

## ON A THEOREM OF E. LUKACS

PAUL EMBRECHTS

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that an integral transform of measures on a locally compact abelian group, which satisfies both the uniqueness and the convolution property, is closely related to the Fourier-Stieltjes transform. This extends a result obtained by Lukacs for the real line.

**1. Introduction.** Given a certain kernel  $K$  from  $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$  to  $\mathbf{C}$ , consider the following integral transform  $f$  of an arbitrary probability distribution function  $F$  on  $\mathbf{R}$ :

$$f(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} K(s, x) dF(x).$$

If we take  $K$  such that this transform exists for all  $F$  and moreover satisfies the uniqueness property (U) and the convolution property (C), then Lukacs [1] proved that:

$$K(s, t) = e^{iA(s)}$$

where  $A$  is a real valued function with a dense range. Hence (U) and (C) force  $f$  to be 'nearly' the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of  $F$ .

Using the same method as Lukacs [1], we extend this result to integral transforms of finite, complex valued measures on a locally compact abelian (L.C.A.) group.

**2. Main theorem.** Let  $G$  be a L.C.A. group and  $\Gamma$  its dual group. Then  $\Gamma$  is the set of all continuous complex functions  $\gamma$  on  $G$  for which  $|\gamma(x)| = 1$  for all  $x \in G$  and for which  $\gamma(x + y) = \gamma(x) \cdot \gamma(y)$  for all  $x, y \in G$ . Such  $\gamma$  is called a character of  $G$ .

Let us also denote by  $\mathfrak{M}(G)$  the set of all complex valued, regular Borel measures  $\mu$  on  $G$  for which the total variation norm  $\|\mu\|$  is finite.

The convolution of two measures  $\mu, \nu$  will be denoted by  $\mu * \nu$ . Finally, we write  $\hat{\mu}$  for the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of  $\mu$  i.e. for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ :

$$\hat{\mu}(\gamma) = \int_G \gamma(x) d\mu(x).$$

Now we can state the generalization of Lukacs' result:

**THEOREM.** Let  $K(x, \gamma)$ , as a complex valued mapping defined on  $G \times \Gamma$ , be

---

Received by the editors June 23, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 43A25; Secondary 44A35.

Key words and phrases. L.C.A. group, integral transform, convolution and uniqueness property.

© American Mathematical Society 1978

continuous and bounded in  $x \in G$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ; suppose that for all  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(G)$  the following transform exists:

$$\tilde{\mu}(\gamma) = \int_G K(x, \gamma) d\mu(x), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma;$$

then necessary and sufficient conditions for  $K$  to satisfy:

(U): for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ :  $\tilde{\mu}(\gamma) = \tilde{\nu}(\gamma) \Leftrightarrow \mu = \nu$ ,

(C): for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ :  $(\mu * \nu)^\sim(\gamma) = \tilde{\mu}(\gamma) \cdot \tilde{\nu}(\gamma)$

are that there exists a function  $g$  from  $\Gamma$  to  $\Gamma$  such that

$$K(x, \gamma) = g(\gamma)(x)$$

and  $g$  has a dense range.

Moreover this  $g$  is unique.

PROOF. Sufficiency is simple. Indeed:

$$\tilde{\mu}(\gamma) = \int_G g(\gamma)(x) d\mu(x) = \hat{\mu}(g(\gamma)).$$

Using the fact that  $g(\Gamma)$  is dense and the continuity of  $\hat{\mu}$  we conclude (U) and (C) from the corresponding properties of the Fourier-Stieltjes transform. (See Rudin [4, pp. 15–17].)

Suppose now that (U) and (C) hold. Let us denote by  $\delta(a)$  the Dirac measure at  $a \in G$ . It is easy to verify that:

$$(1) \quad \delta(a) * \delta(b) = \delta(a + b), \quad \text{for all } a, b \in G.$$

Applying (C) to  $\delta(a) * \delta(b)$  and using (1) we get:

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} K(a + b, \gamma) &= \tilde{\delta}(a + b)(\gamma) = (\delta(a) * \delta(b))^\sim(\gamma) \\ &= \tilde{\delta}(a)(\gamma) \cdot \tilde{\delta}(b)(\gamma) = K(a, \gamma) \cdot K(b, \gamma), \quad \text{for all } a, b \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, putting  $b = 0$  in (2) we get:

$$K(a, \gamma) = K(a, \gamma) \cdot K(0, \gamma), \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma \text{ and } a \in G.$$

Hence

$$K(0, \gamma) = 1, \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

But then, for all  $x \in G$ ,  $|K(x, \gamma)| = 1$ , because if not there would exist a  $x_0 \in G$  with  $|K(x_0, \gamma)| = r > 1$ . (If  $r < 1$ , use  $-x_0$  and  $K(x_0, \gamma) \cdot K(-x_0, \gamma) = 1$ .)

Hence  $|K(nx_0, \gamma)| = r^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , contradicting the boundedness of  $K$ . The continuity of  $K$  now implies that for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  there exists a  $\gamma' \in \Gamma$  such that

$$K(x, \gamma) = \gamma'(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in G.$$

By the Pontryagin Duality Theorem (Rudin [4, p. 27]) this  $\gamma'$  is unique. Hence the function  $g$  from  $\Gamma$  to  $\Gamma$ , defined by  $g(\gamma) = \gamma'$ , is well defined. So

$$K(x, \gamma) = g(\gamma)(x).$$

Hence  $\tilde{\mu}(\gamma) = \hat{\mu}(g(\gamma))$ ; so using (U):

for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}(\gamma) = \tilde{\nu}(\gamma) \Leftrightarrow \mu = \nu \Leftrightarrow \hat{\mu}(g(\gamma)) = \hat{\nu}(g(\gamma))$ .

The last equivalence together with (U) for Fourier-Stieltjes transforms implies that  $g(\Gamma)$  is dense in  $\Gamma$  which proves the theorem.

By way of example, take  $G = \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ; then the theorem holds for

$$\tilde{\mu}(s) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{ig(s)} d\mu(t)$$

where  $s \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $g$  has a dense range.

This follows from the theorem, the fact that the dual group of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  equals  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and its characters are of the form  $\exp(its)$ . (See Rudin [4, p. 12].)

**3. Remarks.** (a) An analogous theorem for a more general transform under continuity conditions is given in Lukacs [2], where a similar generalization can be given. This, however, was already noted by the referee in Lukacs [2, p. 6].

(b) Lukacs' theorem follows as a special case by taking  $G = \mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{R})$  replaced by the set of distribution functions on  $\mathbf{R}$ . As shown, his proof applies without change to the L.C.A. case. However, the proof of the additional statement that  $A$  should separate points (see Lukacs [2, p. 510]) is false; in a later version of the result this fact was omitted. (See Lukacs [3, p. 100].)

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. I would like to thank E. Lukacs for a conversation on this subject and J. L. Teugels for helpful comments.

#### REFERENCES

1. E. Lukacs, *An essential property of the Fourier transforms of distribution functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1952), 508–510. MR 13, 937.
2. ———, *A linear mapping of the space of distribution functions onto a set of bounded continuous functions*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 3 (1964), 1–6. MR 29 #4082.
3. ———, *Characteristic functions*, 2nd ed., Griffin, London, 1970.
4. W. Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups*, Interscience, New York, 1970.

DEPARTEMENT WISKUNDE, KATHOLIEKE UNIVERSITEIT TE LEUVEN, CELESTIJNENLAAN 200B, 3030 HEVERLEE, BELGIUM