

## FINITE GROUPS WITH A STANDARD COMPONENT WHOSE CENTRALIZER HAS CYCLIC SYLOW 2-SUBGROUPS

LARRY FINKELSTEIN

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $G$  be a finite group with  $O(G) = 1$ ,  $A$  a standard component of  $G$  and  $X$  the normal closure of  $A$  in  $G$ . Furthermore, assume that  $C(A)$  has cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups. Then conditions are given on  $A$  which imply that either  $X = A$  or  $C(A)$  has Sylow 2-subgroups of order 2. These results are then applied to the cases where  $A$  is isomorphic to  $\cdot 0$  or  $\hat{R}u$ , the proper 2-fold covering of the Rudvalis group.

1. **Introduction.** The combined work of Aschbacher and Seitz [2], [6] reduces the problem of classifying finite groups with a standard component  $A$  of known type to the case where  $C(A)$  has cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups. The object of this paper is to show that in many cases, the problem may be further reduced to the case where  $C(A)$  has Sylow 2-subgroups of order 2. Our main results are proved in §2 and are then applied in §§3 and 4 to the cases where  $A$  is isomorphic to  $\hat{R}u$  and  $\cdot 0$ , respectively, with  $\hat{R}u$  being the full covering group of the Rudvalis group.

The author would like to thank Michael Aschbacher for improving the original version of Theorem 1.

### 2. Main results.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group with  $O(G) = 1$ ,  $A$  a standard component of  $G$  and  $X = \langle A^G \rangle$ . Let  $K = C(A)$  and  $R \in \text{Syl}_2(K)$  with  $R \cong Z_2^n$ . Then one of the following occurs.*

- (i)  $X = A$ .
- (ii)  $m(A) = 1$  and  $X$  is isomorphic to  $L_3(q)$ ,  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $q \neq 3$ , or  $U_3(1)$ ,  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .
- (iii)  $R^g \leq N(A)$  for some  $g \in G - N(A)$ .

**PROOF.** Assume that  $X \neq A$  and let  $g \in G - N(A)$  so that  $Q = K^g \cap N(A)$  has Sylow 2 subgroup  $T$  of maximal order. If  $|T| = |R|$ , then (iii) holds. Hence assume that  $|T| < |R|$ . Since  $K$  is tightly embedded in  $G$  and 2-nilpotent by Burnside's transfer theorem,  $N(R_1)$  covers  $N(A)/O(N(A))$  for  $1 \neq R_1 \leq R$ . Thus if  $|R_1| > |T|$  and  $R_1^h \leq N(R_1)$  for some  $h \in G$ , then  $h \in N(A)$ , hence  $R_1^h \leq N_K(R_1) = RN_{O(K)}(R_1)$  which forces  $R_1^h = R_1$ , and shows that  $R_1$  is weakly closed in  $N(R_1)$  with respect to  $G$ . This implies that

---

Received by the editors March 9, 1976 and, in revised form, April 23, 1976.  
AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 20DXX.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

$|T| > 1$ , for otherwise  $\Omega_1(R) < Z(G)$  by the  $Z^*$  theorem [10] and this contradicts  $X \neq A$ . Furthermore, we must then have  $|R| > 2$  in which case  $X$  is simple and  $G < \text{Aut}(X)$  by Lemma 2.5 [4]. Let  $\langle z \rangle = \Omega_1(R)$ . If  $|T| = 2$  and  $R_1$  is the unique  $Z_4$  subgroup of  $R$ , then by [5, 2.5],  $\langle z^G \rangle$  has dihedral or semidihedral Sylow 2-subgroups. Since  $\langle z^G \rangle \geq X$  and  $[z, A] = 1$ , it then follows that  $z \in A$ . Therefore  $A$  has 2 rank 1 and  $\langle z^G \rangle = X$ . By the results of [1],  $X$  must be isomorphic to  $L_3(q)$ ,  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $q \neq 3$  or  $U_3(q)$ ,  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , hence (ii) holds.

We may now assume that  $|T| > 2$  and, in addition, that  $T$  normalizes  $R$ . By [3, Theorem 2],  $C_R(T) = N_R(T) \cong T$ , hence our assumption that  $|T| < |R|$  gives  $C_R(T) < R$ . Set  $U = C_R(T)$  and let  $U < V < R$  with  $[V : U] = 2$ . Now  $UT \triangleleft VT$  and  $N_{VT}(T) = N_{VT}(\Omega_1(T))$ , so for  $v \in V - U$ ,  $UT = T \times T^v$ . This implies that  $v$  inverts  $[v, T]$  and since  $V \triangleleft VT$ ,  $v$  centralizes  $[v, T]$  as well. But  $[v, T] \cong T$  and a contradiction is established.

The main result of this paper concerns quasisimple groups which satisfy

**HYPOTHESIS I.**  $\bar{A}$  is a quasisimple group such that  $Z(\bar{A})$  has a cyclic Sylow 2-subgroup. Let  $\bar{A} = A/Z(A)$ ,  $\bar{A} < \bar{L} < \text{Aut}(\bar{A})$  and  $\bar{t}$  an involution of  $\bar{L}$ . Then  $\bar{C}_{\bar{L}}(\bar{t})/O(\bar{C}_{\bar{L}}(\bar{t}))$  has no  $Z_4$  or  $Z_2 \times Z_4$  normal subgroup.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group with  $O(G) = 1$ ,  $A$  a standard component of  $G$  satisfying Hypothesis I and  $X = \langle A^G \rangle$ . Assume that  $K = C(A)$  has a cyclic Sylow 2-subgroup. Then either  $X = A$  or  $|K|_2 = 2$ .*

**PROOF.** Assume that  $X \neq A$  and  $K$  has a Sylow 2-subgroup  $R \cong Z_{2^n}$ ,  $n > 1$ . If  $|R^g \cap N(A)| < |R|$  for all  $g \in G - N(A)$ , then by Theorem 1(ii),  $A \cong \text{SL}_2(q)$ ,  $q$  odd,  $q \neq 3$ . But then  $A$  does not satisfy Hypothesis I, hence by Theorem 1(iii), there exists  $g \in G - N(A)$  such that  $T = R^g$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $Q = K^g \cap N(A)$ . It is easy to see that  $Q$  is tightly embedded in  $L = QA$ . In fact, if  $Q \cap Q^a$  has even order for  $a \in A$ , then so does  $K^g \cap K^{ga}$ , hence  $K^g = K^{ga}$ . This in turn forces  $Q^a = (K^g \cap N(A))^a = K^g \cap N(A) = Q$  as required. Let  $\bar{L} = \bar{L}/L \cap K$  and  $\langle \bar{t} \rangle = \Omega_1(T)$ . Then  $\bar{A} < \bar{L} < \text{Aut}(\bar{A})$  and  $\bar{t}$  is an involution of  $\bar{L}$ .

Since  $Q$  is tightly embedded in  $L$ ,  $C_L(t)$  normalizes  $Q$  and this implies that  $\bar{C}_{\bar{L}}(\bar{t})$  normalizes  $\bar{Q} = O(\bar{Q})\bar{T}$ . Let  $\bar{C} = \bar{C}_{\bar{L}}(\bar{t})$ . We claim that  $\bar{C} = \bar{C}_{\bar{L}}(\bar{t})$  except when  $L \cap K$  has an involution  $z$  with  $z \sim zt$  in  $L$  in which case  $[C : \bar{C}_{\bar{L}}(\bar{t})] = 2$ . In order to prove this it suffices to show that  $t$  centralizes a Sylow 2-subgroup  $R_0$  of  $L \cap K$ . Without loss, we may assume that  $R_0 < R$  and  $T$  normalizes  $R$ . But then  $N_R(T) \cong T$  by [3, Theorem 2] whereupon  $[R, T] = 1$ . Let  $T_1$  be the unique  $Z_4$  subgroup of  $T$ . Observe that  $[C : \bar{C}_{\bar{L}}(\bar{t})] \leq 2$  implies that  $O(\bar{C}) = O(\bar{C}_{\bar{L}}(\bar{t}))$ . Since  $\bar{Q} \cap \bar{C}_{\bar{L}}(\bar{t})$  is a 2-nilpotent normal subgroup of  $\bar{C}_{\bar{L}}(\bar{t})$  with Sylow 2-subgroup  $\bar{T}$ , it then follows that  $\bar{T}O(\bar{C}) \triangleleft \bar{C}_{\bar{L}}(\bar{t})$ . Hence  $T_1O(\bar{C}) \triangleleft \bar{C}_{\bar{L}}(\bar{t})$ . By hypothesis,  $\bar{C}/O(\bar{C})$  has no  $Z_4$  or  $Z_2 \times Z_4$  normal subgroup, therefore we must have  $\bar{C} = \langle \bar{C}_{\bar{L}}(\bar{t}), \bar{\mu} \rangle$  where

$$\langle \bar{T}_1, \bar{T}_1^{\bar{\mu}} \rangle O(\bar{C}) \triangleleft \bar{C}.$$

Assuming that  $\bar{T}_1^{\bar{\mu}}$  normalizes  $\bar{T}_1$  and again invoking our hypothesis in

conjunction with the fact that  $\Omega_1(\bar{T}_1) = \Omega_1(\bar{T}_1^\mu) = \langle \bar{i} \rangle$ , we have  $\langle \bar{T}_1, \bar{T}_1^\mu \rangle \cong Q_8$ . A contradiction may now be established by noting that if  $\bar{T}_0$  is the  $Z_4$  subgroup of  $\langle \bar{T}_1, \bar{T}_1^\mu \rangle$  not equal to  $\bar{T}_1$  or  $\bar{T}_1^\mu$ , then  $\bar{T}_0 O(\bar{C}) \triangleleft \bar{C}$ .

Theorem 2 extends [9, Proposition 5.2]. Many, but not all, of the sporadic groups satisfy Hypothesis I and, therefore, Theorem 2 should be useful in these standard component problems.

Suppose now that  $G$  and  $A$  satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2 except that  $A$  does not satisfy Hypothesis I. Then the conclusion of Theorem 2 no longer holds. In fact, counterexamples exist when  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Aut}(F_5)$  [12] with  $A$  isomorphic to the proper 2-fold covering of the Higman-Sims group  $HS$  and  $G$  is isomorphic to the O’Nan-Sims group [13] with  $A$  isomorphic to a perfect central extension of  $Z_4$  by  $L_3(4)$ . Note that  $HS$  has an involution whose centralizer has a normal  $Z_4$  subgroup whereas  $L_3(4)$  has an involution whose centralizer has a normal  $Z_2 \times Z_4$  subgroup. At any rate, Theorem 1 may be helpful in these problems since it asserts that if  $X \neq A$ , then there exists  $g \in G - N(A)$  such that  $Q = K^g \cap N(A)$  has Sylow 2-subgroup  $R^g$  and  $Q$  is tightly embedded in  $QA$  with  $R^g$  acting faithfully on  $A$ . One should then be able to use the structure of  $A$  to determine  $|R|$ .

3. **The case  $A \cong \hat{R}u$ .** Let  $Ru$  be the simple group of order  $2^{14} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 29$  whose existence was first proposed by Rudvalis and later confirmed by Conway and Wales [7]. The multiplier of  $Ru$  is known to have order 2 and the outer automorphism group is trivial (see [11]). The character tables of both  $Ru$  and  $\hat{R}u$  have been computed by Frame and Rudvalis. The elements of 2 power order of  $\hat{R}u$  are listed in the following table:

TABLE I

$\hat{R}u$ Class	Centralizer Order	Squares
$\pm 1$	$2^{15} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 29$	1
$\pm 2A$	$2^{15} \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	1
$4A$	$2^{10}$	$-2A$
$\pm 4B$	$2^{10} \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	$2A$
$4C$	$2^9$	$2A$
$\pm 4D$	$2^9 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	$-2A$
$4E$	$2^8 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$	$-1$
$\pm 8A$	$2^7$	$4A$
$8B$	$2^5$	$4C$
$\pm 8C$	$2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	$-4B$
$\pm 16A$	$2^5$	$8A$
$\pm 16B$	$2^5$	$-8A$

Here  $nA$  represents a class of elements of order  $n$ ,  $\langle -1 \rangle$  is the center and  $\pm nA$  denotes a double class of elements.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $A \cong \hat{R}u$ . Then  $A$  satisfies Hypothesis I.*

PROOF. Let  $\bar{A} = A/Z(A)$  and  $\bar{i}$  be an involution of  $\bar{A}$ . It is clear from Table I that  $\bar{A}$  has 2 classes of involutions, denoted by  $2A$  and  $2B$ . Furthermore it is known that if  $\bar{i} \in 2A$  then  $C_{\bar{A}}(\bar{i})$  is 2-constrained of order  $2^{14} \cdot 3 \cdot 5$  with  $C_{\bar{A}}(\bar{i})/O_2(C_{\bar{A}}(\bar{i})) \cong S_5$  whereas if  $\bar{i} \in 2B$ , then  $C_{\bar{A}}(\bar{i}) \cong E_4 \times S_2(8)$  (see [11]). Moreover, if  $\bar{s}$  is an element of order 4, then by Table I,  $|C_{\bar{A}}(\bar{s})|_2 \leq 2^{10}$ . It now follows that in either case,  $C_{\bar{A}}(\bar{i})$  has no  $Z_4$  or  $Z_2 \times Z_4$  normal subgroup and  $O(C_{\bar{A}}(\bar{i})) = 1$ . Thus  $A$  satisfies Hypothesis I as required.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\hat{G}$  be a finite group with  $O(G) = 1$ ,  $A$  a standard component of  $G$  isomorphic to  $Ru$  and  $X = \langle A^G \rangle$ . Furthermore assume that  $K = C(A)$  has cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups. Then  $X = A$ .*

PROOF. Assume by way of a contradiction that  $X \neq A$ . It then follows from Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2 that  $\langle z \rangle = Z(A) \in \text{Syl}_2(K)$ . Setting  $L = C(z)$ , we have  $L = O(L) \times A$ . It is clear from Table I that all involutions of  $A - Z(A)$  are 4th powers whereas  $z$  is not. Therefore  $z$  is isolated in  $A$ , hence in  $L$  as well and we may conclude from the  $Z^*$  theorem [10] that  $z \in Z(G)$ . This is incompatible with  $X \neq A$  and the proof is completed.

**4. The case  $A \cong \cdot 0$ .** We begin by enumerating well-known properties of  $\cdot 0$  (see [6]).  $\cdot 0$  is a perfect group with center of order 2 and central quotient group isomorphic to  $\cdot 1$ . The outer automorphism group of  $\cdot 1$  is trivial and  $\cdot 0$  is a representation group for  $\cdot 1$ . Moreover  $\cdot 1$  has 3 classes of involutions, denoted by  $(2_1)$ ,  $(2_2)$  and  $(2_3)$ . If  $r_i \in (2_i)$  and  $L_i = C_{\cdot 1}(r_i)$ , then  $L_1$  is an extension of  $Q_8 * Q_8 * Q_8 * Q_8$  by  $\Omega_8^+(2)$ ,  $L_2$  is an extension of  $E_{2^{11}}$  by  $\text{Aut}(M_{12})$  and  $L_3$  is isomorphic to  $E_4 \times G_2(4)$  extended by an involution which acts as the field automorphism on  $G_2(4)$  and together with  $O_2(L_3)$  generates a  $D_8$  subgroup. It is now evident that the following holds.

**LFMMA 4.1.**  $\cdot 1$  satisfies Hypothesis I.

We can now prove the main result of this section.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group with  $O(G) = 1$ ,  $A$  a standard component of  $G$  isomorphic to  $\cdot 0$  and  $X = \langle A^G \rangle$ . Assume that  $K = C(A)$  has cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups. Then  $X = A$ .*

PROOF. Assume that  $X \neq A$ . It then follows from Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 2 that  $\langle z \rangle = Z(A) \in \text{Syl}_2(K)$ . Thus  $C(z) = A^* \times A$  where  $A^* = O(C(z))$ . Now finite groups in which the centralizer of an involution is isomorphic to  $\cdot 0$  have been classified [8]. In particular, such groups, modulo core, are isomorphic to  $\cdot 0$ . Moreover, the proof of [8] may be easily adapted to our present case and we conclude that  $z \in Z^*(G)$ , contradicting  $X \neq A$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Alperin, R. Brauer and D. Gorenstein, *Finite groups with quasi-dihedral and wreathed Sylow 2-subgroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **151** (1970), 1-261. MR **44** #1724.

2. M. Aschbacher, *A characterization of certain Chevalley groups and its application to component type groups*, Proceedings of the Conference on Finite Groups, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
3. ———, *On finite groups of component type*, Illinois J. Math. **19** (1975), 87–115. MR **51** #13018.
4. ———, *Standard components of alternating type centralized by a 4-group* (to appear).
5. ———, *Finite groups in which the generalized fitting subgroup of the centralizer of some involution is symplectic but not extra special*, Comm. Algebra **4** (1976), 595–616.
6. M. Aschbacher and G. Seitz, *On groups with a standard component of known type* (to appear).
7. J. Conway and D. Wales, *Construction of the Rudvalis group of order 145,926,144,000*, J. Algebra **27** (1974), 538–548. MR **49** #400.
8. L. Finkelstein, *Finite groups in which the centralizer of an involution is  $\cdot 0$* , J. Algebra **32** (1974), 173–177.
9. ———, *Finite groups with a standard component isomorphic to  $HJ$  or  $HJM$* , J. Algebra (to appear).
10. G. Glauberman, *Central elements in core-free groups*, J. Algebra **4** (1966), 403–420. MR **34** #2681.
11. R. Griess, *Schur multipliers of some sporadic simple groups*, J. Algebra **32** (1974), 445–466.
12. K. Harada, *On the simple group  $F$  of order  $2^{14} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$* , Proceedings of the Conference on Finite Groups, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
13. M. O’Nan, *Some evidence for the existence of a new simple group*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **32** (1976), 421–479.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY, DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48202