

## A NOTE ON THE DISINTEGRATION OF MEASURES

S. K. BERBERIAN

**ABSTRACT.** Complements to a theorem of Bourbaki on the disintegration of measures on separated topological spaces.

If  $X$  and  $T$  are separated topological spaces,  $\nu$  is a measure on  $X$ , and  $p$  is a mapping of  $X$  into  $T$ , then, under suitable hypotheses, there exist a measure  $\mu = p(\nu)$  on  $T$  and a family  $(\lambda_t)_{t \in T}$  of measures on  $X$  indexed by  $T$ , such that

- (a) for every  $t \in T$ ,  $\lambda_t$  is carried by  $p^{-1}(\{t\})$ , and
- (b)  $\nu = \int \lambda_t d\mu(t)$  in an appropriate sense; moreover,
- (c)  $\|\lambda_t\| = 1$  for locally  $\mu$ -almost every  $t$  in  $T$ , and
- (d) the family  $(\lambda_t)_{t \in T}$  is determined by properties (a) and (b) up to a locally  $\mu$ -negligible set [2, §2, No. 7, Proposition 13].

The purpose of this note is to repair a gap in the proofs of (c) and (d) given in [2]. {To pinpoint the problem: in the proof of (c) on p. 42 of [2], the application of (12) is unjustified since the function  $f\phi_A$  need not be universally measurable.} For greater clarity, we shall operate under somewhat weaker hypotheses than [2] (to which we refer for notations and terminology).

For the rest of the paper,  $X$  and  $T$  denote separated topological spaces,  $\nu$  is a measure on  $X$  (all measures under discussion are positive),  $\mu$  is a measure on  $T$ , and  $t \mapsto \lambda_t$  is a mapping of  $T$  into the set of measures on  $X$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+(X)$  (that is,  $f$  is a positive numerical function on  $X$ ), we define positive numerical functions  $h_f$  and  $h_f^*$  on  $T$  by the formulas  $h_f(t) = \lambda_t(f)$ ,  $h_f^*(t) = \lambda_t^*(f)$  (thus  $0 \leq h_f \leq h_f^*$ ). We assume that the mapping  $t \mapsto \lambda_t$  satisfies the following condition (obviously weaker than the condition (b) of [2, §2, No. 7, Proposition 13]):

(\*) If  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+(X)$  is lower semicontinuous or upper semicontinuous, then  $h_f$  is  $\mu$ -measurable and  $\mu^*(h_f) = \nu^*(f)$ .

In more florid notation, the formula in (\*) means that

$$\int_X f(x) d\nu(x) = \int_T d\mu(t) \int_X f(x) d\lambda_t(x).$$

LEMMA 1. For every  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+(X)$ , one has  $\nu^*(f) \geq \mu^*(h_f^*) \geq \mu^*(h_f)$ .

PROOF. Formally the same as in [1, §3, No. 2, Proposition 3].  $\square$

LEMMA 2. If  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+(X)$  is  $\nu$ -negligible, then  $f$  is  $\lambda_t$ -negligible for locally  $\mu$ -almost every  $t$  in  $T$ .

---

Received by the editors July 15, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 28A50.

© American Mathematical Society 1978

PROOF. Immediate from Lemma 1 (cf. [1, §3, No. 2, Corollary 1 of Proposition 3]).  $\square$

LEMMA 3. If  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+(X)$  is  $\nu$ -moderated, then  $f$  is  $\lambda_t$ -moderated for locally  $\mu$ -almost every  $t$  in  $T$ .

PROOF. Write  $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} f_n$ , where  $f_1$  is  $\nu$ -negligible and, for each  $n \geq 2$ ,  $f_n$  vanishes outside a compact set [2, §1, No. 9, Corollary 3 of Proposition 14]; the proof is then formally the same as [1, §3, No. 2, Corollary 2 of Proposition 3].  $\square$

Assertions (ii) and (iii) of the following proposition fill the gap mentioned at the beginning of the paper (cf. [2, §2, No. 7, Remark 2]):

PROPOSITION. Assuming condition (\*) is verified, if  $\nu$  is moderated and  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+(X)$  is  $\nu$ -measurable, then (i)  $f$  is  $\lambda_t$ -measurable and  $\lambda_t$ -moderated for locally  $\mu$ -almost every  $t$  in  $T$ , (ii) the function  $h_t: t \mapsto \lambda_t^*(f)$  is  $\mu$ -measurable, and (iii)  $\mu^*(h_t) = \nu^*(f)$ .

PROOF. (cf. [1, §3, No. 2, Proposition 5]). Let  $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a denumerable  $\nu$ -crushing of  $X$  such that the restriction of  $f$  to each of the compact sets  $X_n$  is continuous [2, §1, No. 8, Propositions 10, 11].

(i) In view of Lemma 3, we need only show that  $f$  is  $\lambda_t$ -measurable for locally  $\mu$ -almost every  $t$ . The proof is formally the same as in [1, §3, No. 2, Proposition 4a)].

(ii), (iii) Let  $N = X - \cup X_n$ ; thus  $\nu^*(N) = \nu^*(N) = 0$ . Write  $f_0 = f\varphi_N$  and  $f_n = f\varphi_{X_n}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . The conclusions (ii) and (iii) hold for  $f_0$  by Lemma 2. For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $f_n$  is upper semicontinuous, hence conditions (ii) and (iii) hold for  $f_n$  by the hypothesis (\*). Let  $S$  be the set of  $t \in T$  such that  $f_0$  is not  $\lambda_t$ -negligible; by Lemma 2,  $\mu^*(S) = 0$ . For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $f_n$  is universally measurable. It follows that if  $t \in T - S$ , then  $f_n$  is  $\lambda_t$ -measurable for all  $n \geq 0$ , hence so is  $f$ , whence  $h_t(t) = \sum_n h_n(t)$  [2, §1, No. 5, Proposition 4]. Thus  $h_t = \sum_n h_n$  locally  $\mu$ -almost everywhere, therefore  $h_t$  is also  $\mu$ -measurable and  $\mu^*(h_t) = \sum_n \mu^*(h_n) = \sum_n \nu^*(f_n) = \nu^*(f)$  by [2, §1, No. 5, Proposition 4].  $\square$

COROLLARY. Assuming condition (\*) is verified, if  $\nu$  is moderated and  $f \geq 0$  is  $\nu$ -integrable, then  $h_t$  is essentially  $\mu$ -integrable,  $f$  is  $\lambda_t$ -integrable for locally  $\mu$ -almost every  $t$  in  $T$ , and  $\nu^*(f) = \mu^*(h_t)$ , that is,

$$\int f(x) d\nu(x) = \int d\mu(t) \int f(x) d\lambda_t(x).$$

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique*. Fasc. XXI. Livre VI: *Intégration*. Chap. V, 2ième ed., Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1244, Hermann, Paris, 1967. MR 35 #322.
2. ———, *Éléments de mathématique*. Fasc. XXXV. Livre VI: *Intégration*. Chap. IX, Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1343, Hermann, Paris, 1969. MR 43 #2183.