

ON BI-QUASITRIANGULAR OPERATORS¹

L. J. GRAY

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the set of nilpotent operators T for which T^k has closed range for all k is norm dense in the set of all nilpotent operators. A consequence of this is that every bi-quasitriangular operator is a norm limit of operators which are similar to a direct sum of weighted shifts plus scalars.

1. Introduction. For \mathcal{H} a complex separable Hilbert space, denote by $B(\mathcal{H})$ the collection of bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . Denote by \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{N} , and BQT the subsets of $B(\mathcal{H})$ consisting of the algebraic, nilpotent, and bi-quasitriangular operators, respectively. Voiculescu [5] has shown that $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = \text{BQT}$, where the bar denotes norm closure. In this note, we show that one can get by with a slightly smaller set than \mathcal{A} , namely $\mathcal{A}_c = \overline{\mathcal{A}}$, where \mathcal{A}_c is the set of algebraic operators T such that $(T - \lambda)^j$ has closed range for all complex λ and positive integers j . The operators in \mathcal{A}_c are of a particularly simple form: they are similar to Jordan operators. Thus, if $T \in \mathcal{A}_c$, there exists an invertible X such that $T = XSX^{-1}$, $S = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \oplus S_j$, and each S_j operates on C^{n_j} , $0 < n_j < \infty$, by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_j & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & \lambda_j & 1 & 0 & \dots & . \\ & & & & & 1 \\ & 0 & & & & \lambda_j \end{bmatrix},$$

where λ_j is an eigenvalue of T (see [2], [3], or [6]).

To show that \mathcal{A}_c is norm dense in \mathcal{A} , it clearly suffices to show that $\mathcal{N}_c = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{A}_c$ is norm dense in \mathcal{N} . This result may be of interest for problems concerning nilpotent approximations (see [1]).

2. For $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$, R_Y and N_Y will denote the orthogonal projection onto the closure of the range of Y and the null space of Y , respectively. If Q is a projection, Q will also denote the subspace R_Q ; it will be clear from the

Received by the editors September 30, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47B99.

Key words and phrases. Bi-quasitriangular, nilpotent operator, algebraic operator, closed range.

¹By acceptance of this article, the publisher or recipient acknowledges the U. S. Government's right to retain a nonexclusive, royalty-free license in and to any copyright covering the article.

context which is meant. If P and Q are two subspaces, $\theta(P, Q)$ will be the angle between them; i.e.

$$\theta(P, Q) = \inf(\cos^{-1}|(p, q)|)$$

where $p \in P, q \in Q$ and $\|p\| = \|q\| = 1$. Finally, for $T \in \mathfrak{U}$, $i(T)$ will be the smallest integer n such that $T^n = 0$.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that $A \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ and that Q is a projection. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an operator A_0 such that:*

- (a) $\|A - A_0\| < \epsilon$,
- (b) A_0 has closed range and $N_{A_0} = N_A$,
- (c) $\theta(Q, R_{A_0} - R_{A_0} \cap Q) > 0$,
- (d) $R_{(1-Q)A} = R_{(1-Q)A_0}$.

PROOF. We first note that, by using the polar decomposition, it is easy to see that any operator Y can be uniformly approximated by operators X with closed range such that $R_Y = R_X$ and $N_Y = N_X$. Thus, we may assume that A has closed range. Let δ be the greatest lower bound of A (i.e. $\|Ax\| \geq \delta\|x\|, x \in N_A^\perp$) and set $\epsilon_0 = \min(\epsilon/2, \delta/4)$. Now, apply the above remark to the operators QA and $(1 - Q)A$ to obtain A_1 and A_2 with closed range such that $\|QA - A_1\| < \epsilon_0, \|(1 - Q)A - A_2\| < \epsilon_0$ and $R_{A_1} = R_{QA}, R_{A_2} = R_{(1-Q)A}$ and similarly for the null spaces. If we now set $A_0 = A_1 + A_2$, (a) and (d) are obviously satisfied.

To prove (b) note that $N_{A_0} = N_{A_1} \cap N_{A_2} = N_{QA} \cap N_{(1-Q)A} = N_A$ and, thus, it remains to verify that A_0 is bounded below on N_A^\perp . If $x \in N_A^\perp, \|x\| = 1$, then $\|Ax\| \geq \delta$, and we may assume $\|QAx\| \geq \delta/2$ (if not, then $\|(1 - Q)Ax\| \geq \delta/2$). Since $\|QA - A_1\| < \delta/4$, we have $\|A_1x\| \geq \delta/4$, and thus $\|A_0x\| \geq \delta/4$. This verifies (b), and now (c) follows from the fact that $(1 - Q)A_0 = A_2$ has closed range.

LEMMA 2. *If $T \in \mathfrak{U}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $T_0 \in \mathfrak{U}$ such that*

- (a) $\|T - T_0\| < \epsilon, i(T) = i(T_0)$,
- (b) T_0 has closed range,
- (c) $R_T = R_{T_0}$.

PROOF. The proof is by induction on $i(T)$; assume that the lemma is true for $i(T) \leq n - 1$. Let $i(T) = n$ and write $\mathfrak{H} = R_T \oplus N_T$. With respect to this decomposition, T has the matrix representation

$$\begin{pmatrix} T' & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $T' = T|_{R_T}$. Thus, T' is nilpotent and $i(T') = n - 1$; let $T'_0 \in \mathfrak{U}$ be such that $\|T' - T'_0\| < \epsilon/2$ and properties (a)–(c) are satisfied. We let A_0 be the operator guaranteed by Lemma 1, with $Q = R_{T'_0}$, such that $\|A - A_0\| < \epsilon/2$. We now set

$$T_0 = \begin{pmatrix} T'_0 & A_0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(we note that since

$$R_{T'_0} \subset R_T \quad \text{and} \quad R_{(1-R_{T'_0})A} = R_{(1-R_T)A_0},$$

the range of A_0 is contained in R_T). We have $T_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ because $T'_0 \in \mathcal{U}$, and clearly, (a) is satisfied. Note that $R_{T_0} \subset R_T$ is a consequence of the way we constructed T_0 , while the reverse inclusion follows from the fact that $R_{T'_0} = R_T$, and property (d) of Lemma 1. Thus, (c) is verified and it remains to show that T_0 has closed range. But

$$R_{T'_0} + R_{A_0} \subset \text{range of } T_0 \subset R_{T_0} = \sup(R_{T'_0}, R_{A_0})$$

and thus we must show that $R_{T'_0} + R_{A_0}$ is closed. However, this follows from $\theta(R_{T'_0}, R_{A_0} - R_{A_0} \cap R_{T'_0}) > 0$ and problem 3, p. 243 of [4].

THEOREM 1. \mathcal{U}_c is norm dense in \mathcal{U} .

PROOF. We will show that for $T \in \mathcal{U}$ and an integer k , there exists $T_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ with $i(T_0) = i(T)$, $\|T - T_0\| < \epsilon$ and such that for $1 \leq j \leq k$, T_0^j has closed range and $R_{T_0^j} = R_{T^j}$. Letting $k = i(T)$ will complete the proof.

The above statement for $k = 1$ is the content of Lemma 2; assume it is true for $k \leq n - 1$. We proceed as in Lemma 2: write

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T' & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To construct T_0 , replace T' by the T'_0 assured by the induction hypothesis, and replace A by the A_0 of Lemma 1, again with $Q = R_{T'_0}$. That T_0 has closed range equal to R_T follows as in Lemma 2. Furthermore,

$$\text{range}(T_0^2) = \text{range}(T'_0|_{R_{T'_0}}) = \text{range}(T'_0|_{R_T}) = R_{T'_0}$$

and, thus, in succession, we obtain

$$\text{range}(T_0^j) = \text{range}(T_0|_{R_{T_0^{j-1}}}) = \text{range}(T_0|_{R_{(T_0)^{j-2}}}) = R_{(T_0)^{j-1}}$$

for $i \leq j \leq n$. Thus, T^j has closed range.

COROLLARY 1. \mathcal{U}_c is norm dense in \mathcal{U} .

COROLLARY 2. Every operator in $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ is the norm limit of operators similar to nilpotent weighted shifts.

COROLLARY 3. Every bi-quasitriangular operator is a norm limit of operators which are similar to an operator of the form $\sum_{k=1}^n \oplus W_k$, where W_k is of the form weighted shift $+ \lambda_k I$.

REFERENCES

1. C. Apostol and N. Salinas, *Nilpotent approximations and quasinilpotent operators*, Pacific J. Math. **61** (1975), 327-337.
2. C. Apostol and J. G. Stampfli, *On derivation ranges*, Indiana J. Math. **25** (1976), 857-870.

3. L. J. Gray, *Jordan representation for a class of nilpotent operators*, *Indiana J. Math.* **26** (1977), 57-64.

4. A. E. Taylor, *Functional analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1967.

5. D. Voiculescu, *Norm-limits of algebraic operators*, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **19** (1974), 371-378. MR **49** #7826.

6. L. Williams, *Similarity invariants for a class of nilpotent operators* (to appear).

COMPUTER SCIENCES DIVISION, UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION, NUCLEAR DIVISION,* OAK RIDGE, TENNESSEE 37830

*Prime contractor for the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration.