

## PRODUCTS OF SINGULAR CONTINUOUS MEASURES

ALAN MACLEAN

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $G$  be a compact Abelian group. It is shown that if the Fourier transform of  $f \in A(G)$  satisfies certain lacunary conditions, then  $f$  may be factored as the convolution product of singular continuous measures.

Let  $G$  be a compact Abelian group with dual group  $\Gamma$ . Doss [3] has shown that if  $\mu$  is any continuous measure on  $G$ , then there exists a singular measure  $\nu \neq 0$  on  $G$  such that  $(\mu * \nu)^\wedge \in L^1(\Gamma)$ , i.e., the Radon-Nikodým derivative of  $\mu * \nu$  has an absolutely convergent Fourier series. Extensions of this theorem to locally compact groups are given in [4]. In this paper we prove a partial converse to Doss's theorem. We show (Theorem 1) that if  $f$  has an absolutely convergent Fourier series and  $\hat{f}$  satisfies certain lacunary conditions, then there are singular continuous measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  such that  $f = \mu * \nu$  and  $\|\mu\| \|\nu\| < \|\hat{f}\|_1$ . We then list some consequences of this theorem and give some examples.

Throughout  $G$  will denote a compact Abelian group with dual  $\Gamma$ ;  $M(G)$  the complex regular Borel measures on  $G$ ;  $A(G) = \{f \in C(G) : \hat{f} \in L^1(\Gamma)\}$  with  $\|f\|_A = \|\hat{f}\|_1$ . For  $\mu \in M(G)$  we denote the support of  $\hat{\mu}$  by  $S(\hat{\mu}) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \hat{\mu}(\gamma) \neq 0\}$ . We follow the exposition on dissociate sets and Riesz products given in [2]. In particular,  $\Theta \subset \Gamma$  is dissociate if  $0 \notin \Theta$  and each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  can be written in at most one way (except for order of summands) as

$$(1) \quad \gamma = \sum_1^n \varepsilon_j \gamma_j$$

where the  $\gamma_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) are distinct elements of  $\Theta$ ,  $\varepsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}$  if  $2\gamma_j \neq 0$ , and  $\varepsilon_j = 1$  if  $2\gamma_j = 0$ .  $\Omega(\Theta)$  consists of 0 and all characters of the form (1). We will make use of the following: if  $\mu$  is a Riesz product generated by  $b$ ,  $\Theta$  where, say,  $|b(\theta)| < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $b(\theta) \neq 0$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ , then  $\mu$  is singular if  $\sum_{\Theta} |b(\theta)|^2 = \infty$  and  $\mu$  is continuous if  $\sum_{\Theta} (1 - |b(\theta)|) = \infty$ . These facts and much additional information may be found in [1], [2], [6], [8], and [10].

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f \in A(G)$  and suppose there exists an infinite dissociate set  $\Theta \subset \Gamma$  such that  $[S(\hat{f}) - S(\hat{f})] \cap \Omega(\Theta)$  is finite. Then there exist singular continuous measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  on  $G$  such that  $f = \mu * \nu$  and  $\|\mu\| \|\nu\| \leq \|f\|_A$ .*

Received by the editors April 19, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 43A25, 42A96.

**Key words and phrases.** CA group, absolutely convergent Fourier series, convolution, singular continuous measure.

© American Mathematical Society 1978

**PROOF.** By removing a finite set from  $\Theta$  we may assume without loss of generality that

$$[S(\hat{f}) - S(\tilde{f})] \cap \Omega(\Theta) = \{0\}.$$

Write  $\Theta = \Psi \cup \Lambda$  where both  $\Psi$  and  $\Lambda$  are infinite and  $\Psi \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$ . Choose an increasing sequence  $(F_n)$  of finite subsets of  $\Gamma$  such that  $S(\hat{f}) = \bigcup_1^\infty F_n$ . Next write

$$\hat{f}(\gamma) = |\hat{f}(\gamma)|^{1/2} |\hat{f}(\gamma)|^{1/2} \operatorname{sgn} \hat{f}(\gamma).$$

Then

$$f = g * h \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_A = \|g\|_2 \|h\|_2$$

where  $g, h \in L^2(G)$  are defined by  $\hat{g} = |\hat{f}|^{1/2}$  and  $\hat{h} = |\hat{f}|^{1/2} \operatorname{sgn}(\hat{f})$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be any singular continuous Riesz product generated by  $b, \Psi$  (e.g.,  $b(\theta) \equiv \frac{1}{2}$ ).  $\sigma$  is a probability measure with  $S(\hat{\sigma}) \subset \Omega(\Psi) \subset \Omega(\Theta)$ . In particular, (1) implies that

$$(2) \quad \hat{\sigma}(\gamma - \chi) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \gamma, \chi \in S(\hat{f}), \gamma \neq \chi.$$

Thus, setting  $Q_n = \sum_{F_n} \hat{g}(\gamma)\gamma$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) we have for  $m \geq n$

$$\int_G |Q_m - Q_n|^2 d\sigma = \sum_{F_m/F_n} \sum_{F_m/F_n} \hat{g}(\gamma) \overline{\hat{g}(\chi)} \hat{\sigma}(\chi - \gamma) = \sum_{F_m/F_n} |\hat{g}(\gamma)|^2.$$

It follows that the sequence  $(Q_n)$  is Cauchy in  $L^2(\sigma)$ . Let  $g'$  denote the  $L^2$  limit of the  $Q_n$  and let  $\mu \in M(G)$  be the measure whose Radon-Nikodým derivative with respect to  $\sigma$  is  $g'$ :  $\mu = g'\sigma$ . Then  $\mu$  is singular and continuous since  $\sigma$  is. Further,  $(Q_n)$  converges weakly to  $g'$  in  $L^2(\sigma)$  so that

$$(3) \quad \hat{\mu}(\chi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n} \hat{g}(\gamma) \hat{\sigma}(\chi - \gamma) \quad (\chi \in \Gamma).$$

In particular, for  $\chi \in S(\hat{f})$ , (2) shows that  $\hat{\mu}(\chi) = \hat{g}(\chi)$ . Moreover,

$$\|\mu\| \leq \|g'\|_{2,\sigma} \leq \|\hat{g}\|_2 = \|g\|_2.$$

Next, let  $\tau$  be any singular continuous Riesz product on  $\Lambda$ , set  $P_n = \sum_{F_n} \hat{h}(\gamma)\gamma$  and repeat the above argument to obtain a singular continuous measure  $\nu = h'\tau$  such that  $\hat{\nu} = \hat{h}$  on  $S(\hat{f})$  and  $\|\nu\| \leq \|h\|_2$ .

Suppose  $(\mu * \nu)^\wedge(\chi) \neq 0$ . It follows from (3) that  $\hat{\sigma}(\chi - \gamma) \neq 0$  for some  $\gamma \in S(\hat{f})$ , whence,  $\chi = \gamma + \omega$  for some  $\gamma \in S(\hat{f})$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega(\Psi)$ . Similarly,  $\chi = \gamma' + \omega'$  for some  $\gamma' \in S(\hat{f})$  and  $\omega' \in \Omega(\Lambda)$ . Now  $\Psi \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$  and  $\Theta$  is dissociate. This shows first that  $\gamma - \gamma' = \omega' - \omega \in \Omega(\Theta)$  and then in combination with (1) implies that  $\omega = \omega' = 0$ , i.e.,  $\chi = \gamma = \gamma' \in S(\hat{f})$ . Thus,  $(\mu * \nu)^\wedge$  vanishes off  $S(\hat{f})$ . On the other hand, from above  $(\mu * \nu)^\wedge = (g * h)^\wedge = \hat{f}$  on  $S(\hat{f})$ . Thus,  $f = \mu * \nu$ . Finally,  $\|\mu\| \|\nu\| \leq \|g\|_2 \|h\|_2 = \|f\|_A$ .

For  $\mathfrak{F} \subset A(G)$  and  $E \subset \Gamma$ , put  $\mathfrak{F}_E = \{f \in \mathfrak{F} : S(\hat{f}) \subset E\}$ . We say that  $f \in \mathfrak{F}_E$  admits singular continuous factorization if there exist singular continuous measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  such that  $f = \mu * \nu$  and  $\|\mu\| \|\nu\| \leq \|f\|_A$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $E \subset \Gamma$  is a Sidon set such that  $(E - E) \cap \Omega(\Theta)$  is finite for some infinite dissociate set  $\Theta$ , then each  $f \in L_E^\infty(G)$  admits singular continuous factorization.*

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $E \subset \Gamma$ . If there exists a continuous measure  $\sigma \in M(G)$  such that  $|\hat{\sigma}| \geq \delta > 0$  on  $E - E$ , then each  $f \in A_E(G)$  admits singular continuous factorization.*

**PROOF.** Corollary 1 is clear. Corollary 2 follows from Lemma 1, Doss [3] (or Lemma 5, [4]): if  $\sigma$  is continuous and  $\delta > 0$ , then there exists an infinite dissociate set  $\Theta$  such that  $|\hat{\sigma}(\omega)| < \delta$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega(\Theta) \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus,  $(E - E) \cap \Omega(\Theta) = \{0\}$ .

**REMARKS.** Every dissociate set  $\Theta \subset \Gamma$  satisfies the hypothesis of Corollary 2: If  $\mu$  is the continuous Riesz product with  $\hat{\mu}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}$  for every  $\theta \in \Theta$ , then  $\hat{\mu}(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \geq \frac{1}{4}$  for all  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta$ .

Every Sidon set  $E$  satisfies  $E \cap \Omega(\Theta) = \{0\}$  for some infinite dissociate set  $\Theta$ . For by the Hartman-Wells Theorem [7, 4.8] there is a continuous measure  $\mu$  such that  $\hat{\mu} = 1$  on  $E$ , hence, the lemma of Doss cited above provides the required  $\Theta$ . Of course, this argument fails for  $E - E$  since this set is not Sidon. Indeed, not every Sidon set satisfies the hypothesis of Corollary 1;  $E = \{4^n, 4^n + n; n \geq 1\}$  is a Sidon set for which  $E - E = \mathbb{Z}$ . On the other hand, there are rather "large" sets  $E$  satisfying  $(E - E) \cap \Omega(\Theta) = \{0\}$ . For example, one can construct infinite dissociate sets  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$  for which  $[\Omega(\Theta_1) - \Omega(\Theta_1)] \cap \Omega(\Theta_2) = \{0\}$ . Since  $\Omega(\Theta_1)$  supports transforms of nonzero singular measures this shows, in particular, that there are non-Riesz sets  $E$  ( $E$  is a Riesz set if  $S(\hat{\mu}) \subset E$  implies  $\mu \in M_a(G)$ ) for which every  $f \in A_E(G)$  admits singular continuous factorization. It may be the case, in fact, that every  $f \in A(G)$  (perhaps even  $f \in L^1(G)$ ) admits such a factorization. Little appears to be known in this direction. It is known that not every continuous measure can be factored as a product of continuous measures [9].

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $H$  be an infinite closed subgroup of  $G$ . Then each  $f \in A(G)$  that is constant on cosets of  $H$  admits singular continuous factorization. In particular, each continuous positive definite function on  $G$  for which  $\{x \in G: f(x) = f(0)\}$  is infinite admits such a factorization.*

**PROOF.**  $H$  is not discrete so Haar measure  $m$  on  $H$  may be regarded as a continuous measure in  $M(G)$ . Since  $\hat{m}$  is the characteristic function of the annihilator  $E = \{\gamma \in \Gamma: \gamma = 1 \text{ on } H\}$  of  $H$ , Corollary 2 shows that each  $f \in A(G)$  with  $S(\hat{f}) \subset E - E = E$  admits the asserted factorization. These functions are exactly those of the form  $g \circ \pi$  where  $g \in A(G/H)$  and  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H$  is the quotient map, i.e., they are the members of  $A(G)$  that are constant on cosets of  $H$ . Finally, if  $f$  is continuous positive definite on  $G$ , then  $H = \{x \in G: f(x) = f(0)\}$  is a closed subgroup upon whose cosets  $f$  is constant.

For  $G = T$  it is possible to construct a large collection of sets  $E \subset \mathbb{Z}$  for

which each  $f \in A_E$  admits singular continuous factorization. We begin with a definition and a lemma whose proof is straightforward and omitted.

A set  $E \subset Z$  is said to have (arbitrarily) large symmetric gaps relative to  $I_n$  if there exist intervals  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$  where  $a_n, b_n$  are positive integers with  $a_n < b_n < a_{n+1}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b_n - a_n) = \infty$  and

$$(*) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \left| E \cap \bigcup_1^n (-I_k \cup I_k) \right| < 1$$

( $|A|$  = cardinality of  $A$ ). We note that this occurs, for instance, if there exists a function  $g > 0$  such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} g(n) = 0$$

and  $|E \cap \bigcup_1^n (-I_k \cup I_k)| \leq \rho g(n)$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) for some constant  $\rho > 0$ . In particular, Sidon sets ( $g(n) = \log n$ ) and, more generally,  $\Lambda_p$  sets ( $p > 2$ ) ( $g(n) = n^{2/p}$ ) have large symmetric gaps [5, 37.25].

**LEMMA.** Let  $(x_k)_1^\infty$  be a sequence of positive integers with  $x_k \geq 3$  for all  $k > 2$ . Let  $\theta_n = \prod_1^n x_k$ ,  $\Theta = (\theta_n)_1^\infty$ , and set

$$\Omega_n = \left[ \theta_n, \sum_1^n \theta_k \right] \cup \left[ \theta_{n+1} - \sum_1^n \theta_k, \theta_{n+1} - 1 \right] \quad (n > 1).$$

Then  $\theta_{n+1} > 2 \sum_1^n \theta_k$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) so that  $\Theta$  is dissociate and

$$\Omega(\Theta) \subset \left( \bigcup_1^\infty \Omega_n \right) \cup \{0\} \cup \left( - \bigcup_1^\infty \Omega_n \right).$$

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $E \subset Z$ . If  $E - E$  has large symmetric gaps relative to  $I_n$ , then each  $f \in A_E(T)$  admits singular continuous factorization.

**PROOF.** Let  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$  be as in the definition and for a sequence  $(x_n)$  of integers set  $\theta_n = \prod_1^n x_k$ ,  $\Theta = (\theta_n)_1^\infty$ . For  $n > 1$ , let

$$F_n = \left[ \theta_n - \sum_1^{n-1} \theta_k, \theta_n + \sum_1^{n-1} \theta_k \right].$$

It suffices by Theorem 1 to show that there is an infinite dissociate set  $\Theta$  such that  $(E - E) \cap \Omega(\Theta) = \{0\}$ . In view of the Lemma we need only show that a sequence  $(x_n)$  can be chosen satisfying  $x_n \geq 3$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) and  $(E - E) \cap \bigcup_1^\infty (-F_n \cup F_n) = \emptyset$ . We do this by induction. Let  $x_1 \geq 3$  be any integer such that  $\pm x_1 \notin E - E$ . Then  $(E - E) \cap \{\pm x_1\} = (E - E) \cap (-F_1 \cup F_1) = \emptyset$ . Assume  $x_k \geq 3$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ) have been chosen so that  $(E - E) \cap \bigcup_1^n (-F_k \cup F_k) = \emptyset$ . The induction will be complete provided  $x_{n+1} \geq 3$  can be selected such that  $(E - E) \cap (-F_{n+1} \cup F_{n+1}) = \emptyset$ . Suppose to the contrary that for each  $m \geq 3$ ,

$$(1) \quad (E - E) \cap (-H_m \cup H_m) \neq \emptyset$$

where

$$H_m = \left[ \theta_n m - \sum_1^n \theta_k, \theta_n m + \sum_1^n \theta_k \right].$$

Since  $a_n < a_{n+1} (n \geq 1)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b_n - a_n) = \infty$  there is a  $N$  such that  $\theta_n^{-1}(a_j + \sum_1^n \theta_k) \geq 3$  and  $b_j - a_j > \theta_n + 2\sum_1^n \theta_k$  for all  $j > N$ . Then

$$\theta_n^{-1} \left( b_j - \sum_1^n \theta_k \right) - \theta_n^{-1} \left( a_j + \sum_1^n \theta_k \right) > 1.$$

Hence, for each  $j > N$  there is an integer  $m_j \geq 3$  satisfying

$$(2) \quad \theta_n^{-1} \left( a_j + \sum_1^n \theta_k \right) < m_j < \theta_n^{-1} \left( b_j - \sum_1^n \theta_k \right).$$

It follows that

$$(3) \quad H_{m_j} = \left[ \theta_n m_j - \sum_1^n \theta_k, \theta_n m_j + \sum_1^n \theta_k \right] \subset [a_j, b_j].$$

Further, from (2)

$$\theta_n m_j + \sum_1^n \theta_k < b_j < a_{j+1} < \theta_n m_{j+1} - \sum_1^n \theta_k$$

so that the intervals  $H_{m_j}$  in (3) are pairwise disjoint. Thus, the assumption in (1) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} M - N + 1 &\leq \left| (E - E) \cap \bigcup_{j=N}^M (-H_{m_j} \cup H_{m_j}) \right| \\ &\leq \left| (E - E) \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^M (-I_j \cup I_j) \right| \end{aligned}$$

for all  $M \geq N$ . This contradicts line (\*) above and completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. G. Brown, *Riesz products and generalized characters*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **30** (1975), 209–238.
2. G. Brown and W. Moran, *On orthogonality of Riesz products*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **76** (1974), 173–181.
3. R. Doss, *Convolution of singular measures*, Studia Math. **45** (1973), 111–117.
4. C. C. Graham and A. MacLean, *A multiplier theorem for continuous measures*, Studia Math. (to appear).
5. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Volumes I and II, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1963 and 1970.
6. E. Hewitt and H. S. Zuckerman, *Singular measures with absolutely continuous convolution squares*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **62** (1966), 399–420. Corrigendum, *ibid.* **63** (1967), 367–368.
7. J. López and K. A. Ross, *Sidon sets*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1975.
8. O. Padé, *Sur le spectre d'une classe de produits de Riesz*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B **276** (1973), A1453–A1455.
9. D. L. Salinger and N. Th. Varopoulos, *Convolutions of measures and sets of analyticity*, Math. Scand. **25** (1969), 5–18.
10. A. Zygmund, *On lacunary trigonometric series*, Trans. Amer Math. Soc. **34** (1932), 435–446.