

## ON NONOSCILLATORY LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF SECOND ORDER

PHILIP HARTMAN<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** For the equation  $y'' + q(t)y = 0$ , we derive necessary conditions for nonoscillation in terms of "general means" of  $Q(t) = \int_0^t q \, ds$ .

**1. Main theorem.** The object of this note is to obtain necessary conditions for

$$(1.1) \quad y'' + q(t)y = 0,$$

$q \in C^0[0, \omega)$ , to be nonoscillatory at  $t = \omega$  ( $< \infty$ ). The result generalizes [2] (cf. [3, §7, pp. 362–369]) involving the case  $\omega = \infty$  and the arithmetic mean

$$t^{-1} \int_0^t Q(s) \, ds, \quad \text{where } Q(t) = \int_0^t q(s) \, ds.$$

A generalization of [2] was given by Coles and Willett in which  $\omega = \infty$ , and the arithmetical mean was replaced by a more general mean

$$(1.2) \quad (KQ)(t) = \int_0^t K(t, s)Q(s) \, ds,$$

where  $K(t, s)$  is the kernel  $K_n(t, s) = K_n(t, s; \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$ ,

$$(1.3) \quad K_n(t, s) = \phi_1(s) \int_{s < s_2 < \dots < s_n < t} \dots \int \phi_2(s_2) \dots \phi_n(s_n) \, ds_2 \dots ds_n / k_n(t),$$

$$\int_0^t K_n(t, s) \, ds = 1,$$

depending on nonnegative functions  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$ ; cf. [1], [5] and references there, and see §4 below. The essential arguments in these papers were the same as in [2], but they also involved many formal calculations with iterated integrals which seemed to have nothing to do with (1.1). In this paper, we show that the methods of [2] can be used for very general "means" (1.2) and, in fact, the object in part is to state suitable conditions on the kernel  $K(t, s) \geq 0$  for which the arguments of [2] are applicable. Roughly, the conditions imposed on  $K$  will include the following three types: first, a generalization of the condition that the map  $Q \mapsto KQ$  in (1.2) is regular in the

Received by the editors September 16, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 34C10.

<sup>1</sup>This study was supported by NSF Grant MPS75-15733.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

sense of (Toeplitz) summation theory [cf. (a), (b\*) below]; second, that this map is a bounded integral operator from the Banach space  $(L^{2/\sigma} \cap C^0)[0, \omega]$  to the Banach space  $(L_0^\infty \cap C^0)[0, \omega]$  for some  $\sigma$ ,  $1 < \sigma < 2$ , [cf. (c<sub>o</sub>) below] and, third, a quite technical condition given in (d) below. Our main result will be stated as a sufficient condition for  $(A_\tau)$ :

$(A_\tau)$  Let  $\tau$  be fixed,  $1 < \tau < 2$ . Let  $K(t, s) \geq 0$  be continuous for  $0 < s < t$ ,  $0 < t < \omega$  ( $< \infty$ ). We say that the kernel  $K$  has property  $(A_\tau)$  if the following holds: If  $q(t) \in C^0[0, \omega]$ , then a necessary condition for (1.1) to be nonoscillatory at  $t = \omega$  is either that

$$(1.4) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow \omega} \int_0^t K(t, s) Q(s) ds = -\infty,$$

or that there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$(1.5) \quad \int_0^t K(t, s) |C - Q(s)|^\tau ds \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \omega.$$

Furthermore, when (1.1) is nonoscillatory, then (1.5) holds if and only if one and/or every solution  $y \not\equiv 0$  of (1.1) satisfies

$$(1.6) \quad \int^\omega (y'/y)^2 dt < \infty.$$

**REMARK.** We do not gain generality by replacing (1.1) by an equation of the form  $(P(t)y')' + R(t)y = 0$ ,  $P(t) > 0$ , since the latter can be reduced to (1.1) by the change of independent variables  $t \rightarrow u$ ,  $du = dt/P(t)$ , and  $K(t, s)$  replaced by  $K(t, s)P(s)$ .

We use the letter  $K$  for both the kernel  $K(t, s)$  and the corresponding integral operator  $Q \mapsto KQ$  in (1.2). In what follows, we always assume that  $K(t, s) \geq 0$  is continuous for  $0 < s < t$ ,  $0 < t < \omega$ . We list some other conditions on  $K$  which will be assumed from time to time:

$$(a) \quad (KQ)(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow \omega, \quad \text{if } Q \in (L_0^\infty \cap C^0)[0, \omega],$$

i.e., the operator  $K$  is a bounded map on the Banach space  $(L_0^\infty \cap C^0)[0, \omega]$ .

$$(b) \quad (KQ)(t) \rightarrow \infty, \quad t \rightarrow \omega, \quad \text{if } C^0[0, \omega] \ni Q(t) \rightarrow \infty, t \rightarrow \omega.$$

$$(c) \quad (KQ)(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow \omega, \quad \text{if } Q \in (L^{2/\sigma} \cap C^0)[0, \omega]$$

for some fixed  $\sigma$ ,  $1 < \sigma < 2$ ; i.e., the map  $K$  is a bounded operator from the Banach space  $(L^{2/\sigma} \cap C^0)[0, \omega]$  to the Banach space  $(L_0^\infty \cap C^0)[0, \omega]$  for some  $\sigma$ ,  $1 < \sigma < 2$ .

(d) Assume that there exist functions  $0 < k(t) \in C^0[0, \omega]$ ,  $0 \leq m(t) \in C^0[0, \omega]$ , a kernel  $K_0(t, s) \geq 0$  continuous for  $0 \leq s \leq t$ ,  $0 < t < \omega$ , and a constant  $\alpha$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < 2$ , with the following properties:

$$(1.7) \quad \kappa(t, s) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_s^t k(t) K(t, s) ds \geq 0$$

exists and is continuous,  $0 \leq s \leq t$ ,  $0 < t < \omega$ ;

$$(1.8) \quad K^{2-\alpha}(t, s)/K_0(t, s) \text{ is continuous for } 0 \leq s \leq t, 0 < t < \omega;$$

$$(1.9) \quad \int^{\omega} m(t) dt = \infty \quad \text{or} \quad (\infty >) \limsup_{t \rightarrow \omega} k(t) \int_t^{\omega} m(t) dt > 0,$$

and, finally, (1.7) satisfies for  $s$  near  $\omega$ ,  $s < t < \omega$ ,

$$(1.10) \quad \kappa(t, s) > k^2(t)m(t) \left( \int_0^t K^{2-\alpha}(t, u)/K_0(t, u) du \right) K^{\alpha}(t, s)K_0(t, s).$$

REMARKS. We give examples and illustrations of these conditions in §4, but we make some simple comments here. Condition (a) holds if and only if  $K$  satisfies the two conditions

$$(a_1) \quad \int_0^t K(t, s) ds = O(1) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \omega,$$

$$(a_2) \quad \int_0^T K(t, s) ds = o(1), \quad t \rightarrow \omega, \quad \text{for fixed } T, 0 < T < \omega,$$

while  $(a_2)$  is implied by

$$(a_2^*) \quad \int_0^T K(t, s) ds = o(1), \quad t \rightarrow \omega, \quad \text{uniformly for } 0 < s < T.$$

When (a), i.e.,  $(a_1)$ – $(a_2)$ , holds, condition (b) is satisfied if

$$(b^*) \quad \int_0^t K(t, s) ds > c > 0 \quad \text{for } t \text{ near } \omega, c = \text{const.}$$

Also, when  $(a_2)$  holds, then condition  $(c_{\sigma})$  is equivalent to

$$(1.11) \quad K(t, s) = O(1), \quad s \rightarrow \omega, \quad \text{uniformly in } t > s, \sigma = 2,$$

$$(1.12) \quad \int_s^t |K(t, u)|^{2/(2-\sigma)} du = O(1), \quad s \rightarrow \omega, \\ \text{uniformly in } t > s, 1 < \sigma < 2.$$

If  $k \in C^1$ , then (1.9) holds, when either

$$(1.9^*) \quad \int^{\omega} m(t) dt = \infty \quad \text{or} \quad k(t) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad k'/k^2 = O(m) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \omega.$$

Also, if  $K_0(t, s) = \kappa(t, s)$  satisfies (1.8) with  $\alpha = 0$ , then (1.10) holds with

$$(1.10^*) \quad m(t) = 1/k^2(t) \int_0^t [K^2(t, u)/\kappa(t, u)] du.$$

THEOREM. Let  $q \in C^0[0, \omega)$  be such that (1.1) is nonoscillatory at  $t = \omega$ . (i) If  $K$  satisfies (a) and  $(c_{\sigma})$ , and (1.6) holds for some solution  $y \not\equiv 0$  of (1.1), then (1.5) holds for  $1 < \tau < \sigma$ , with

$$(1.13) \quad C = y'(a)/y(a) + Q(a) - \int_a^{\omega} (y'/y)^2 ds$$

if  $y(t) \neq 0$  for  $(0 <) a < t < \omega$ ; in particular,

$$(1.14) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow \omega} \int_0^t K(t, s) Q(s) ds > -\infty.$$

(ii) If  $K$  satisfies (a), (b), (d) and if (1.14) holds, then every solution  $y \not\equiv 0$  of (1.1) satisfies (1.6).

If (b\*) also holds in (i), then of course

$$(1.15) \quad C = \lim_{t \rightarrow \omega} \int_0^t K(t, s) Q(s) ds \Big/ \int_0^t K(t, u) du.$$

The proof of the theorem follows [2]. It obviously implies

**COROLLARY.** Conditions (a), (b), (c<sub>σ</sub>), (d) imply (A<sub>σ</sub>).

**2. Proof of (i).** Let  $y \not\equiv 0$  be a solution of (1.1) satisfying (1.6). We shall verify (1.5) for  $\tau = \sigma$ . The cases  $1 < \tau < \sigma$  then follow from Hölder's inequality and (a<sub>1</sub>), while (1.14) is implied by the case  $\tau = 1$  of (1.5) and (a<sub>1</sub>). Also (1.13) will be clear from the proof.

Suppose that  $y \neq 0$  for  $t \geq a$  ( $\geq 0$ ), so that  $r = y'/y$  satisfies the Riccati equation

$$(2.1) \quad r' + r^2 + q(t) = 0$$

for  $t \geq a$ . A quadrature gives

$$(2.2) \quad r(s) + \int_a^s r^2(u) du = r(a) - Q(s) + Q(a).$$

In view of (1.6), we can write this as

$$(2.3) \quad r(s) - \int_s^\omega r^2(u) du = C - Q(s),$$

where  $C$  is given by (1.13). Consequently, by (2.3),

$$|C - Q(s)|^\sigma < 2^\sigma r^\sigma(s) + 2^\sigma \left( \int_s^\omega r^2(u) du \right)^\sigma,$$

so that  $K > 0$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^t K(t, s) |C - Q(s)|^\sigma ds \\ & < 2^\sigma \int_a^t K(t, s) r^\sigma(s) ds + 2^\sigma \int_a^t K(t, s) \left( \int_s^\omega r^2(u) du \right)^\sigma ds. \end{aligned}$$

By (a), the last term on the right tends to 0 as  $t \rightarrow \omega$ . Also, by (c<sub>σ</sub>), the first term tends to 0 as  $t \rightarrow \omega$ . This proves (i).

**3. Proof of (ii).** Suppose (ii) is false, so that (1.6) fails for some solution  $y \not\equiv 0$  of (1.1). Let  $t = a$  be fixed, sufficiently near to  $\omega$ , so that, in particular,  $y(t) \neq 0$  for  $t \geq a$ . Using (2.2),

$$(3.1) \quad \int_a^t K(t, s) r(s) ds + S(t) = c(t, a) - \int_0^t K(t, s) Q(s) ds, \quad t \geq a,$$

where we put

$$(3.2) \quad S(t) = \int_a^t K(t, s) \left( \int_a^s r^2(u) du \right) ds,$$

$$(3.3) \quad c(t, a) = [r(a) + Q(a)] \int_a^t K(t, s) ds + \int_0^a K(t, s) Q(s) ds.$$

Since (1.6) does not hold, (b) implies that

$$(3.4) \quad S(t) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \omega.$$

Note that  $c(t, a)$  is bounded as  $t \rightarrow \omega$  by assumption (a<sub>1</sub>). Hence (1.14) implies that the right side of (3.1) is bounded from above as  $t \rightarrow \omega$ , so that

$$(3.5) \quad - \int_a^t K(t, s)r(s) ds > S(t)/2 \quad \text{for } t \text{ near } \omega.$$

For a fixed constant  $\alpha$ , Schwarz's inequality gives

$$(3.6) \quad \left| \int_a^t K(t, s)r(s) ds \right|^2 < \left( \int_a^t [K^{2-\alpha}(t, u)/K_0(t, u)] du \right) \left( \int_a^t K^\alpha(t, s)K_0(t, s)r^2(s) ds \right),$$

whenever the right side is meaningful. The last two inequalities show that

$$(3.7) \quad 4k^2(t) \left( \int_a^t [K^{2-\alpha}(t, u)/K_0(t, u)] du \right) \left( \int_a^t K^\alpha(t, s)K_0(t, s)r^2(s) ds \right) > k^2(t)S^2(t).$$

By Fubini's theorem, (3.2) can be rewritten

$$(3.8) \quad S(t) = \int_a^t \left[ \int_s^t K(t, u) du \right] r^2(s) ds.$$

Hence, by (1.7),

$$(3.9) \quad [k(t)S(t)]' = \int_a^t \kappa(t, s)r^2(s) ds,$$

so that (1.10) and (3.7) imply

$$4[k(t)S(t)]' > m(t)[k(t)S(t)]^2 \quad \text{for } t \text{ near } \omega$$

(if  $t = a$  has been fixed sufficiently near  $\omega$ ). By a quadrature, for  $t$  near  $\omega$ ,

$$4/S(t) > k(t) \int_t^u m(s) ds \quad \text{if } t < u < \omega.$$

This contradicts the first alternative in (1.9) if  $u \rightarrow \omega$ ; and, by (3.4), contradicts the second alternative if  $u \rightarrow \omega$  and then  $t \rightarrow \omega$ . This proves (ii).

**4. Remarks and examples.** The kernel  $K(t, s) = 1/t$  for  $0 < s < t, t > 0, \omega = \infty$  of [2] satisfies (a), (b), (c<sub>2</sub>), (d) with  $k(t) = t, m(t) = 1/t, K_0 \equiv 1$ , and  $\alpha = 1$ .

EXAMPLE 1. More generally, let  $0 < \phi(t) \in C^0[0, \omega)$ ,

$$(4.1) \quad K(t, s) = \phi(t-s)/k(t), \quad \text{where } k(t) = \int_0^t \phi(s) ds.$$

Thus (a<sub>1</sub>) and (b\*) are satisfied, and (a<sub>2</sub>) is equivalent to

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{t-T}^t \phi(s) ds / \int_0^t \phi(u) du \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \omega, 0 < T < \omega.$$

Note that

$$\kappa(t, s) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_s^t \phi(t - u) du = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^{t-s} \phi(u) du = \phi(t - s).$$

Hence, if  $\alpha = 1$  and  $K_0 \equiv 1$ , then (1.10) holds with

$$(4.3) \quad m(t) = 1/k(t) = 1 / \int_0^t \phi(u) du,$$

and so, (1.9) holds if either

$$(4.4) \quad \int^\omega \left[ dt / \int_0^t \phi(u) du \right] = \infty \quad \text{or} \\ \limsup_{t \rightarrow \omega} \left( \int_0^t \phi(s) ds \right) \int_t^\omega \left[ du / \int_0^u \phi(r) dr \right] > 0.$$

This holds if either

$$(4.5) \quad \int^\omega \left[ dt / \int_0^t \phi(u) du \right] = \infty \quad \text{or} \\ \int_0^\omega \phi ds = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(t) = O\left(\int_0^t \phi du\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \omega.$$

Also, (1.11), hence (c<sub>2</sub>), holds if

$$(4.6) \quad \phi(u) = O\left(\int_0^{u+s} \phi dv\right), \quad s \rightarrow \omega, \quad \text{uniformly for } 0 < u < \omega,$$

and (1.12), hence (c<sub>σ</sub>),  $1 < \sigma < 2$ , holds if

$$(4.7) \quad \int_0^u \phi^{2/(2-\sigma)} dv = O\left(\int_0^{u+s} \phi dv\right)^{2/(2-\sigma)}, \quad s \rightarrow \omega, \\ \text{uniformly for } 0 < u < \omega.$$

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $n > 1$  and, if  $n = 1$ , replace all  $(n - 1)$ -fold integrals below by 1. Let  $0 < \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \in C^0[0, \omega)$ , and consider the kernel in (1.3), i.e.,

$$(4.8) \quad K(t, s) = L(t, s)/k(t),$$

where

$$(4.9) \quad L(t, s) = \phi_1(s) \int_{s < s_2 < \dots < s_n < t} \dots \int \phi_2(s_2) \dots \phi_n(s_n) ds_2 \dots ds_n,$$

$$(4.10)$$

$$k(t) = \int_0^t L(t, s) ds = \int_{0 < s_1 < \dots < s_n < t} \dots \int \phi_1(s_1) \dots \phi_n(s_n) ds_1 \dots ds_n.$$

Then (a<sub>1</sub>), (b\*) hold, and (a<sub>2</sub>\*) is equivalent to

$$(4.11) \quad L(t, s) = o(k(t)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \omega, \quad \text{uniformly for } 0 < s \leq T,$$

$0 < T < \omega$  fixed. By repeated applications of L'Hôpital's rule, (4.11) holds if

$$(4.12) \quad k_m(t) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \omega \quad \text{for } m = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $k = k_n$  in (4.10). Note that

(4.13)

$$\kappa(t, s) = \phi_n(t) \int_{s < s_1 < \dots < s_{n-1} < t} \phi_1(s_1) \cdots \phi_{n-1}(s_{n-1}) ds_1 \cdots ds_{n-1}.$$

If  $\alpha = 1$  and  $K_0 = 1$ , then (1.10) in (d) holds for  $T < s < t < \omega$  if

$$(4.14) \quad m(t) = \left\{ \sup_{T < s < t} [\kappa(t, s)/L(t, s)] \right\} / k(t).$$

If  $\alpha = 0$  and  $K_0 = \kappa$ , then (1.10) holds if

$$(4.15) \quad m(t) = 1 \int_0^t [L^2(t, u)/\kappa(t, u)] du,$$

by the remark concerning (1.10\*). Also, (1.11), hence (c<sub>2</sub>), holds if (4.11) and

$$(4.16) \quad L(t, s) = O(k(t)), \quad s \rightarrow \omega, \quad \text{uniformly in } t > s,$$

and (1.12), hence (c<sub>σ</sub>),  $1 < \sigma < 2$ , holds if (4.11) and

$$(4.17) \quad \int_s^t [L(t, u)]^{2/(2-\sigma)} du = O(k^{2/(2-\sigma)}(t)), \quad s \rightarrow \omega, \quad \text{uniformly in } t > s.$$

Consider the case  $n = 1$  and let  $\phi = \phi_1$ . If  $\phi(t) < c_0$  then (4.14) can be replaced by

$$m(t) = \phi(t) / c_0 \int_0^t \phi(s) ds,$$

so that the first alternative in (1.9) holds by (4.12<sub>n</sub>). Without any assumption of boundedness, (4.15) is

$$m(t) = \phi(t) \int_0^t \phi^2(s) ds,$$

and (1.9) becomes

$$(4.18) \quad \int^\omega \left[ \phi(t) \int_0^t \phi^2 du \right] dt = \infty \quad \text{or} \\ \limsup_{t \rightarrow \omega} \left( \int_0^t \phi ds \right) \int_t^\omega \left[ \phi(u) \int_0^u \phi^2 dv \right] du > 0,$$

which is satisfied if (4.12<sub>n</sub>) and

$$\int^\omega \left[ \phi(t) \int_0^t \phi^2 du \right] dt = \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \int_0^t \phi^2 ds = O\left(\int_0^t \phi du\right)^2 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \omega.$$

Finally, (4.16), (4.17) reduce, respectively, to

$$\phi(t) = O\left(\int_0^t \phi du\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \omega,$$

$$\int_s^t \phi^{2/(2-\sigma)} du = O\left(\int_0^t \phi du\right)^{2/(2-\sigma)}, \quad s \rightarrow \omega, \quad \text{uniformly in } t > s.$$

The above conditions seem to be weaker than those imposed by Coles and Willett [1]. For example, when  $n = 2$ , (4.15) becomes

$$m(t) = \phi_2(t) \left/ \int_0^t \left[ \phi_1^2(s) \left( \int_s^t \phi_2 \, du \right)^2 \right] \right/ \left( \int_s^t \phi_1 \, du \right) \right] ds,$$

which is required to satisfy (1.9). In Coles and Willett [1], the analogous function is

$$\tilde{m}(t) = \phi_2(t) \left/ \int_0^t \phi_1^2(s) \left[ \int_s^t \phi_2^2(u) \, du / \phi_1(u) \right] \right] ds.$$

By Schwarz's inequality,  $m(t) \geq \tilde{m}(t)$ , so that (1.9) is weaker than the corresponding condition in [1]. Furthermore (4.17), with  $\sigma = 1$ , is a weaker condition than the corresponding one in [1].

EXAMPLE 3 (ADDED 2/14/77). Using the procedure of [2], Macki and Wong [4] consider necessary conditions for (1.1) to be nonoscillatory [in fact, for analogues of (A<sub>r</sub>)] in which (1.6) is replaced by

$$(4.19) \quad \int^\omega P(z'/z)^2 \, dt, \quad \text{where } z = y/P^{1/2},$$

$\omega = \infty, 0 < P \in C^1[0, \omega), Q$  in (1.2) and (1.4)–(1.5) is replaced by

$$(4.20) \quad Q(t) = \int_0^t Pq \, ds - \int_0^t (P'/2P^{1/2})^2 \, ds + P'(t)/2,$$

and  $K$  is a kernel of the type (1.3) in Example 2 with  $n = 1$ . Their results are included in the theorem above after suitable changes of variables; cf. the remark following (1.6). Suppose for a moment that  $P \in C^2$  and make the change of dependent variables  $y = zP^{1/2}$ , so that (1.1) becomes  $(Pz)'' + Rz = 0$ , or

$$(4.21) \quad d^2z/du^2 + Rz = 0, \quad \text{where } R = qP + P^{1/2}(P^{1/2})'', \quad 0 \leq u < \omega^*,$$

$u = u(t)$  is a new independent variable,  $du = dt/P, u(0) = 0, \omega^* = u(\omega) < \infty$ . Actually, the existence of  $P''$  is not needed, for the proof does not involve the equation (4.21) nor the corresponding Riccati equation, but the integrated form of the latter [cf. (2.2)],

$$(4.22) \quad r(u) + \int_a^u r^2(\sigma) \, d\sigma = c - Q(t(u)),$$

where  $Q$  is given by (4.20),  $t(u)$  is the inverse of  $u = u(t)$ , and  $r(u) = z^{-1}(dz/du) = Pz'/z$  at  $t = t(u)$ ; cf. [4] for another derivation of (4.22). Thus the analogue of the theorem holds if the kernel  $K(t(v), t(u))P(t(u))$  satisfies the corresponding conditions with  $0 \leq s \leq t, 0 < t < \omega$  replaced by  $0 \leq u \leq v, 0 < v < \omega^*$ , and (1.6) by (4.19).

Macki and Wong also formulated conditions analogous to (a) and (c), with  $\sigma = 2$ , for their kernel.



## REFERENCES

1. W. J. Coles and D. Willett, *Summability criteria for oscillation of second order linear differential equations*, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **79** (1968), 391–398.
2. P. Hartman, *On non-oscillatory linear differential equations of second order*, *Amer. J. Math.* **74** (1952), 389–400.
3. \_\_\_\_\_, *Ordinary differential equations*, S. M. Hartman, Baltimore, 1973.
4. J. W. Macki and J. S. W. Wong, *Oscillation theorems for linear second order ordinary differential equations*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **20** (1969), 67–72.
5. D. Willett, *On the oscillatory behavior of the solutions of second order linear differential equations*, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **21** (1969), 175–194.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21218