

ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF MAXIMA OF MARTINGALES

LESTER E. DUBINS¹ AND DAVID GILAT²

ABSTRACT. Partial order the set of distributions on the real line by $\nu < \nu'$ if $\nu(x, \infty) < \nu'(x, \infty)$ for all x . Then, for each μ with a finite first moment, the family $M(\mu)$ of all ν which are distributions of (essential) suprema of martingales closed on the right by a μ -distributed random number, has a least upper bound μ^* , and is, therefore, a tight family. In fact, μ^* is $\bar{\mu}$, the distribution of the Hardy-Littlewood extremal maximal function associated with μ . Moreover, μ^* is itself an element of $M(\mu)$. For each $p > 1$, the classical moment inequality that the L_p norm of $\bar{\mu}$ (and of μ^*) is at most $p/(p-1)$ times the L_p norm of μ is shown to be sharp.

Let f be the essentially unique, nondecreasing, function on the unit interval whose distribution with respect to Lebesgue measure is the given distribution, μ . Since μ is assumed to have a finite first moment, $\int_0^1 |f|$, its extremal, Hardy-Littlewood [5] maximal function, H , is well defined by

$$(1) \quad H(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} \int_t^1 f(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t < 1.$$

Of course, $\bar{\mu}(x, \infty)$ is the length of the subinterval of $(0, 1)$ consisting of all t such that $H(t) > x$.

LEMMA 1. $\bar{\mu}$ is an upper bound for $M(\mu)$.

PROOF. For μ supported by the nonnegative reals, Lemma 1 is merely a reformulation of [1, Theorem 3a]. Moreover, the proof given there applies almost without change if the assumption of nonnegativity is dropped.

LEMMA 2. $\bar{\mu} \in M(\mu)$.

PROOF. For each s in the open unit interval $(0, 1)$, consider that function on the closed unit interval which agrees with H to the left of s and which equals $f(s)$ to the right of s . That is, with the convenient notation introduced by de Finetti, [2, p. xviii] and [3, Chapter 2, §2.11] define:

$$(2) \quad Y_t(s) = H(t)(t \leq s) + f(s)(t > s), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

As is not difficult to verify:

(a) $Y_1 = f$;

Received by the editors August 8, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 60G45.

Key words and phrases. Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, martingale, L_p , $L \log L$.

¹This research was sponsored by National Science Foundation Grant No. MCS75-09459-A01.

²Work was done at the University of California, Berkeley and University of Minnesota, while on leave.

(b) $\sup Y_t(s) (0 \leq t \leq 1) = H(s); 0 < s < 1;$

(c) Y is a martingale, where, of course, the open unit interval endowed with Lebesgue measure is the underlying probability space. To verify (c), it is necessary to show that $E(Y_1|Y_s, s \leq t)$ equals Y_t , which is most simply done by checking separately that each is equal to $E(Y_1|Y_t)$. The function H is not only the sup of the Y_t , as is asserted by (b); it is also (a version of) the essential sup of the Y_t . So Y has an essential sup which is $\bar{\mu}$ -distributed. Hence $\bar{\mu} \in M(\mu)$. \square

Trivially, $M(\mu)$ is bounded below, say by μ , which, incidentally, obviously belongs to $M(\mu)$. Since a set of distributions on the real line is tight if, and only if, it is bounded above and below, $M(\mu)$ is tight.

In summary:

THEOREM 1. *For each μ with a finite mean, $M(\mu)$ is tight and has the least upper bound, μ^* , namely the Hardy-Littlewood distribution $\bar{\mu}$ associated to μ . Moreover, $\bar{\mu}$ is an element of $M(\mu)$, as is the greatest lower bound of $M(\mu)$, namely μ itself.*

Of course, since $\mu^* = \bar{\mu}$, all inequalities known to hold for $\bar{\mu}$ automatically hold for μ^* . In particular, Hardy and Littlewood [5] have shown that for $p > 1$, the L_p norm of $\bar{\mu}$ is at most $(p/(p-1))$ times the L_p norm of μ . This provides an alternative proof of Doob's [4, p. 317] inequalities to the effect that the L_p norm of μ^* is at most $(p/(p-1))$ times the L_p norm of μ .

THEOREM 2. *The above moment inequalities of Hardy-Littlewood, and of Doob, are sharp.*

PROOF. For $c > 1$, let $f(t) = 1/(1-t)^{1/c}$, $0 \leq t < 1$. Then f is in every L_p with $1 < p < c$, and an elementary computation reveals that $H = (c/(c-1))f$. Consequently,

$$(3) \quad \int H^p = \left(\frac{c}{c-1} \right)^p \int f^p.$$

If, in (3), c converges downward to p , the asserted sharpness is obtained.

Presumably the inequalities, though sharp, need not be attained, but we do not pursue this matter.

The problem of sharpness for the corresponding inequalities when μ is in $L \log L$ rather than L_p has not yielded its mysteries to us.

REFERENCES

1. D. Blackwell and L. E. Dubins, *A converse to the dominated convergence theorem*, Illinois J. Math. **7** (1963), 508-514.
2. B. de Finetti, *Probability, induction and statistics*, Wiley, New York, 1972.
3. ———, *Theory of probability*, Vol. 1, Wiley, New York, 1974.
4. J. L. Doob, *Stochastic processes*, Wiley, New York, 1953.
5. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *A maximal theorem with function-theoretic applications*, Acta Math. **54** (1930), 81-116.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, TEL AVIV, ISRAEL