

## INJECTIVE NEAR-RING MODULES OVER $Z_n$

JOHN D. P. MELDRUM

**ABSTRACT.** In this note, we show that an injective unital near-ring module over  $Z_n$  has trivial centre if  $n > 3$ . As a consequence there are no injective unital near-ring modules over  $Z_3$ .

The question of the existence of injective modules over near-rings has received a lot of attention since the publication of Seth and Tewari [7] sparked off a series of counter-examples to some of their results. Banaschewski and Nelson [1], Mason [3], Oswald [4] and Prehn [6] have all shown that in general injective modules over a near-ring do not exist, not even in the category of unital near-ring modules over  $Z$ . But Banaschewski and Nelson [1] and Prehn [6] have shown that, under certain very special circumstances injective near-ring modules can exist. The two cases are very different, but they both use special properties of the near-ring concerned to return to abelian modules. This leaves open the question: for which near-rings do injective modules exist? The probable answer is not many! In the present contribution, we show that injective unital near-ring modules over  $Z_n$  have trivial centre, and hence that the ideas of Banaschewski and Nelson and Prehn do not help in this case. For basic definitions and results, see Pilz [5], the only difference being that we use left rather than right near-rings. Here  $Z_n$  is the ring of integers modulo  $n$ , so the distinction between right and left near-rings is, in fact, irrelevant in this context.

We first note that the category of unital near-ring modules over  $Z_n$  is just the category of groups of exponent  $n$ . Contrary to the usual practice when dealing with near-ring modules, we will write all groups multiplicatively. The main result follows immediately.

**THEOREM.** *An injective unital near-ring module over  $Z_n$  has trivial centre, if  $n > 3$ .*

**PROOF.** We prove this result by contradiction. Let  $M$  be an injective module over  $Z_n$  with nontrivial centre  $A$ . Let  $p$  be a prime dividing  $n$  such that  $A$  contains an element of order  $p$ , and let  $n = pq$  ( $q$  may be 1). Let  $C$  be a cyclic group of order  $p$ , generated by  $c$ . Let  $D = \prod_{i=1}^q M_i$ , where  $M_i \cong M$  and  $\prod$  indicates direct product. Then  $f \in D = M^C$  can be thought of as a

---

Received by the editors May 2, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 16A76; Secondary 16A52, 18G05.

Key words and phrases. Near-ring modules, injective modules,  $Z_n$ .

© American Mathematical Society 1978

function from  $C$  to  $M$ , where we write  $f(i)$  for  $f(c^i)$  and  $f(i) \in M_i$ . Define  $c$  as an automorphism of  $D$  by  $f^c(i) = f(i - 1)$ . Then  $D \cdot C$ , the semidirect product of  $D$  by  $C$  is the wreath product of  $M$  by  $C$  (see Hall [2]).

Let  $B \subseteq D$  be defined by  $B = \{f \in D; f(i) = ma_i, m \in M, a_i \in A \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p \text{ and } a = a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_p \text{ has order dividing } q\}$ . We show that  $B$  is a subgroup of  $D$  normalised by  $C$ . Certainly  $B$  is nonempty. Let  $f, g \in B$ . Then

$$fg^{-1}(i) = ma_i(nb_i)^{-1} = mn^{-1}a_ib_i^{-1}$$

and  $ab^{-1} = a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_p(b_1 \cdot \dots \cdot b_p)^{-1}$  has order dividing  $q$  since  $A$  is an abelian group. Here  $g(i) = nb_i, n \in M, b_i \in A$  and  $b = b_1 \cdot \dots \cdot b_p$  has order dividing  $q$ . Finally  $f^c(i) = f(i - 1) = ma_{i-1}$  and this obviously lies in  $B$ . So  $B \cdot C$  is a subgroup of  $D \cdot C$ . Note that  $f(1) \cdot \dots \cdot f(p) = m^p a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_p$ , since  $a_i \in A$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , and this element has order dividing  $q$ .

We next show that  $BC$  is a group of exponent  $n$ . Let  $fc^r \in BC$ . Then

$$(fc^r)^p = fc^r \cdot \dots \cdot fc^r = ffc^{-r}fc^{-2r} \cdot \dots \cdot f^{c^{-(p-1)r}}c^{pr} = h$$

where  $h \in B, h(i) = f(i)f(i+r) \cdot \dots \cdot f(i+(p-1)r)$ . If  $r = 0$ , then

$$f^n(i) = (ma_i)^n = m^n a_i^n = e$$

the identity. If  $1 \leq r \leq p-1$ , then  $r$  and  $p$  are coprime and so  $h(i) = f(1) \cdot \dots \cdot f(p)$ . By the remark above  $h(i)$  has order dividing  $q$ , so  $h^q = e, (fc^r)^n = (fc^r)^{pq} = e$ .

Embed  $M$  in  $BC$  by  $m \rightarrow \bar{m}$ , where  $\bar{m}(i) = m$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$ . Since  $M$  is injective,  $BC = MN$  is the semidirect product of  $M$  and  $N$ , and  $N$  is normal in  $BC$ . As  $c \in MN$ , we must have  $c = \bar{m}^{-1}\bar{m}c$  for some  $\bar{m}$ , where  $\bar{m}c \in N$ . There are two cases now.

(i) We can choose  $p \geq 3$ . Let  $e \neq a \in A$  have order  $p$  and define  $g \in B$  by  $g(1) = a, g(2) = a^{-1}, g(i) = e$  for  $2 < i \leq p$ . Then  $[g, \bar{m}c] \in N$ . But  $g$  commutes with  $\bar{m}$ , so  $[g, \bar{m}c] = [g, c] \in N$ . Let  $h = [g, c]$ . Then

$$h(i) = g^{-1}(i)g^c(i) = g^{-1}(i)g(i-1).$$

Define  $k = \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} h^{x(i)}$  where  $x(i) = \frac{1}{2}i(i+1)c^{i-1}$ . From the definition of  $h$ , we have  $h(i) \neq e$  only if  $i = 1, 2$  or  $3$ , and  $h(1) = a^{-1}, h(2) = a^2, h(3) = a^{-1}$ , since  $p \geq 3$ . Hence

$$k(i) = a^{-1} \text{ as } -\frac{1}{2}(i-2)(i-1) + i(i-1) - \frac{1}{2}i(i+1) = -1$$

for  $3 \leq i \leq p-1$ ,

$$k(1) = a^{-1} \text{ as } -1 - \frac{1}{2}p(p-1) \equiv -1 \pmod{p};$$

$$k(2) = a^{-1} \text{ as } 2 - \frac{1}{2}2 \cdot 3 = -1,$$

$$k(p) = a^{-1} \text{ as } -\frac{1}{2}(p-2)(p-1) + p(p-1) \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

Hence  $e \neq k \in M \cap N$ , a contradiction.

(ii) We cannot choose  $p \geq 3$ . Then  $n = 2^r$  and  $r \geq 2$ . So  $p = 2, 2|q$  and we

can choose  $e \neq a \in A$  of order 2. Define  $g \in B$  by  $g(1) = a$ ,  $g(2) = e$ . Then  $g \in B$  as  $2|q$ . As before  $[g, c] \in N$ . Write  $h = [g, c]$ . Then

$$h(1) = g(1)^{-1}g(2) = a^{-1} = a, \quad h(2) = g(2)^{-1}g(1) = a.$$

Hence  $e \neq h \in M \cap N$ , another contradiction.

This finishes the proof of the theorem.

**COROLLARY.** *There are no injective unital near-ring modules over  $Z_3$ .*

**PROOF.** This follows from the theorem and the fact that all groups of exponent 3 are nilpotent and so have nontrivial centre. (See Hall [2].)

Note that groups of exponent 2 are necessarily abelian and that unital near-ring modules over  $Z_2$  are vector spaces over  $Z_2$ , and for these injectives exist in abundance.

I would like to thank Professor G. Mason, Dr. A. Oswald and Dr. S. D. Scott for helpful suggestions and useful discussion. I would also like to acknowledge with gratitude the hospitality of the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut, Oberwolfach, where, during the conference on near-rings held between 27th June and 3rd July, 1976, the discussions mentioned above took place.

#### REFERENCES

1. B. Banaschewski and E. Nelson, *On the non-existence of injective near-ring modules*, Canad. Math. Bull. (to appear).
2. M. Hall, *The theory of groups*, Macmillan, New York, 1959.
3. G. Mason, *Injective and projective near-ring modules*, Compositio Math. **33** (1976), 43–54.
4. A. Oswald, *A note on injective modules over a d.g. near-ring*, Canad. Math. Bull. (to appear).
5. G. Pilz, *Near-rings*, North-Holland, Amsterdam; American Elsevier, New York, 1977.
6. R. Prehn, *Injective Gruppen über Fastringe*, Publ. Math. Debrecen. (to appear).
7. V. Seth and K. Tewari, *On injective near-ring modules*, Canad. Math. Bull. **17** (1974), 137–141.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, EDINBURGH EH9 3JZ, SCOTLAND