

## NEIGHBORHOODS OF THE DIAGONAL AND STRONG NORMALITY PROPERTIES

H. L. SHAPIRO AND F. A. SMITH

**ABSTRACT.** We define the concept of  $n$ -even and  $\aleph_0$ -even covers and show their relationship to collectionwise normality and normality.

A completely regular topological space is said to be *strongly collectionwise normal* if the universal uniformity on  $X$  is equal to the collection of all neighborhoods of the diagonal.

It is known that if  $X$  is paracompact then  $X$  is strongly collectionwise normal and that strongly collectionwise normality implies collectionwise normality (see [2] and [3]). Furthermore, in general neither of these implications can be reversed.

In this note we introduce three types of families using neighborhoods of the diagonal of  $X$ . We then use these to characterize strongly collectionwise normal, collectionwise normal and normal spaces.

**DEFINITION.** If  $X$  is a topological space and if  $n \in N$ , we say that a cover  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $n$ -even if there exist neighborhoods  $W_1, \dots, W_n$  of the diagonal of  $X$  such that  $W_i^2 \subset W_{i-1}$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n$  and  $(W_1(x))_{x \in X}$  refines  $\mathcal{G}$ . If there exists a sequence  $(W_i)_{i \in N}$  of neighborhoods of the diagonal of  $X$  such that  $W_i^2 \subset W_{i-1}$  for all  $n \in N$ ,  $n \neq 1$ , and  $(W_1(x))_{x \in X}$  refines  $\mathcal{G}$  we say that  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $\aleph_0$ -even. If  $n = 1$ , we write "even" instead of "1-even" and note that this is the usual definition of even.

A sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in N}$  of open covers of a topological space  $X$  is *normal* if for all  $n \in N$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}^*$  refines  $\mathcal{U}_n$  (i.e.,  $(\text{st}(U, \mathcal{U}_{n+1}))_{U \in \mathcal{U}_{n+1}}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}_n$ ). The cover  $\mathcal{G}$  is *normal* if there is a normal sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in N}$  of open covers of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_1$  refines  $\mathcal{G}$ .

In [4] we proved the following two results.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $\mathcal{G}$  is an open cover of a topological space  $X$  then  $\mathcal{G}$  is normal if and only if  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $\aleph_0$ -even.*

**THEOREM 2.** *A completely regular topological space is strongly collectionwise normal if and only if every even open cover is normal.*

**DEFINITION.** Let  $\mathcal{G} = (G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  be a family of subsets of a topological space  $X$ . We say that  $\mathcal{G}$  is *weakly even* if there exists a neighborhood  $W$  of the

---

Presented to the Society, June 4, 1978; received by the editors June 14, 1977 and, in revised form, October 24, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54D15.

© American Mathematical Society 1978

diagonal of  $X$  such that  $W^2 \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} (G_\alpha \times G_\alpha)$ . We say that  $\mathcal{G}$  is *even-screenable* if there exist an open family  $\mathcal{F} = (F_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  and a neighborhood  $W$  of the diagonal of  $X$  such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{F} = \bigcup \mathcal{G}$  and  $W(F_\alpha) \subset G_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in I$ . The family  $\mathcal{G}$  is *even-expandable* if there exist a locally finite family  $\mathcal{H} = (H_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  and a neighborhood  $W$  of the diagonal of  $X$  such that  $W(G_\alpha) \subset H_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in I$ .

First let us show that an even cover of a topological space is weakly even. Then let us use weakly even to characterize strongly collectionwise normal and normal spaces.

**PROPOSITION 3.** *If  $\mathcal{G} = (G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  is an even cover of a topological space  $X$  then  $\mathcal{G}$  is a weakly even cover of  $X$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $\mathcal{G}$  is an even cover of  $X$  then there exists an open symmetric neighborhood  $W$  of the diagonal of  $X$  such that  $(W(x))_{x \in X}$  refines  $\mathcal{G}$ . It is easily seen that  $W^2 \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} (G_\alpha \times G_\alpha)$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $X$  is a completely regular topological space then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The space  $X$  is strongly collectionwise normal.*
- (2) *Every open cover of  $X$  is weakly even.*

**PROOF.** (1) *implies* (2). If  $\mathcal{G} = (G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  is an open cover of  $X$  then  $W = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} (G_\alpha \times G_\alpha)$  is a neighborhood of the diagonal of  $X$  and, therefore,  $W$  is an element of the universal uniformity  $\mathcal{U}_0$ . Hence there exists an open symmetric element  $U$  in  $\mathcal{U}_0$  such that  $U^2 \subset W$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{G}$  is weakly even.

(2) *implies* (1). Clearly the universal uniformity is contained in the collection of all neighborhoods of the diagonal. On the other hand, suppose that  $W$  is an open neighborhood of the diagonal of  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{W} = (W(x))_{x \in X}$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is an open cover of  $X$  and, therefore, by (2)  $\mathcal{W}$  is weakly even so there exists an open symmetric neighborhood  $U_1$  of the diagonal of  $X$  such that  $U_1^2 \subset \bigcup_{x \in X} (W(x) \times W(x))$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_1 = (U_1(x))_{x \in X}$  and observe that  $(st(x, \mathcal{U}_1))_{x \in X}$  refines  $\mathcal{W}$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{U}_1$  is an open cover of  $X$  and hence by hypothesis there exists an open symmetric neighborhood  $U_2$  of the diagonal of  $X$  such that  $U_2^2 \subset \bigcup_{x \in X} (U_1(x) \times U_1(x))$ . Continuing inductively we obtain a sequence of open covers  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $(st(x, \mathcal{U}_n))_{x \in X}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}_{n-1}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \neq 1$ . It follows that  $(\mathcal{U}_{2n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a normal sequence of open covers of  $X$  [1, 1.10] such that  $\mathcal{U}_1$  refines  $\mathcal{W}$ . Hence there exists a continuous pseudometric  $d$  on  $X$  that is associated with  $\mathcal{W}$  such that  $(B(x, 1/2^3))_{x \in X}$  refines  $\mathcal{W}$  [1, 8.6]. Let

$$V = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid d(x, y) < 1/2^3\}.$$

Then  $V$  is an element of the universal uniformity and since  $V \subset W$  it follows that  $W$  is also an element of the universal uniformity. The proof is now complete.

It is interesting to compare Theorem 4 to Theorem 2. In Theorem 4 we

characterize strongly collectionwise normal in terms of a property possessed by every open cover, whereas in Theorem 2 strongly collectionwise normal was characterized in terms of a property possessed by only certain covers.

We now turn to characterizing normality in terms of weakly even covers. Recall that a cover is binary if it has exactly two elements and that normality is easily characterized in terms of conditions on every binary open cover (see [1, Theorem 11.7]).

**THEOREM 5.** *If every binary open cover of a topological space is weakly even then  $X$  is normal.*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are disjoint closed sets. Then  $\mathcal{U} = (X - A_1, X - A_2)$  is a binary open cover of  $X$  and hence by hypothesis there exists an open symmetric neighborhood  $W$  of the diagonal of  $X$  such that  $W^2 \subset ((X - A_1) \times (X - A_1)) \cup ((X - A_2) \times (X - A_2))$ . But then  $W(A_1)$  and  $W(A_2)$  are disjoint open sets containing  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  respectively. Therefore,  $X$  is normal.

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $X$  is a topological space then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X$  is normal.
- (2) Every binary (respectively finite, locally finite, star-finite) open cover is even.
- (3) Every binary (respectively finite, locally finite, star-finite) open cover is weakly even.

**PROOF.** Note that star finite implies locally finite implies finite implies binary. Also every even cover is weakly even and a binary open cover of a normal space is even. These observations together with Theorem 5 yield the desired result.

We now turn to even-screenable and even-expandable collections of a topological space. Our remaining results will be consequences of the following general theorem.

**THEOREM 7.** *If  $\mathcal{G} = (G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  is a locally finite family of open subsets of  $X$  and if  $\mathcal{F} = (F_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  is a locally finite family of closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $F_\alpha \subset G_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in I$  and  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} F = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} G$  then*

- (1)  $\mathcal{G}$  is even-screenable and
- (2)  $\mathcal{F}$  is even-expandable.

**PROOF.** Let  $[I]$  be the set of all finite subsets of  $I$  and for each  $J \in [I]$  define

$$A_J = (\bigcap \{G_\alpha | \alpha \in J\}) \cap (\bigcap \{X - F_\alpha | \alpha \notin J\})$$

and set  $\mathcal{A} = (A_J)_{J \in [I]}$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{A}$  is a cover of  $X$ . We will show  $\mathcal{A}$  is locally finite and open.

- (1) Let  $A_J \in \mathcal{A}$ . The family  $\mathcal{F}$  is a locally finite family of closed sets so  $\bigcup \{F_\alpha | \alpha \notin J\}$  is closed. Thus  $X - \bigcup \{F_\alpha | \alpha \notin J\} = \bigcap \{X - F_\alpha | \alpha \notin J\}$  is

open and  $A_j$  is the intersection of a finite number of open sets and hence open. Thus  $\mathcal{A}$  is an open cover.

(2) Let  $x \in X$ .  $\mathcal{G}$  is locally finite so there exist a neighborhood  $N$  of  $x$  and a finite subset  $K$  of  $I$  such that  $N \cap G_\alpha = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\alpha \notin K$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the set of all subsets of  $K$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}$  is a finite collection of  $[I]$  and  $A_j \cap N = \emptyset$  if  $J \notin \mathcal{K}$ . For if  $A_j \cap N \neq \emptyset$  we must show  $J \in \mathcal{K}$ ; i.e.,  $J \subset K$ . If  $\alpha \in J$  then  $A_j \cap N \neq \emptyset$  implies  $G_\alpha \cap N \neq \emptyset$  and, therefore,  $\alpha \in K$ . Thus  $\mathcal{A}$  is a locally finite open cover of  $X$ .

For each  $\alpha \in I$ , let  $H_\alpha = \text{st}(F_\alpha, \mathcal{A})$ , set  $U_\alpha = (H_\alpha \times H_\alpha) \cup ((X - F_\alpha) \times (X - F_\alpha))$  and set  $U = \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$ . We assert that  $U$  is a neighborhood of the diagonal of  $X$  such that  $U(F_\alpha) \subset G_\alpha$ .

To show  $U$  is a neighborhood of the diagonal of  $X$  let  $(x, x)$  be an element of the diagonal. Note that  $H_\alpha \subset G_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in I$  since  $A_j \cap F_\alpha \neq \emptyset$  implies  $\alpha \in J$  and, therefore,  $A_j \subset G_\alpha$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is locally finite  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally finite and hence there exist a neighborhood  $N$  of  $x$  and a finite subset  $K$  of  $I$  such that  $N \cap F = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\alpha \notin K$ . Let  $V = (N \times N) \cap (\bigcap_{\alpha \in K} U_\alpha)$  and observe that  $V$  is a neighborhood of  $(x, x)$ . If  $\alpha \in K$  then  $V \subset U_\alpha$  by definition of  $V$ . If  $\alpha \notin K$  then  $N \cap F = \emptyset$  so  $N \subset X - F_\alpha$  and, therefore,  $V \subset U_\alpha$ . Thus  $V \subset U_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in I$  hence  $V \subset U$ . It follows that  $U$  is a neighborhood of the diagonal of  $X$ . It is easily seen that  $U(F_\alpha) \subset G_\alpha$ .

Therefore,  $\mathcal{G}$  is even-screenable and  $\mathcal{F}$  is even-expandable by definition.

This result yields several very interesting facts about normal and collectionwise normal spaces. First let us observe the following.

**PROPOSITION 8.** *If  $\mathcal{G} = (G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  is a cover of a topological space  $X$  then (1) implies (2) implies (3).*

- (1)  $\mathcal{G}$  is 2-even.
- (2)  $\mathcal{G}$  is even-shrinkable.
- (3)  $\mathcal{G}$  is even.

**PROOF.** (1) *implies* (2). If  $\mathcal{G}$  is 2-even then there exist open symmetric neighborhoods  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  of the diagonal of  $X$  such that  $W_2^2 \subset W_1$  and  $\mathcal{W} = (W_1(x))_{x \in X}$  refines  $\mathcal{G}$ . For each  $\alpha \in I$  let

$$F_\alpha = \bigcup \{ W_2(x) \mid W_1(x) \subset G_\alpha \}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{F} = (F_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  is an open cover of  $X$  and we assert that  $W_2(F_\alpha) \subset G_\alpha$ . If  $x \in W_2(F_\alpha)$ , then there exists  $y \in F_\alpha$  such that  $(x, y) \in W_2$ . Now  $y \in F_\alpha$  implies  $y \in W_2(z)$  and  $W_1(z) \subset G_\alpha$ . But then  $(x, y) \in W_2$  and  $(y, z) \in W_2$  so  $(x, z) \in W_2^2 \subset W_1$  and  $W_1(z) \subset G_\alpha$  implies  $x \in G_\alpha$ .

(2) *implies* (3). This follows from the observation that  $W(x) \subset W(F_\alpha)$  if  $x \in F_\alpha$  and  $W$  is a neighborhood of the diagonal of  $X$ .

**THEOREM 9.** *If  $X$  is a topological space then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X$  is normal.
- (2) Every binary (resp. finite, locally finite, star-finite) open cover of  $X$  is even-screenable.

PROOF. (1) *implies* (2). If  $\mathcal{G} = (G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  is a star-finite open cover then by a double application of Theorem 6 there exists an open cover  $\mathcal{F} = (F_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  such that  $\text{cl } F_\alpha \subset G_\alpha$ . Therefore, by Theorem 7,  $\mathcal{G}$  is even-screenable.

(2) *implies* (1). Since an even-screenable cover is even, this follows from Theorem 6.

THEOREM 10. *If  $X$  is a topological space, then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1)  *$X$  is collectionwise normal.*

(2) *If  $(F_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  is a discrete family of closed subsets of  $X$ , then there exists a neighborhood  $W$  of the diagonal of  $X$  such that  $(W(F_\alpha))_{\alpha \in I}$  is a pairwise disjoint family.*

PROOF. That (2) implies (1) is immediate, so let  $\mathcal{F} = (F_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  be a discrete family of closed sets. By hypothesis,  $X$  is collectionwise normal, so there is a family  $\mathcal{G} = (G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  of pairwise disjoint open sets such that  $F_\alpha \subset G_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in I$ . Thus by Theorem 7 there is a neighborhood  $W$  of the diagonal such that  $W(F_\alpha) \subset G_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in I$ . Now since  $\mathcal{G}$  is a pairwise disjoint family so is  $(W(F_\alpha))_{\alpha \in I}$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. R. A. Alo and H. L. Shapiro, *Normal topological spaces*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1974.
2. R. H. Bing, *Metrization of topological spaces*, *Canad. J. Math* **3** (1951), 175–186.
3. H. J. Cohen, *Sur un problème de M. Dieudonné*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **234** (1952), 290–292.
4. H. L. Shapiro and F. A. Smith, *Even covers and collectionwise normal spaces*, *Canad. J. Math.* (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY, DEKALB, ILLINOIS 60115

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KENT STATE UNIVERSITY, KENT, OHIO 44242