

A MEASURE THEORETIC VARIANT OF BLUMBERG'S THEOREM

JACK B. BROWN

ABSTRACT. It is the purpose of this note to present a measure theoretic variant of Blumberg's theorem about continuous restrictions of arbitrary real functions.

Henry Blumberg [1] proved a theorem in 1922, which in its most basic form is stated as follows:

THEOREM A. *For every $f: I \rightarrow R$, there exists $D \subset I$, D dense in I , such that $f|D$ is continuous.*

I is the interval $[0, 1]$ and R denotes the reals. Blumberg observed [2] that the set D cannot be made to have cardinality c because of the function $f: I \rightarrow R$ of Sierpiński and Zygmund [6] which has no continuous restriction of cardinality c . However, the author established theorems [3] from which the following results:

THEOREM B. *For every $f: I \rightarrow R$, there exists $W \subset I$, W c -dense in I , such that $f|W$ is pointwise discontinuous (PWD).*

W is c -dense in I if and only if every subinterval of I contains c -many points of W . A function g with domain W is PWD if and only if there exists $D \subset W$, D dense in W , such that g is continuous at each element of D .

P. Erdős recently asked the author if something could be done to make the set W in the conclusion of Theorem B large relative to Lebesgue measure λ .

First, notice that it would be easy to alter the set W in such a way to make it an M set (i.e. have $\lambda^0(W) > 0$). Let $D \subset W$ be the set on which $f|W$ is continuous, let C be a Cantor subset of I of positive measure, and let $W' = W \cup C$. Then $\lambda^0(W') > 0$, and $f|W'$ is still continuous at each element of $D - (C \cap D)$, which is dense in W' .

On the other hand, it would not be possible to make W have outer measure 1 or even be M -dense in I if f is a function such as the following: let C_1, C_2, \dots , be a sequence of disjoint Cantor subsets of I such that $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots$ has measure 1, and let $f(x) = n$ if $x \in C_n$. A is M -dense in B if $A \subset B$ and every open set which intersects B intersects A in an M set.

Next we might ask if W can be made to be M -dense in itself and drop the

Received by the editors February 22, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 26A15.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

requirement that it be dense in I . Dropping the requirement that W be dense in I will, in fact, make it possible to obtain differentiability on a dense subset of W if infinite derivatives are allowed (i.e. f is differentiable at x if f is continuous at x , x is a limit point of D_f , and there is t , $-\infty < t < \infty$, such that if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of elements of $D_f - \{x\}$ converging to x , then $\{(f(x) - f(x_n))/(x - x_n)\}$ converges to t). In [5] Ceder proved the following:

THEOREM C. *Suppose $X \subset I$ is uncountable. Then for every $f: X \rightarrow R$ there exists $D \subset X$, D bilaterally dense in itself, such that $f|D$ is differentiable.*

In [4] the author proved the following variant of Ceder's theorem:

THEOREM D. *Suppose $X \subset I$ is not an L_1 set. Then for every $f: X \rightarrow R$ there exist $W \subset X$ and $D \subset W$, W bilaterally c -dense in itself and D dense in W such that $f|W$ is differentiable at each element of D .*

An L_1 set is a countable union $M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \dots$ such that for each i , every nowhere dense in M_i subset of M_i has cardinality less than c . A set is bilaterally dense (c -dense) (M -dense) in itself if and only if every closed interval which intersects it intersects it in an infinite set (set of cardinality c) (M set). The converse of Theorem D was also shown to hold. An alteration of the proof of Theorem D will prove the following:

THEOREM E. *Suppose $X \subset I$ is an M set. Then for every $f: X \rightarrow R$, there exist $W \subset X$ and $D \subset W$, W bilaterally M -dense in itself and D dense in W , such that $f|W$ is differentiable at each element of D .*

The proof of Theorem E can proceed almost identically with the proof of Theorem 1 of [4], with the notions " L_1 " and " L_2 " (which means "not L_1 ") of [4] replaced by "null" (which in this case means "of measure zero") and " M ", respectively. Lemma 1 of [4] states that if x is an element of a bilaterally L_2 -dense in itself set A , then there exists a bilaterally c -dense in itself nowhere dense in A subset N of A containing x . Indeed, the fact that the Continuum Hypothesis implies the existence of a Lusin set, so that the conclusion of Lemma 1 cannot be obtained if it is just known that A is bilaterally c -dense in itself, is the cause of most of the difficulties encountered in proving Theorems B and D, and brought about the necessity of defining properties L_1 and L_2 . The situation with respect to M sets is much simpler in the sense that Lemma 1 of [4] can be replaced by the following:

LEMMA 1'. *If x is an element of a bilaterally M -dense in itself set A , then there exists a bilaterally M -dense in itself nowhere dense in A subset N of A containing x .*

PROOF. There exists a G_δ set B such that $A \subset B$ and $\lambda^0(A) = \lambda(B)$. Assume that $B \subset \text{Cl}(A)$, so that B is M -dense in itself. It follows that if $C \subset B$ and $\lambda(C) > 0$, then $\lambda^0(A \cap C) = \lambda(C)$. For each positive integer n , the set $A_n = [x, x + 1/n] \cap A$ has positive outer measure. Consider a Cantor set C_n

of positive measure such that C_n is a subset of and nowhere dense relative to $[x, x + 1/n] \cap B$. Let C_n' consist of the points of density 1 of C_n . Then $R_n = C_n' \cap A$ will be a bilaterally M -dense in itself subset of $[x, x + 1/n] \cap A$ which is nowhere dense in $[x, x + 1/n] \cap A$. The set $[x - 1/n, x] \cap A$ will contain a similar set L_n . Then

$$N = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \dots \cup (x) \cup R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \dots$$

is the desired set.

Now, Lemmas 2–6 of [4] can be altered by replacing “ L_1 ” and “ L_2 ” by “null” and “ M ”, respectively, and the proofs will be the same. Then on stage (2) of the inductive procedure in the proof of Theorem 1 [4, p. 39], make N_n be bilaterally M -dense in itself, and Theorem E will be proved.

REFERENCES

1. H. Blumberg, *New properties of all real functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1922), 113–128.
2. _____, *Arbitrary point transformations*, Duke Math. J. **11** (1944), 671–685.
3. J. B. Brown, *Metric spaces in which a strengthened form of Blumberg's theorem holds*, Fund. Math. **71** (1971), 243–253.
4. _____, *Lusin density and Ceder's differentiable restrictions of arbitrary real functions*, Fund. Math. **84** (1974), 35–45.
5. J. G. Ceder, *Differentiable roads for real functions*, Fund. Math. **65** (1969), 351–358.
6. W. Sierpiński and A. Zygmund, *Sur une fonction qui est discontinue sur tout ensemble de puissance du continu*, Fund. Math. **4** (1923), 316–318.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN, ALABAMA 36830