

## BINARY OPERATIONS IN THE SET OF SOLUTIONS OF A PARTIAL DIFFERENCE EQUATION

DORON ZEILBERGER

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a partial difference operator with constant coefficients in  $n$  independent (discrete) variables, and let  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}} = \{f: Z^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \mathcal{P}f = 0\}$ . We introduce a certain class of binary operations  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}} \times \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}$  generalizing a binary operation introduced by Duffin and Rohrer.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $Z^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional lattice and consider a partial difference operator on  $Z^n$

$$\mathcal{P}f(m) = \sum_{|k| \leq N} C_k f(m+k),$$

where  $m, k \in Z^n$ ,  $|k| = \sum_{i=1}^n |k_i|$ ,  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$  and  $N$  is an integer. In this note we shall characterize all products  $*$  of the form

$$(1.1) \quad (f * g)(m) = \sum_{r \in Z^n; k \in Z^n} d_{kr}^m f(r)g(k)$$

(only a finite number of terms on the right-hand side being nonzero) with the property that if  $\mathcal{P}f \equiv 0$  and  $\mathcal{P}g \equiv 0$  then  $\mathcal{P}(f * g) \equiv 0$ . The product of Duffin and Rohrer [1] falls in this category. The basic idea is to associate with every discrete function  $f: Z^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a linear functional  $T_f$  on the algebra  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  generated by the indeterminates  $\{z_1, z_1^{-1}, \dots, z_n, z_n^{-1}\}$ , given by

$$(1.2) \quad T_f(z_1^{k_1}, \dots, z_n^{k_n}) = f(k_1, \dots, k_n)$$

for every  $(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in Z^n$  and extended by linearity. Conversely, (1.2) associates a discrete function  $f: Z^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  to every such linear functional.

**2. Binary operations on the set of solutions of  $\mathcal{P}u \equiv 0$ .**

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Any operation  $(f, g) \rightarrow f * g$  which maps pairs of functions on  $Z^n$  to another function on  $Z^n$  and is of the form (1.1) will be termed a *Duffin product*.

**LEMMA 2.2.** Any Duffin product induces a linear mapping  $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{Q}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{2n}$  such that if  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ ,  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ ,

---

Received by the editors June 24, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 39A10.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

$$(2.1) \quad T_{f * g}(u(z)) = T_f T_g(\mathfrak{F}u(z, t))$$

where  $T_f T_g$  is the linear functional on  $\mathcal{Q}_{2n}$  defined by

$$(2.2) \quad T_f T_g(z^k t^r) = T_f(z^k) T_g(t^r)$$

and extended by linearity.

PROOF. By (1.1)

$$T_{f * g}(z^m) = (f * g)(m) = \sum d_{kr}^m T_f(z^k) T_g(t^r) = T_f T_g(\sum d_{kr}^m z^k t^r).$$

Define  $\mathfrak{F}(z^m) = \sum d_{kr}^m z^k t^r$  and extend by linearity. Obviously (2.1) defines a Duffin product for each such mapping.

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be a partial difference operator with constant coefficients  $\mathfrak{P}f(m) = \sum C_k f(m + k)$ , and let  $P(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  be its symbol,  $P(z) = \sum C_k z^k$ . Then  $\mathfrak{P}f \equiv 0$  iff  $T_f$  annihilates the principal ideal  $P(z)\mathcal{Q}_n = \{P(z)u(z); u(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_n\}$ .

PROOF. The statement is self-evident from the identity

$$T_f(P(z)z^m) = T_f(\sum C_k z^{m+k}) = \sum C_k f(m + k).$$

Now we are in a position to prove our central result.

THEOREM. A Duffin product induced by the mapping  $\mathfrak{F}: \mathcal{Q}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{2n}$ , given in Lemma 2.2, maps pairs of solutions of  $\mathfrak{P}u \equiv 0$  into another solution if  $\mathfrak{F}(P(z)\mathcal{Q}_n)$  is contained in the ideal generated by  $\{P(z), P(t)\}$ , i.e., if for every  $u(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_m$  we can find  $a(z, t), b(z, t) \in \mathcal{Q}_{2n}$  such that

$$\mathfrak{F}(P(z)u(z)) = a(z, t)P(z) + b(z, t)P(t).$$

PROOF.  $\mathfrak{P}(f * g) \equiv 0$  if  $T_{f * g}(P(z)\mathcal{Q}_n) = 0$ . Now

$$T_{f * g}(P(z)u(z)) = T_f T_g(\mathfrak{F}P(z)u(z)) = T_f T_g(a(z, t)P(z) + b(z, t)P(t)) = 0.$$

3. Applications. The theorem makes very easy the verification that a given Duffin product preserves the property of being a solution of a given partial difference equation with constant coefficients. This will be illustrated by the following two examples.

(a) Duffin and Duris [2] introduced three kinds of ‘convolution products’ for solutions of the discrete Cauchy-Riemann equation.

$$(3.1) \quad f(m, n) + if(m + 1, n) - f(m + 1, n + 1) - if(m, n + 1) \equiv 0.$$

They denoted them by  $f * g, f *' g$  and  $f *'' g$ . An easy calculation, which is not reproduced here in order to save space, shows that the corresponding mappings  $\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}', \mathfrak{F}'' : \mathcal{Q}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_4$  are (make the notational transformation  $z = (z_1, z_2) = (z, w), t = (t_1, t_2) = (t, s)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}: u(z, w) &\rightarrow (1+t)(1+z) \frac{u(z, w) - u(t, w)}{z-t} \\ &\quad + i(1+s)(1+w) \frac{u(t, w) - u(t, s)}{w-s}, \\ \mathfrak{F}': u(z, w) &\rightarrow (1+z)(1-t) \frac{u(z, w) - u(t, w)}{z-t} \\ &\quad + i(1-s)(1+w) \frac{u(t, w) - u(t, s)}{w-s}, \\ \mathfrak{F}'': u(z, w) &\rightarrow (1-z)(1-t) \frac{u(z, w) - u(t, w)}{z-t} \\ &\quad + i(1-s)(1-w) \frac{u(t, w) - u(t, s)}{w-s}. \end{aligned}$$

From these formulas we deduce easily that the corresponding convolution products indeed preserve discrete-analyticity (i.e., the property of being a solution of (3.1)). They can also be used to advantage in giving short proofs of the commutativity and associativity of these products.

(b) For a general partial difference equation with constant coefficients  $\mathcal{P}u \equiv 0$ , in  $Z^2$ , Duffin and Rohrer [1] introduced a 'product' which can be shown, by a straightforward but a little lengthy calculation, to be induced by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}(u(z, w)) &= ts \left\{ \frac{u(t, s) - u(t, w)}{s-w} \left[ \frac{P(z, w) - P(t, w)}{z-t} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{u(z, w) - u(t, w)}{z-t} \left[ \frac{P(t, s) - P(t, w)}{s-w} \right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{ts}{(s-w)(z-t)} [u(t, s)[P(z, w) - P(t, w)] \\ &\quad - u(t, w)[P(z, w) - P(t, s)] \\ &\quad - u(z, w)[P(t, s) - P(t, w)], \end{aligned}$$

where  $P(z, w)$  is the symbol of  $\mathcal{P}$ .  $\mathfrak{F}$  is seen to satisfy the hypothesis of the Theorem, thus furnishing a short proof to the fact that if  $\mathcal{P}f \equiv 0$  and  $\mathcal{P}g \equiv 0$ , then  $\mathcal{P}(f * g) \equiv 0$  (see Duffin and Rohrer [1, pp. 691–693] for the original proof).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author wishes to thank Professor Harry Dym for helpful comments and discussions.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. J. Duffin and Joan Rohrer Hundhausen, *A convolution product for the solutions of partial difference equations*, Duke Math. J. **35** (1968), 683–698. MR **39** # 1831.
2. R. J. Duffin and C. S. Duris, *A convolution product for discrete function theory*, Duke Math. J. **31** (1964), 199–220. MR **29** # 429.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, REHOVOT, ISRAEL