

## THE KNAPP-STEIN DIMENSION THEOREM FOR $p$ -ADIC GROUPS<sup>1</sup>

ALLAN J. SILBERGER

**ABSTRACT.** Knapp and Stein have proved for semisimple Lie groups that the dimension of the commuting algebra of an induced tempered representation equals the index of a certain reflection group in a larger group. A precise analogue of their result is stated and proved in this paper for  $p$ -adic groups.

The purpose of this paper is to prove for  $p$ -adic groups the analogue of a theorem due to Knapp and Stein [3] in the case of real semisimple Lie groups. The Knapp-Stein theorem has precisely—mutatis mutandis—the same statement as we give below. Our proof, which depends upon the Harish-Chandra commuting algebra theorem [4, Theorem 5.5.3.2], carries over, with only slight changes, to the real case too.

We wish to thank Nolan Wallach for useful discussions.

**1. Some terminology.** Let  $\Omega$  be a nonarchimedean local field and  $G$  a connected reductive  $\Omega$ -group. Let  $G$  denote the group of  $\Omega$ -points of  $G$ . In this paper we employ the terminology and notations of [2] and [4].

Fix a minimal  $p$ -pair  $(P_0, A_0)$  ( $P_0 = M_0N_0$ ) of  $G$  and an  $A_0$ -good maximal compact subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ . Let  $(P, A)$  ( $P = MN$ ) be a semistandard  $p$ -pair of  $G$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  denote the real Lie algebra of  $A$ . Let  $W$  denote the factor group  $N_G(A)/M$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  has a  $W$ -invariant scalar product defined on it. Let  $\Sigma_r = \Sigma_r(P, A)$  denote the set of positive reduced  $A$ -roots,  $\Sigma^0(P, A)$  the subset consisting of the simple  $A$ -roots.

Let  $\sigma \in \omega \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$ . Let  $W(\omega) = \{s \in W | \omega^s = \omega\}$ . Let  $\mu(\omega: \nu)$  ( $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ ) denote the Harish-Chandra function associated to  $\omega$  and  $G$  [2, Theorem 20], [4, §5.4.3]. It is proved in [4, Corollary 5.4.3.3] (cf. [2, Theorem 24]) that, with  $c > 0$ ,

$$c\mu(\omega: \nu) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_r} \mu_\alpha(\omega: \nu),$$

where  $\mu_\alpha(\omega: \nu)$  is the Harish-Chandra function associated to  $\omega$  and  $M_\alpha = Z_G(A_\alpha)$  ( $A_\alpha$  is the maximal subtorus of  $A$  in the kernel of the root character  $\xi_\alpha$ ). A root  $\alpha \in \Sigma_r$  is called  $\omega$ -special if  $\mu_\alpha(\omega: 0) = 0$ . If  $\alpha$  is  $\omega$ -special, then

---

Received by the editors June 21, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 22E50.

Key words and phrases. Reductive  $p$ -adic groups, tempered unitary representations, commuting algebras.

<sup>1</sup>Research partially supported by NSF Grant MCS 76-11624 A01.

© American Mathematical Society 1978

there is a reflection  $s_\alpha \in W(\omega)$ . Let  $\Sigma'' = \pm\{\alpha \in \Sigma_r: \alpha \text{ } \omega\text{-special}\}$ . Then  $\Sigma''$  is a root system in a subspace of  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  [1, VI, §2, Proposition 9]. We write  $W''(\omega)$  for the Weyl group of this root system;  $W''(\omega)$  is the subgroup of  $W(\omega)$  generated by the set  $\{s_\alpha: \alpha \text{ } \omega\text{-special}\}$ .

Let  $C_M^G(\omega)$  denote the class of the induced representation  $\pi_{P,\omega} = \text{Ind}_P^G(\delta_P^{1/2}\sigma)$ . Then  $C_M^G(\omega)$  is unitary and independent of the choice of  $P \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  or  $\omega$  in a  $W$ -orbit.

**2. The theorem.** In the following we assume that the  $c$ -functions and  ${}^0c$ -functions, as well as the space  $L(\omega, P)$ , are associated to a fixed smooth unitary double representation of  $K$  which satisfies associativity conditions.

**THEOREM.** *The commuting algebra of the class  $C_M^G(\omega)$  has dimension  $[W(\omega): W''(\omega)]$ .*

**PROOF.** Harish-Chandra's commuting algebra theorem implies that, for any  $P \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ , the mapping  $s \mapsto {}^0c_{P|P}(s: \omega)$ , a homomorphism from  $W(\omega)$  to the group of unitary automorphisms of the algebra  $L(\omega, P)$ , may be regarded as a mapping onto a set of generators for the commuting algebra of  $\text{Ind}_P^G(\delta_P^{1/2}\sigma)$  ( $\sigma \in \omega$ ). We prove the theorem in two steps: (1)  ${}^0c_{P|P}(s: \omega)$  is the identity on  $L(\omega, P)$  when  $s \in W''(\omega)$ ; (2) the dimension of the commuting algebra is at least  $[W(\omega): W''(\omega)]$ .

For (1) it is enough to show that  ${}^0c_{P|P}(s: \omega) = I$  whenever  $s$  is the reflection  $s_\alpha$  associated to an  $\omega$ -special root  $\alpha$ . Given any such  $\alpha$ , we may choose  $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  such that  $\alpha \in \Sigma^0(P_1, A)$ . It is enough to show that

$${}^0c_{P_1|P_1}(s: \omega) = I,$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} {}^0c_{P|P}(s: \omega) &= {}^0c_{P_1|P_1}(1: \omega) {}^0c_{P_1|P_1}(s: \omega) {}^0c_{P_1|P_1}(1: \omega) \quad \text{and} \\ I &= {}^0c_{P_1|P_1}(1: \omega) = {}^0c_{P_1|P_1}(1: \omega) {}^0c_{P_1|P_1}(1: \omega); \end{aligned}$$

both relations follow from the general transformation formulas for the  ${}^0c$ -functions [2, §§11–12], [4, §5.2.4].

Thus, without loss of generality, assume that  $\alpha \in \Sigma^0(P, A)$ . Let  $A_\alpha$  and  $M_\alpha$  be as before. Then  $P \cap M_\alpha = {}^*P_\alpha$  is a maximal parabolic subgroup of  $M_\alpha$ . Since  $\mu_\alpha(\omega: 0) = 0$ , the representation  $\text{Ind}_{{}^*P_\alpha}^{M_\alpha}(\delta_{{}^*P_\alpha}^{1/2}\sigma)$  is irreducible, so  ${}^0c_{{}^*P_\alpha|{}^*P_\alpha}(s_\alpha: \omega) = I_{L(\omega, {}^*P_\alpha)}$ . On the other hand, by [4, Theorem 5.3.5.3(4)],

$${}^0c_{P|P}(s_\alpha: \omega) = {}^0c_{{}^*P_\alpha|{}^*P_\alpha}(s_\alpha: \omega)|_{L(\omega, P)},$$

so  ${}^0c_{P|P}(s: \omega) = I_{L(\omega, P)}$  for all  $s \in W''(\omega)$ , as required.

To prove (2) we shall argue as follows. Let  $\pi_{P,\omega} = \text{Ind}_P^G(\delta_P^{1/2}\sigma)$  act in a vector space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Consider the tempered Jacquet module  $\overline{\mathcal{H}} = {}_w(\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{H}(\overline{P}))$  associated to  $\pi_{P,\omega}$ , with  $\overline{\pi}_{P,\omega}$  the representation of  $M$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ . It is known [4, Theorem 5.4.1.1] that  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$  has a composition series of length  $[W(G/A)]$ , whose composition factors, counted with multiplicities, are  $\{\delta_P^{1/2}\omega^s\}_{s \in W(G/A)}$ . Furthermore, it follows from the fact that discrete series are projectives in the

category of tempered modules (with a fixed central exponent) that  $\overline{\mathfrak{H}}$  is a direct sum of isotypic submodules. Let  $\overline{\mathfrak{H}}(\omega)$  be the submodule all of whose components are of class  $\delta_P^{1/2}\omega$ . The composition series for  $\overline{\mathfrak{H}}(\omega)$  has length  $[W(\omega)]$ . The Frobenius reciprocity theorem [4, Theorem 1.7.10] implies that  $\delta_P^{1/2}\omega$  occurs as a quotient in  $\overline{\mathfrak{H}}(\omega)$  a number of times equal to the dimension of the commuting algebra of  $C_M^G(\omega)$ . Thus, to prove (2), it is sufficient to show that  $\overline{\mathfrak{H}}(\omega)$  contains  $\delta_P^{1/2}\omega$  as a quotient at least  $[W(\omega): W''(\omega)]$  times. For this, it is obviously sufficient to show that the multiplicity of the central character  $\delta_P^{1/2}\chi_\omega$  in  $\overline{\mathfrak{H}}(\omega)$  is no greater than  $[W''(\omega)]$ .

We shall prove, instead, an equivalent fact involving the Eisenstein integral and the weak constant term. Let  $\psi \in L(\omega, P)$  and consider the Eisenstein integral  $E(P : \psi : \nu)$ . The weak constant term  ${}_wE_P(P : \psi : \nu)$  is holomorphic in a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\alpha^*$  [4, Corollary 5.3.3.5]. For  $\nu \in U$  in general position we may write

$${}_wE_P(P : \psi : \nu) = \sum_{s \in W(G/A)} c_{P|P}(s : \omega : \nu)\psi\chi_{s\nu}.$$

For any  $s \in W(G/A)$ , the function

$$c_{P|P}(s : \omega : \nu) = s c_{P^{-1}|P}(1 : \omega : \nu) = s \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_r(P,A)} c_\alpha^\pm(1 : \omega : \nu),$$

where each function  $c_\alpha^+(1 : \omega : \nu)$  or  $c_\alpha^-(1 : \omega : \nu)$  is a  $c$ -function associated to a pair  $(M_\alpha, M)$  in which  $M_\alpha$  is a reductive subgroup of  $G$  containing  $(P \cap M_\alpha, A)$  as a maximal  $p$ -pair [4, §5.4.3]. Each function  $c_\alpha^\pm$  is essentially a meromorphic function of a single complex variable, holomorphic for all  $\nu \in U$ , unless  $\alpha$  is an  $\omega$ -special root; if  $\alpha$  is an  $\omega$ -special root, then the hyperplane  $H_\alpha$  passing through  $\nu = 0$  and orthogonal to  $\alpha$  is singular for  $c_\alpha^\pm$ . This implies that the function  $c_{P|P}(s : \omega : \nu)$  is holomorphic on  $U - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Sigma_r} H_\alpha$ .

We claim that, to prove (2), it is sufficient to show that the function

$$\Phi(s_0, \nu) = \sum_{s \in W''(\omega)} c_{P|P}(ss_0 : \omega : \nu)\psi\chi_{ss_0\nu}$$

is holomorphic at  $\nu = 0$  for any  $s_0 \in W(\omega)$ . If this is so, then one can show exactly as in [4, §§5.3.2–3] (and we shall *not* give the details here) that  $\prod_{t \in W''(\omega)} (\chi_{ts_0\nu}(a) - \rho(a))\Phi(s_0, \nu)$  is identically zero near  $\nu = 0$  and, as a consequence, that the multiplicity of the exponent  $\chi_\omega$  is no greater than  $[W''(\omega)]$ . However, by [4, Corollary 3.2.5(3)], the multiplicity of the exponent  $\chi_\omega$  related to the constant term is the same as the multiplicity of  $\delta_P^{1/2}\chi_\omega$  in the Jacquet space. Thus, it follows easily that, since  $\delta_P^{1/2}\omega$  occurs  $[W(\omega)]$  times in the composition series of  $\overline{\mathfrak{H}}(\omega)$ ,  $\delta_P^{1/2}\omega$  actually occurs as a quotient at least  $[W(\omega): W''(\omega)]$  times, as required.

Let us show that  $\Phi(s_0, \nu)$  is holomorphic at  $\nu = 0$ . It is enough to check this for any  $\psi \in L(\omega, P)$ . As is well known, we may (and do) choose  $\psi$  such that  $E(P : \psi : \nu) = E(P : \psi : s\nu)$  for all  $s \in W(\omega)$  and  $\nu \in \alpha^*$ . Observe that, in this

case,  $c_{P|P}(s : \omega : tv)\psi\chi_{stv} = c_{P|P}(st : \omega : v)\psi\chi_{stv}$  for all  $s, t \in W(\omega)$  and  $v \in \alpha^*$ , so  $\Phi(1, s_0\nu) = \Phi(s_0, \nu)$ . Thus, it is sufficient to check that  $\Phi(1, \nu)$  is holomorphic at  $\nu = 0$ .

We shall need the fact that the weak constant term takes its image in the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{s \in W/W(\omega)} \mathcal{Q}(M, \tau_M)_{\omega_s}$ . This is proved in the supercuspidal case in [4, Corollary 5.4.4.6]; the proof in the present case is exactly the same and depends upon the fact, used above, that discrete series are projectives in the category of tempered admissible modules. As a consequence, any term

$$E_{P, \omega_0}(P : \psi : \nu) = \sum_{s \in W(\omega_0)} E_{P, s}(P : \psi : \nu)$$

is holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $\nu = \nu_0$ .

We have already observed that the singularities of  $\Phi(1, \nu)$ , if there are any, lie in  $\bigcup H_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \Sigma''$ ). It follows easily from the Weierstrass Preparation Theorem that a nonempty zero set of a holomorphic function defined in an open set  $U$  of a complex space is a union of hypersurfaces in  $U$ . Therefore, it is sufficient, in order to show that  $\Phi(1, \nu)$  is holomorphic at  $\nu = 0$ , to show that the singularities lie in a subset of codimension at least two.

Let  $\alpha \in \Sigma''$  and  $\nu_0 \in H_\alpha - \bigcup_{\alpha' \neq \alpha} H_{\alpha'}$ . We shall show that  $\Phi(1, \nu)$  is holomorphic at  $\nu = \nu_0$ . To see this, note first that  $W(\omega_{\nu_0}) \cap W''(\omega_0) = \{1, s_\alpha\}$ , which follows from well-known properties of Weyl groups. We may choose representatives  $s_1, \dots, s_r \in W''(\omega) \setminus W(\omega)$  such that  $s_i$  and  $s_\alpha s_i$  fix  $H_\alpha$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . There is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $\nu_0$  on which

$$E_{P, \omega_0}(P : \psi : \nu) = \sum_{i=1}^r (c_{P|P}(s_i : \omega : \nu)\psi\chi_{s_i\nu} + c_{P|P}(s_\alpha s_i : \omega : \nu)\psi\chi_{s_\alpha s_i\nu})$$

is holomorphic. For all  $\nu \in V \cap H_\alpha$  and  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .

$$\begin{aligned} c_{P|P}(s_i : \omega : \nu)\psi\chi_{s_i\nu} + c_{P|P}(s_\alpha s_i : \omega : \nu)\psi\chi_{s_\alpha s_i\nu} \\ = c_{P|P}(1 : \omega : \nu)\psi\chi_\nu + c_{P|P}(s_\alpha : \omega : \nu)\psi\chi_{s_\alpha\nu}, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that  $c_{P|P}(1 : \omega : \nu)\psi\chi_\nu + c_{P|P}(s_\alpha : \omega : \nu)\psi\chi_{s_\alpha\nu}$  and, hence,  $\Phi(1, \nu)$  is holomorphic near  $\nu = \nu_0$ . We conclude that  $\Phi(1, \nu)$  is, in fact, holomorphic at  $\nu = 0$ . This proves the theorem.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique*, Fasc. XXXIV. *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chapters IV, V, VI, *Actualités Sci. Indust.*, no. 1337, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
2. Harish-Chandra, *Harmonic analysis on reductive  $p$ -adic groups*, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, vol. 26, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1974, pp. 167–192.
3. A. W. Knap and E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and the principal series*. IV, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **72** (1975), 2459–2461.
4. A. J. Silberger, *Introduction to harmonic analysis on reductive  $p$ -adic groups*, based on lectures by Harish-Chandra (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CLEVELAND STATE UNIVERSITY, CLEVELAND, OHIO 44115