

ON THE INSET OF A CONVERGENCE DOMAIN

SHEN-YUE KUAN

ABSTRACT. Let A be a conservative matrix. The main purpose of this article is to give a negative answer to the open question E raised by Macphail and Wilansky [1], namely, "If $\Lambda_A^\perp = I_A$, must $\Lambda_D^\perp = I_D$ for every matrix D with $c_D = c_A$?"

The main purpose of this short article is to give a negative answer to the open question E which was raised by M. S. Macphail and A. Wilansky in [1].

As usual, we use c, c_0, l , respectively, for the set of all convergent sequences, null sequences, absolutely summable sequences. Let A be an infinite matrix. The convergence domain $c_A = \{x: Ax \in c\}$ is an FK space. We assume A conservative, that is, $c \subset c_A$. With a_k denoting the k th column limit of A , we define the inset

$$I_A = \left\{ x \in c_A: \sum_k a_k x_k \text{ converges} \right\}$$

and

$$\Lambda_A^\perp = \left\{ x \in I_A: \lim_A x = \sum a_k x_k \right\}.$$

Each $f \in c'_A$ has a representation

$$f(x) = \mu \lim_A x + t(Ax) + sx \quad (t \in l, s \in c_A^\beta). \quad (1)$$

Let A be the matrix defined by

$$(Ax)_{2n} = 0, \quad (Ax)_{2n-1} = -\frac{2}{n} x_n + \frac{1}{n} x_{n+1}.$$

Plainly $\lim_A x = 0$ ($x \in c_A$) so μ is not unique, $I_A = c_A$, $\Lambda_A^\perp = I_A$. Define $g(x) = \lim_n 2^{-n} x_n$ on c_A . Let $y = (y_n) = Ax$. It is easy to see that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n 2^{-(k+1)} k y_{2k-1} = -2^{-1} x_1 + 2^{-(n+1)} x_{n+1}. \quad (2)$$

Put $t = (t_n)$ where $t_{2k} = 0$, $t_{2k-1} = 2^{-(k+1)} k$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then $t \in l$. From (2) the function g can be expressed as

$$g(x) = t(Ax) + 2^{-1} x_1.$$

Received by the editors January 4, 1978.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 40H05, 46A45.

Key words and phrases. Convergence domain, conservative matrix, the inset.

© American Mathematical Society 1978

Hence $g \in c'_A$ and so its kernel g^\perp is closed and $g^\perp \supset \bar{c}_0$. On the other hand the sequence $u = (2^n)$ belongs to c_A and $g(u) = 1$, so $u \in c_A \setminus \bar{c}_0$. There exists $f \in c'_A$ with $f(u) \neq 0$ and $f = 0$ on \bar{c}_0 . By [2, Satz 5.3] there exists a matrix D with $c_D = c_A$ and $f = \lim_D$ since μ is not unique for A . Now $d_k = \lim_D e^k = f(e^k)$, where e^k denotes the sequence $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ with the 'one' in the k th position. Hence $I_D = c_D = c_A$. But

$$\Lambda_D(u) = \lim_D u - \sum d_k u_k = \lim_D u = f(u) \neq 0.$$

Thus $\Lambda_D^\perp \neq I_D$.

REFERENCES

1. M. S. Macphail and A. Wilansky, *Linear functionals and summability invariants*, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **17** (1974), 233–242.
2. K. Zeller, *Allgemeine Eigenschaften von Limitierungsverfahren*, *Math. Z.* **53** (1951), 463–487.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CENTRAL UNIVERSITY, CHUNG LI, TAIWAN, REPUBLIC OF CHINA