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Abstract. In the present paper we prove the boundedness of second
exponential moments for the suprema of norms of partial sums of random
series in Banach spaces, Sidon lacunary series on a compact Abelian
group with coefficients in a Banach space, and for random lacunary series with
similar coefficients. Exponential moments related to the law of iterated
logarithm for lacunary series are also proved to be finite. Our results, even
in case of standard trigonometric series with real coefficients, strengthen the
classical theorem of Salem and Zygmund.

1. Introduction. Probabilistic independence and various group theoretic
notions of lacunarity have often been interchangeable conditions in certain
theorems in probability theory and harmonic analysis. A perfect example of
this sort of phenomenon is Kolmogorov's law of the iterated logarithm for
independent random variables and the law of the iterated logarithm for
lacunary trigonometric series due to Salem and Zygmund [7] and [8]. There
are many other such examples as well, and the point of this paper is to
explore two new results involving the Rademacher functions \{r\_j(t): j \geq 1\}—which are independent random variables—and their analogues for lacunary
sums. Our results will generalize some theorems of Kahane [3], and will also
specialize to give additional information for Hadamard lacunary trigonometric
series in the setting of Salem and Zygmund.

2. Notation, definitions and preliminary lemmas. In what follows \(B\) will
denote a complex Banach space, \(G\) a compact Abelian group, and \(\{r\_j(t): j \geq 1\}\) the Rademacher functions on \([0, 1]\). The characters on \(G\) will be
denoted by \(\chi\) and the dual group itself by \(X\). \(dg\) stands for the normalized
Haar measure on \(G\).

The subset \(\Delta \subseteq X\) is said to be a Sidon set if every bounded complex
valued function on \(\Delta\) is the restriction to \(\Delta\) of the Fourier transform of a
complex measure on \(G\) with finite total variation.

Since \(G\) is a compact Abelian group we know \(X\) is a discrete locally
compact Abelian group and further that \(X\) has an abundance of Sidon sets.
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For example, each Hadamard lacunary sequence of exponential functions \( \{\exp(\pm inkt): n_{k+1}/n_k > q > 1\} \) is an example of a Sidon set when \( G \) is the circle group and all dissociate sets in \( G \) are Sidon (cf. [1, 442–445] and [6, 126–128]). For more facts on Sidon sets consult e.g. either [1] or [6].

Recall that the Banach space \( B \) is said to be of Rademacher-type \( p \), \( 1 < p < 2 \), if \( \exists A > 0 \) such that

\[
\int \left\| \sum r_i(t)x_i \right\|^p dt < A \sum \left\| x_i \right\|^p
\]

for any finite set \( \{x_i\} \) on \( B \). Banach spaces for which the modulus of smoothness \( \rho(\tau) = O(\tau^p) \), \( \tau \to 0 \), are of Rademacher-type \( p \), and in particular, \( L_q \) is of Rademacher-type \( \min(2, p) \) whenever \( q > p \). All \( B \)-spaces are of Rademacher type 1. In the sequel \( l_p(B) \) \( (1 < p < \infty) \) will denote the Banach space of all sequences \( \{x_j\} \subseteq B \) such that

\[
\|\{x_i\}\|_{l_p(B)} \equiv \left( \sum \|x_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty.
\]

\( l_\infty(B) \) is defined in an analogous manner.

In the sequel we shall have need of the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** [6, 121–122]. If \( \Delta \) is a Sidon subset of \( X \), then \( \exists C > 0 \) such that every bounded complex function \( f \) on \( \Delta \) with \( |f(x)| < 1 \) is the restriction to \( \Delta \) of the Fourier transform of a complex measure \( m \) on \( G \) with \( \|m\| \equiv |m|(G) < C \). Here \( |m| \) is the total variation of \( m \).

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \( \Phi(t) \) be a nonnegative, nondecreasing convex function on \([0, \infty)\). Let \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots \) be complex constants such that \( \sup_n |\lambda_n| < \Gamma \). Let \( X_1, X_2, \ldots \) be independent, symmetric \( B \)-valued random variables, and assume \( \{a_n\} \) is a sequence of positive constants. Then, for any subset \( \Lambda \) of the positive integers we have

\[
(2.1) \quad E \left( \Phi \sup_{n \in \Lambda} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j X_j / a_n \right| \right) < E \left( \Phi \left( 2\Gamma \sup_{n \in \Lambda} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n X_j / a_n \right| \right) \right).
\]

**Proof.** See Lemma 5.7 of [2] for a method which handles this lemma immediately.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let \( X_1, X_2, \ldots \) be independent \( B \)-valued random variables such that \( P(\|X_j\| < M_j) = 1 \) for certain \( M_j < \infty \) \( (j > 1) \). If \( S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n \), then for all real \( h \)

\[
(2.2) \quad E \left( \exp(h\|S_n\|) \right) < \exp \left( 2h^2 \sum_{j=1}^n M_j^2 + hE\|S_n\| \right).
\]

**Proof.** Define \( E_k \eta \equiv E(\eta|X_1, \ldots, X_{k-1}) \), \( E_{n+1} \eta \equiv \eta, E_1 \eta \equiv E\eta \), and set \( \eta_k = E_{k+1}\|S_n\| - E_k\|S_n\| \) \( (k = 1, \ldots, n) \). Then \( \|S_n\| = \eta_1 + \cdots + \eta_n + E\|S_n\| \) so that
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(2.3) \[ E(\exp(h\|S_n\|)) = E\left(\exp\left(hE\|S_n\| + h \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \eta_k\right)E_n(\exp(h\eta_n))\right). \]

Now \( E_{k+1}\|S_n - X_k\| = E_k\|S_n - X_k\| \) by the independence of \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) and hence
\[ \eta_k \leq E_{k+1}\|S_n - X_k\| + E_k\|X_k - S_n\| + E_k\|X_k\| = \|X_k\| + E\|X_k\| \]
by the triangle inequality. Similarly \( \eta_k \geq -\|X_k\| - E\|X_k\| \). Hence \( |\eta_k| \leq 2M_k \) and \( E_k\eta_k = 0 \) so that in view of the inequality
\[ 4M_k\exp(h\eta_k) \leq (2M_k - \eta_k)e^{-2hM_k} + (\eta_k - (-2M_k))e^{2hM_k}, \]
(implied by convexity of \( \exp(hu) \)) we have
\[ (2.4) \quad E_k(\exp(h\eta_k)) \leq \cos h2hM_k < \exp\left\{2h^2M_k^2\right\}, \]
since \((e^x + e^{-x}) < 2e^{x^2/2}\) for all \( x \). Using (2.4) in (2.3) we obtain
\[ (2.5) \quad E(\exp(h\|S_n\|)) \leq E\left(\exp\left(h \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \eta_k\right)\right)E\left\{hE\|S_n\| + 2h^2M_k^2\right\}. \]

Iterating \( (n - 1) \) more times we thus have (2.2) so the lemma is proved.

3. Exponential moments of sums of \( B \)-valued random variables. The following general result for the Rademacher functions was originally proved for real Banach spaces, but its generalization to complex Banach spaces is straightforward.

**Theorem 3.1** [5]. If \( \{x_j: j > 1\} \) is a fixed sequence in \( B \) such that \( \sum r_j(t)x_j \) converges a.s. \( (t) \) in \( B \), then for all \( \beta > 0 \)
\[ (3.1) \quad \int_0^1 \exp\left(\beta \sup_{n} \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j(t)x_j\right\|^2\right) dt < \infty. \]

Another result involving exponential moments of random vector series is the following.

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume \( \{X_j: j > 1\} \) is a sequence of random variables on \( (\Omega, G, P) \) with values in the Banach space \( B \) of Rademacher-type \( p \), and independent of the Rademacher functions \( \{r_j(t): j > 1\} \). If there exists a bounded set \( V \subseteq l_p(B) \) such that \( P(\omega: \{X_j(\omega): j > 1\} \subseteq V) = 1 \), then there exists \( \beta_0 > 0 \) such that for \( \beta < \beta_0 \) we have
\[ (3.2) \quad \text{ess sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} \int_0^1 \exp\left(\beta \sup_{n} \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j(t)X_j(\omega)\right\|^2\right) dt < \infty. \]

**Proof.** First observe that, by Lemma 2.3, for all \( \omega \in \Omega \)
\[P \left( t: \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j(t)X_j(\omega) \right\| > \lambda \right) < e^{-\alpha \lambda} \int_{0}^{1} \exp \left\{ \alpha \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j(t)X_j(\omega) \right\| \right\} dt\]

\[< \exp \left\{ 2\alpha^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|X_j(\omega)\|^2 - \alpha \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j(t)X_j(\omega) \right\|^2 \right\}\]

\[< \exp \left\{ - \left( \lambda - \int_{0}^{1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j(t)X_j(\omega) \right\| dt \right\}^2 / 8 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|X_j(\omega)\|^2 \right\}\]

by minimizing with respect to \( \alpha \). Now, because \( B \) is of Rademacher-type \( p \) we have

\[\Lambda \equiv \text{ess sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j(t)X_j(\omega) \right\| dt < \infty\]

so that for \( \lambda > 2\Lambda \) and \( M \) such that \( \sum \|x_j\|^2 \leq M \) for each \( \{x_j\} \in V \) we have for almost all \( \omega \) that

\[P \left( t: \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j(t)X_j(\omega) \right\| > \lambda \right) \leq \exp \left\{ -\lambda^2 / 32M \right\} \]

By Lévy's maximal inequality we therefore have for almost all \( \omega \) that \( \lambda > 2\Lambda \) implies

\[P \left( t: \sup_{n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j(t)X_j(\omega) \right\| > \lambda \right) \leq 2 \exp \left\{ -\lambda^2 / 32M \right\} \]

Thus (3.2) holds since for almost all \( \omega \) the above inequality implies for \( \beta < 1 / 32M \) that

\[\int_{0}^{1} \exp \left\{ \beta \sup_{n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j(t)X_j(\omega) \right\|^2 \right\} dt < \infty.\]

\textbf{Remark 3.1.} From the above proof it is clear that in Theorem 3.2 the assumption that \( B \) is of Rademacher-type \( p \) may be replaced by condition (3.3).

\textbf{Corollary 3.1.} Assume the hypotheses in Theorem 3.2 and that

\[\lim_{N} \sup_{(x_j) \in V} \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \|x_j\|^p = 0.\]

Then (3.2) holds for all \( \beta > 0 \).

\textbf{Proof.} Fix \( \beta > 0 \) and choose \( N \) such that

\[\sup_{(x_j) \in V} \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \|x_j\|^p < \epsilon\]
where $\varepsilon > 0$ is such that $\beta < 1/32\varepsilon$. Then by the argument given in the proof of Theorem 3.2 we have

$$\text{ess sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} \int_0^1 \exp \left( \beta \sup_{n > N} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n r_j(t)X_j(\omega) \right\|^2 \right) dt < \infty$$

so that the corollary is proved.

Now we turn to the investigation of exponential moments related to the law of the iterated logarithm. $L(x)$ will denote the function which is 1 for $x < e$ and $\log x$ elsewhere, and $a_n$ will stand for $(2\sigma_n^2 L \sigma_n^2)^{1/2}$.

**Theorem 3.3 [4].** If $\{x_j : j > 1\}$ is a bounded sequence in $B$ and $\{c_j\}$ a sequence of nonnegative constants such that

(i) $c_n^2 = c_1^2 + \cdots + c_n^2 \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$,
(ii) $c_n^2/\sigma_n^2 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, and
(iii) $\{\sum_{j=1}^n c_j r_j(t)x_j/a_n : n > 1\}$ is bounded in probability,

then for all $\beta > 0$

$$\int_0^1 \exp \left( \beta \sup_{n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n c_j r_j(t)x_j/a_n \right\|^2 \right) dt < \infty.$$  

**Remark 3.2.** If $B$ is of Rademacher-type 2, then condition (iii) is automatically satisfied.

One can also obtain the following "log log" analogue of Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 3.4.** Assume $\{X_j : j > 1\}$ is a sequence of random variables on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ with values in a Banach space $B$ of Rademacher-type 2, and, independent of the Rademacher functions $\{r_j(t), j > 1\}$. Let $\{c_j\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative constants satisfying (i), (ii) of Theorem 3.3. If there exists a bounded $V \subseteq l_\infty(B)$ such that $P(\omega: \{X_j(\omega): j > 1\} \subseteq V) = 1$ then for all $\beta > 0$ we have

$$\text{ess sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} \int_0^1 \exp \left( \beta \sup_{n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n c_j r_j(t)X_j(\omega)/a_n \right\|^2 \right) dt < \infty.$$  

**Proof.** By assumption

$$M \equiv \text{ess sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} \|X_j(\omega)\|^2 < \infty$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n c_j r_j(t)X_j(\omega)/a_n \right\| dt \leq \left( A\sigma_n^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j^2 \|X_j(\omega)\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq A^{1/2}M^{1/2}$$

for almost all $\omega$ because $B$ is of Rademacher-type 2. Hence
and proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have for all $\omega, \eta$ and $\lambda > 2\Lambda$

$$\begin{align*}
P \left( t : \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j r_j(t) X_j(\omega)/\sigma_n \right\| > \lambda \right) \\&\leq \exp \left\{ - \left( \lambda - \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j r_j(t) X_j(\omega)/\sigma_n \right\| dt \right\}^2 \\
&\quad \cdot \left( 8 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{c_j^2 \| X_j(\omega) \|^2}{\sigma_n^2} \right)^{-1} \\
&\leq \exp \left\{ -\lambda^2/32M \right\}.
\end{align*}$$

Define for $r = 1, 2, \ldots$

$$M_r = \sup_{n > r} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j r_j(t) X_j(\omega) / a_n \right\|$$

and set $n_k = \min\{j : \sigma_j^2 \geq 2^k\} (k > 2)$ with $n_1 = 1$. Further, put $k(r) = \max\{j : n_j < r\}$ for $r > 1$ and define

$$R_n(t, \omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j r_j(t) X_j(\omega) \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Then by Lévy's maximal inequality and (3.6) we have for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$ that

$$P \left( t : M_r > \lambda \right) \leq \sum_{k > k(r) + 1} P \left( t : \max_{n_{k-1} < n \leq n_k} \| R_n/a_n \| > \lambda \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{k > k(r) + 1} 2P \left( t : \| R_{n_k}/a_{n_k} \| > \lambda \right)$$

$$= 2 \sum_{k > k(r) + 1} P \left( t : \| R_{n_k}/\sigma_{n_k} \| > \lambda (\sigma_{n_{k-1}}/\sigma_{n_k})\sqrt{2LL\sigma_{n_{k-1}}^2} \right)$$

$$= 2 \sum_{k > k(r) + 1} P \left( t : \| R_{n_k}/\sigma_{n_k} \| > (\lambda/4)\sqrt{2LLn^{-1}} \right)$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{k > k(r) + 1} \exp \left\{ -\lambda^2LL2^{k-1}/256M \right\}$$

provided $r$ is sufficiently large so that $k > k(r) + 1$ implies $\sigma_{n_{k-1}}/\sigma_{n_k} > 1/4$. Such an $r$ exists since (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.3 imply that $\sigma_{n_{k-1}}/\sigma_{n_k} \to 1/2$ as $k \to \infty$.

Now (3.4) holds if we show that for each $\beta > 0$ there exists an $r$ such that
(3.8) \[ \text{ess sup} \int_0^1 \exp \{ \beta M^2(t, \omega) \} \, dt < \infty. \]

To verify (3.8) choose \( a \) so that \( \log a > \beta \max(64A^2, 512M, 2) \), and \( r \) such that \( k > k(r) + 1 \) implies \( \sigma_{k-1}/\sigma_k > 1/4 \) (and hence (3.7) holds) and \( (LL^{2k-1}/256M) - \beta > \beta \). Then by (3.7) we have for almost all \( \omega \in \Omega \) that

\[
\int_0^1 \exp \{ \beta M^2(t, \omega) \} \, dt = \int_0^\infty P \left(t: \exp \{ \beta M^2(t, \omega) \} > s\right) \, ds
\]

\[
< \alpha + \int_0^\infty P \left(t: M_r(t, \omega) > (\log s/\beta)^{1/2}\right) \, ds
\]

\[
= \alpha + \int_{(\log a/\beta)^{1/2}} P \left(t: M_r(t, \omega) > u\right) 2\beta u e^{\beta u^2} \, du
\]

\[
< \alpha + 2 \sum_{k > k(r) + 1} \int_{(\log a/\beta)^{1/2}}^\infty \exp \left\{-u^2 \left( (LL^{2k-1}/256M) - \beta \right) \right\} 2\beta u \, du
\]

\[
< \alpha + 2 \sum_{k > k(r) + 1} \exp \left\{-\Lambda_k \log a \right\} / \Lambda_k
\]

(he \( \Lambda_k = \beta^{-1}[(LL^{2k-1}/256M) - \beta] > 1 \))

\[
< \alpha + 2\alpha \sum_{k > k(r) + 1} ((k - 1)L2)^{-\gamma} < \infty,
\]

since \( \gamma = (\log a)/\beta 256M > 2 \). Since the above estimates are uniform on almost all \( \omega \in \Omega \) we have (3.8) and hence the theorem is proved.

Remark 3.3. From the above proof it is clear that in Theorem 3.4 the assumption that \( B \) is of Rademacher-type 2 may be replaced by condition (3.5).

4. Exponential moments of lacunary and random lacunary series. The following proposition is fundamental in what follows and relates exponential moments of Rademacher series to exponential moments of lacunary series.

Proposition 4.1. Let \( \Delta = \{x_j: j > 1\} \subseteq X \) be a Sidon set, \( C \) the constant given by Lemma 2.1, \( \{x_j: j > 1\} \) a sequence in \( B \) and \( \{\alpha_j: j > 1\} \) a bounded sequence of complex numbers with \( \Gamma = \sup_j |\alpha_j| \). Then for any sequence \( a_n \) of positive numbers

\[
\int_G \exp \left\{ \sup_n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j x_j(a_n) \right\|^2 \right\} \, dg
\]

\[
< \int_0^1 \exp \left\{ 2C^2 \Gamma \sup_n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n r_j(t) x_j(a_n) \right\|^2 \right\} \, dt.
\]

Proof. Define the “randomization” of the trigonometric polynomial

\[
S_n(g) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j x_j(g) x_j(a_n)
\]
as follows

\[ T_n(g, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j \chi_j(g) \tau_j(t) \chi_j/a_n \quad (n > 1). \]

Now we apply Lemma 2.1 to produce for each \( t (0 < t < 1) \) complex measure \( m_t \) on \( G \) such that the Fourier transform of \( m_t \) satisfies

\[ \int_G \chi_j(g)m_t(dg) = \tau_j(t) \quad (j > 1), \]

and \( C \equiv \sup |m_t|(G) < \infty \). Hence for each \( t (0 < t < 1) \) we have

\[ \int_G T_n(g + h, t)m_t(dh) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j \tau_j(t) \chi_j(g) \int_G \chi_j(h)m_t(dh)/a_n \]

\[ = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j \chi_j(g) \chi_j/\tau_j(t)/a_n = S_n(g), \]

and we easily obtain from Jensen's inequality that

\[ \sup_{n < N} \|S_n(g)\|^2 = \sup_{n < N} \left\| \int_G T_n(g + h, t)m_t(dh) \right\|^2 \]

\[ \leq \left( \int_G \sup_{n < N} \|T_n(g + h, t)\| \|m_t(dh) \right)^2 \]

\[ \leq C \int_G \sup_{n < N} \|T_n(g + h, t)\|^2 \|m_t(dh) \].

Applying Jensen's inequality again we have that

\[ \exp \left\{ \sup_{n < N} \|S_n(g)\|^2 \right\} \leq \exp \left\{ C \int_G \sup_{n < N} \|T_n(g + h, t)\|^2 \|m_t(dh) \right\} \]

\[ \leq \int_G \exp \left\{ C^2 \sup_{n < N} \|T_n(g + h, t)\|^2 \right\} \|m_t\|^{-1}\|m_t(dh) \}. \]

Integrating both sides with respect to \( dg \) we have by the translation invariance of Haar measure that

\[ \int_G \exp \left\{ \sup_{n < N} \|S_n(g)\|^2 \right\} dg \]

\[ \leq \int_G \left[ \int_G \exp \left\{ C^2 \sup_{n < N} \|T_n(g + h, t)\|^2 \right\} dg \right] \|m_t\|^{-1}\|m_t(dh) \]

\[ = \int_G \left[ \int_G \exp \left\{ C^2 \sup_{n < N} \|T_n(g, t)\|^2 \right\} dg \right] \|m_t\|^{-1}\|m_t(dh) \]

\[ = \int_G \exp \left\{ C^2 \sup_{n < N} \|T_n(g, t)\|^2 \right\} dg. \]

Integrating both sides with respect to the Lebesgue measure we get, by Fubini's theorem, that
\[ \int_G \exp \left\{ \sup_{n < N} \| S_n(g) \|_2^2 \right\} dg \]

\[ \leq \int_G \left\{ \int_0^1 \exp \left\{ C^2 \sup_{n < N} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j(g) r_j(t) / a_n \right\|_2^2 \right\} dt \right\} dg \]

\[ \leq \int_G \exp \left\{ 2 \Gamma C^2 \sup_{n < N} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n r_j(t) x_j / a_n \right\|_2^2 \right\} dt \]

where the last inequality results from Lemma 2.2. Thus the theorem is proved.

The following theorem is an immediate corollary to Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 4.1.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( \Delta = \{ x_j; j \geq 1 \} \subseteq X \) be a Sidon set and assume \( \{ x_j; j \geq 1 \} \) is a fixed subsequence of \( B \) such that \( \Sigma r_j(t) x_j \) converges in \( B \) (\( dt \))-almost surely. Then for any bounded sequence of complex numbers \( \{ a_j \} \) we have that

\[ \int_G \exp \left\{ \sup_{n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j(g) x_j \right\|_2^2 \right\} dg < \infty. \]

In case \( G \) is the unit circle we have the following corollary which strengthens the result in [9, p. 215].

**Corollary 4.1.** Let \( \{ x_j; j \geq 1 \} \) be a fixed sequence in \( B \) such that \( \Sigma r_j(t) x_j \) converges in \( B \) \( dt \)-almost surely. If \( \{ n_j; j \geq 1 \} \) is a sequence of positive integers which is a Sidon set, and \( \{ a_j \}, \{ b_j \} \) are bounded sequences of complex numbers then

\[ \int_0^{2\pi} \exp \left\{ \sup_{n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \left[ a_j \cos n_j s + b_j \sin n_j s \right] x_j \right\|_2^2 \right\} ds < \infty. \]

**Remark 4.1.** In case \( B \) is of Rademacher-type \( p, 1 < p < 2 \), then the assumption in Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.1 that \( \Sigma r_j(t) x_j \) converges a.s. may be replaced by the condition \( \Sigma \| x_j \|^p < \infty \).

Concerning random lacunary series we have the following straightforward corollary to Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 4.1.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( \Delta = \{ x_j; j \geq 1 \} \subseteq X \) be a Sidon set and assume \( \{ X_j; j \geq 1 \} \) is a sequence of random variables on \( (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \) with values in the Banach space \( B \) of Rademacher-type \( p \). If there exists a bounded \( V \subseteq l_p(B) \) such that \( P(\omega; \{ X_j(\omega); j \geq 1 \} \subseteq V) = 1 \) then there exists \( \beta_0 > 0 \) such that for \( \beta < \beta_0 \) we have

\[ \text{ess sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} \int_G \exp \left\{ \beta \sup_{n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n X_j(g) X_j(\omega) \right\|_2^2 \right\} dg < \infty. \]

**Remark 4.2.** In analogy to Corollary 3.1 if in Theorem 4.2
\[ \lim_{N} \sup_{(x_j) \in V} \sum_{j = N}^{\infty} \|x_j\|^p = 0, \]

then (4.2) holds for all \( \beta > 0 \).

As an immediate corollary to Theorem 3.3 and Proposition 4.1 (with \( x_j \) replaced by \( c_j x_j \)) we have the following theorem on exponential moments related to the law of iterated logarithm for lacunary series. Below \( a_n = (2\sigma_n^2 L \alpha_n^2)^{1/2} \).

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \( \Delta = \{x_j: j \geq 1\} \subseteq X \) be a Sidon set and assume \( \{x_j\} \) is a fixed sequence in \( B \) and \( \{c_j\} \) is a sequence of nonnegative numbers such that (i)–(iii) of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied. Then for all bounded complex sequences \( \{a_j\} \) we have

\[ \int \exp \left( \beta \sup_{g} \left\| \sum_{j = 1}^{n} a_j c_j x_j(g) x_j / a_n \right\|^2 \right) dg < \infty. \]

The next result gives us additional information beyond that given in the classical result of Salem and Zygmund [7]. Furthermore, the Remark 3.2 amplifies the relationship between this result and [7].

**Corollary 4.2.** Let \( \{x_j: j \geq 1\} \subseteq B \) and let \( \{c_j\} \) be a sequence of nonnegative constants such that (i)–(iii) of Theorem 3.3 hold. If \( \{n_j: j \geq 1\} \) is a sequence of positive integers which is a Sidon set, and \( \{a_j\} \) and \( \{\beta_j\} \) are complex numbers such that \( c_j^2 = |a_j|^2 + |\beta_j|^2 \) \((j > 1)\) then for all \( \beta > 0 \)

\[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \exp \left( \beta \sup_{n} \left\| \sum_{j = 1}^{n} \alpha_j \cos n_j s + \beta_j \sin n_j s \right\| x_j / a_n \right\|^2 \) ds < \infty. \]

Our last result is a “log log” version of Theorem 4.2 and is an immediate corollary to Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 4.1.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( \Delta = \{x_j: j \geq 1\} \subseteq X \) be a Sidon set and assume that \( \{X_j\} \) is a sequence of random variables on \( (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \) with values in the Banach space \( B \) of Rademacher-type 2. Assume \( \{X_j\} \) is independent of the Rademacher functions \( \{r_j(t): j \geq 1\} \), and that \( \{c_j\} \) satisfies (i)–(ii) of Theorem 3.3. If there exists a bounded \( V \subseteq l_\infty(B) \) such that \( P(\omega: \{X_j(\omega): j \geq 1\} \subseteq V) = 1 \) then for all \( \beta > 0 \) we have

\[ \text{ess sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} \int_G \exp \left( \beta \sup_{n} \left\| \sum_{j = 1}^{n} c_j X_j(g) X_j(\omega) / a_n \right\|^2 \right) dg < \infty. \]
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