \frac{y}{1+ny} \right) + b \left(\frac{y}{1+ny} + y \right) + cy, \quad n = n(0), y > 0.$$

Hence $(a + b + c)/(1 - a + b) \geq 1/(1 + ny)$ for $y > 0$ and, consequently, $2b + c \geq 1$. This contradiction proves that Theorem 1 is stronger than the results of [1]-[4].

REMARK 5. Suppose that $T: X \rightarrow X$ and there is a point $p \in X$ such that $\{d(T^n x, p)\}$ tends to 0 uniformly in X . Fix an $a > 0$. Then for every $x \in X - \{p\}$ there exists a positive integer $n = n(x)$ such that for all $y \in X$, $d(T^n x, T^n y) \leq ad(x, T^n x)$. Using this remark one can easily construct an example of a mapping T satisfying all the conditions of Theorem 3 and such that for every n , T^n is discontinuous.

REFERENCES

1. L. F. Guseman, *Fixed point theorems for mappings with a contractive iterate at a point*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 615-618. MR **42** #919.
2. K. Iseki, *A generalization of Sehgal-Khazanchi's fixed point theorems*, Math. Seminar Notes Kobe Univ. **2** (1974), 1-9.
3. L. Khazanchi, *Results on fixed points in complete metric space*, Math. Japonicae (to appear).
4. V. M. Sehgal, *A fixed point theorem for mappings with a contractive iterate*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (1969), 631-634. MR **40** #3531.