

## A SIMPLE NOETHERIAN RING NOT MORITA EQUIVALENT TO A DOMAIN

J. T. STAFFORD<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** An example of Zaleskii and Neroslavskii is used to produce an example of a simple ring that is not Morita equivalent to a domain.

In [5] an example is given of a simple Noetherian ring with divisors of zero but without nontrivial idempotents. In this note we show that this example also gives a negative answer to the important question of whether simple Noetherian rings are Morita equivalent to domains, thus answering [1, Question 1, p. 113].

The ring is constructed in the following way. Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic two. Define  $R_1 = k(y)[X, X^{-1}]$  for indeterminates  $y$  and  $X$ . Let  $g$  be the  $k(y)$ -automorphism of  $R_1$ , defined by  $g(X) = yX$  and let  $R_2$  be the twisted group ring  $R_1\langle g \rangle$ ; i.e. as an additive group,  $R_2$  is isomorphic to the ordinary group ring but multiplication is defined by  $rg = gr^g$  for  $r \in R_1$ . Let  $h$  be the  $k(y)$ -automorphism of  $R_2$  defined by  $h(X) = X^{-1}$  and  $h(g) = g^{-1}$  and define  $S$  to be the twisted group ring  $R_2\langle h \rangle$ . The ring  $S$  was first constructed in [5], where the following was proved.

**THEOREM 1.**  *$S$  is a simple Noetherian ring, not a domain, such that the only idempotents of  $S$  are 0 and 1.*

In [5],  $S$  was actually defined as a localisation of a group ring over  $k$ . However, the characterisation given here is more convenient as it provides an easy method of calculating the Krull dimension of  $S$ , written  $\text{Kdim } S$  (for our purposes the following definition suffices. Given a prime ring  $R$  then  $\text{Kdim } R = 1$  if  $R$  is not Artinian but  $R/I$  is an Artinian module for any essential one-sided ideal  $I$ ).

**THEOREM 2.**  $\text{Kdim } S = 1$ .

**PROOF.** Clearly  $R_1$  is hereditary. Since  $g$  leaves no ideal of  $R_1$  invariant,  $R_2$  is hereditary by [3, Theorem 2.3]. Thus  $\text{Kdim } R_2 = 1$  (see for example [2, Theorem 1.3]). But  $S$  is finitely generated as a left or right  $R_2$ -module. So  $\text{Kdim } S \leq 1$  and clearly we have equality.

---

Received by the editors May 12, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 16A12, 16A48.

<sup>1</sup>Supported by the British Science Research Council through a NATO Research Fellowship.

© American Mathematical Society 1978

This result enables us to use the results of [4] to show that  $S$  has the properties described in the title.

**THEOREM 3.**  *$S$  is a simple Noetherian ring that is not Morita equivalent to a domain.*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $S$  is Morita equivalent to a domain  $A$ . Then  $\text{Kdim } A = 1$  by Theorem 2. Let  $P$  be the image of  $S$  under the equivalence of the right module categories. Since  $S$  is not a domain,  $P$  is not isomorphic to a right ideal of  $A$ . Thus, with  $\text{rk } P$  being the rank of the biggest free module that can be embedded in  $P$ , we have  $\text{rk } P \geq 2 = 1 + \text{Kdim } A$ . So by [4, Theorem 2.1],  $P \cong Q \oplus A$  for some nonzero module  $Q$ . But then  $S \cong I \oplus J$  for some nonzero right ideals  $I$  and  $J$  of  $S$ . This implies that  $S$  has nontrivial idempotents, which contradicts Theorem 1.

Since  $(1 + h)S$  has a periodic projective resolution,  $S$  has infinite global dimension. Thus it is still possible that any simple Noetherian ring of finite global dimension is Morita equivalent to a domain. Indeed, using [4], it is possible to show that a simple Noetherian ring  $R$ , with finite global dimension and  $\text{Kdim } R = 1$ , is Morita equivalent to a domain.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Cozzens and C. Faith, *Simple Noetherian rings*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1975.
2. D. Eisenbud and J. C. Robson, *Modules over Dedekind prime rings*, *J. Algebra* **16** (1970), 67–85.
3. A. Shamsuddin, *A note on a class of simple Noetherian rings*, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **15** (1977), 213–216.
4. J. T. Stafford, *Stable structure of non-commutative Noetherian rings. II*, *J. Algebra* (to appear).
5. A. E. Zalesskii and O. M. Neroslavskii, *There exists a Noetherian ring with divisors of zero but without idempotents*, *Comm. Algebra* **5** (3) (1977), 231–244. (Russian)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BRANDEIS UNIVERSITY, WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02154