

## THE SIZE OF THE SET OF LEFT INVARIANT MEANS ON AN ELA SEMIGROUP

ALAN L. T. PATERSON

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $S$  be an ELA semigroup and let  $m(S)$  be the smallest possible cardinality of the set  $\{s \in S: Fs = \{s\}\}$  as  $F$  ranges over the finite subsets of  $S$ . The main purpose of this note is to show that if  $m(S)$  is infinite, then  $S$  has exactly  $2^{2^{m(S)}}$  (multiplicative) left invariant means.

A semigroup  $S$  is said to be extremely left amenable (ELA) if there exists a multiplicative left invariant mean on  $S$ . (See [7], [3], [4], [5].) We denote by  $\mathcal{L}_m(S)$  ( $\mathcal{L}(S)$ ) the set of multiplicative left invariant means (left invariant means) on  $S$ . The cardinality of a set  $X$  is denoted by  $|X|$ .

Investigations into the sizes of the sets of left invariant means on an infinite, left amenable, cancellative semigroup and on an amenable locally compact group are made in [1], [2], [8], [9], [10] and [6]. In particular, Chou shows in [2] that the cardinality of the set of left invariant means on an infinite, discrete, amenable group is  $2^{2^{|\mathcal{G}|}}$ . Our aim is to prove a limilar result for the ELA semigroup  $S$ .

The family of finite subsets of a set  $X$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ . If  $F \in \mathcal{F}(S)$ , we set

$$Z_F = \{s \in S: Fs = \{s\}\}.$$

By [3, p. 185],  $Z_F$  is never empty. It follows that if

$$m(S) = \min\{|Z_F|: F \in \mathcal{F}(S)\}$$

then  $m(S) > 0$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $S$  be an ELA semigroup. If  $m(S)$  is infinite, then*

$$|\mathcal{L}_m(S)| = |\mathcal{L}(S)| = 2^{2^{m(S)}}.$$

*If  $m(S)$  is finite, then  $|\mathcal{L}_m(S)| = m(S)$ , and  $\mathcal{L}(S)$  is the convex hull in  $l_\infty(S)^*$  of  $\mathcal{L}_m(S)$  (and so has cardinality 1 if  $m(S) = 1$ , and cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  if  $1 < m(S) < \infty$ ).*

**PROOF.** Let  $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}(S)$  be such that  $|Z_{F_0}| = m(S)$ . Let  $Z = Z_{F_0}$ .

Suppose firstly that  $m(S)$  is finite. It follows from [5, Lemma 1] and from [4, Theorem A] that  $Z = \mathcal{L}_m(S)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(S) = \text{co } Z$  in  $l_\infty(S)^*$ .

Now suppose that  $m(S)$  is infinite, and let  $\alpha$  be the smallest ordinal of cardinality  $m(S)$ . We construct by transfinite recursion, a disjoint family  $\{\theta_\epsilon\}$ :

---

Received by the editors September 12, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 43A07.

© American Mathematical Society 1978

$\epsilon \in \alpha$  of subsets of  $Z$  such that  $\theta_\epsilon \cap Z_F \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\epsilon \in \alpha$  and all  $F \in \mathfrak{F}(S)$ .

To this end, we well-order  $\mathfrak{F}(Z) = \{F_\beta : \beta \in \alpha\}$ , and construct for each  $\beta \in \alpha$ , a family  $\{\Delta_\epsilon^\beta : \epsilon < \beta\}$  of subsets of  $Z$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\Delta_\epsilon^\beta \cap \Delta_\eta^\beta = \emptyset$  if  $\epsilon \neq \eta$ ;
- (ii)  $|\Delta_\epsilon^\beta| \leq |\beta|$  if  $\beta$  is infinite, and  $\Delta_\epsilon^\beta$  is finite if  $\beta$  is finite;
- (iii)  $Z_{F_\delta} \cap \Delta_\epsilon^\beta \neq \emptyset$  whenever  $\epsilon < \delta < \beta$ ;
- (iv)  $\Delta_\epsilon^\beta \subset \Delta_\epsilon^{\beta_1}$  whenever  $\epsilon < \beta < \beta_1$ .

Suppose that  $\gamma \in \alpha$  and that the families  $\{\Delta_\epsilon^\beta : \epsilon < \beta\}$ , satisfying conditions (i)–(iv), have been constructed for  $\beta < \gamma$ . From (ii), we have

$$|\cup \{\Delta_\epsilon^\beta : \epsilon < \beta < \gamma\}| \leq m(S).$$

Let  $\{x_\epsilon^\gamma : \epsilon < \gamma\}$  be a set of distinct points in  $Z_{F_\gamma} \sim [\cup \{\Delta_\epsilon^\beta : \epsilon < \beta < \gamma\}]$  and define  $\Delta_\epsilon^\gamma = [\cup \{\Delta_\epsilon^\beta : \epsilon < \beta < \gamma\}] \cup \{x_\epsilon^\gamma\}$  ( $\epsilon < \gamma$ ),  $\Delta_\gamma^\gamma = \{x_\gamma^\gamma\}$ . Then the families  $\{\{\Delta_\epsilon^\beta : \epsilon < \beta\} : \beta < \gamma\}$  satisfy the conditions (i)–(iv).

This completes the construction of the families  $\{\Delta_\epsilon^\beta : \epsilon < \beta\}$  for all  $\beta \in \alpha$ . Now set  $\theta_\epsilon = \cup \{\Delta_\epsilon^\beta : \beta \in \alpha\}$  for all  $\epsilon \in \alpha$ . The family  $\{\theta_\epsilon : \epsilon \in \alpha\}$  is disjoint. Let  $F \in \mathfrak{F}(S)$  and  $\epsilon \in \alpha$ . We check that  $\theta_\epsilon \cap Z_F \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x \in Z_{F_0} \cap Z_F$ . Since  $Z_{(x)} \subset Z_F$ , it suffices to show that  $\theta_\epsilon \cap Z_{(x)} \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose not. Then  $\theta_\epsilon \cap Z_G = \emptyset$  for all  $G \in \mathfrak{F}(Z)$  containing  $x$ . But the set of such  $G$  is of cardinality  $m(S)$ , whereas, using (iii), the set of such  $G$  has cardinality at most  $|\epsilon| < m(S)$ . So  $\theta_\epsilon \cap Z_F \neq \emptyset$ .

We now proceed as in [10, p. 158]. From [2, Lemma 2], there exists a collection  $\{P_\delta : \delta \in \Delta\}$  of subsets of  $\alpha$  where  $|\Delta| = 2^{m(S)}$  and  $\cap_{i=1}^n P_{\delta_i}^{e(i)} \neq \emptyset$  whenever  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$  are distinct points of  $\Delta$  and  $e(i) = 1$  or  $c$ . (Note that  $c$  denotes complementation.) For each  $\delta \in \Delta$ , set  $X_\delta = \cup \{\theta_\epsilon : \epsilon \in P_\delta\}$ . Let  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m$  be distinct points of  $\Delta$  and let  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m \in S^1$ , where  $S^1$  is the semigroup  $S$  with identity adjoined. Then there exists  $\gamma$  such that

$$\theta_\gamma \subset \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^n X_{\delta_i} \right) \cap \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^m X_{\zeta_i}^c \right).$$

Then if  $s \in \theta_\gamma \cap Z_{(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)}$ , we have

$$s \in \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^n x_i X_{\delta_i} \right) \cap \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^m y_i X_{\zeta_i}^c \right).$$

It follows that if  $\epsilon : \Delta \rightarrow \{1, c\}$ , then there is a point of  $\mathcal{L}_m(S)$  in the set  $\cap \{(\widehat{xX}_\delta^{\epsilon(\delta)}) : x \in S^1, \delta \in \Delta\}$ , where  $\widehat{A}$  is the closure in  $\beta S$  of a subset  $A$  of  $S$ . So  $|\mathcal{L}_m(S)| \geq 2^{2^{m(S)}}$ . Using [5, Lemma 1] and [4, Theorem A], we have  $\mathcal{L}_m(S) \subset \beta Z$  and  $\mathcal{L}(S) \subset l_\infty(Z)^*$ . Since  $|\beta Z| = 2^{2^{m(S)}} = |l_\infty(Z)^*|$ , the desired result follows.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. C. Chou, *On the size of the set of left invariant means on a semigroup*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (1969), 199–205.
2. \_\_\_\_\_, *The exact cardinality of the set of invariant means on a group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1976), 103–106.
3. E. Granirer, *Extremely amenable semigroups*, Math. Scand. **17** (1965), 177–197.
4. \_\_\_\_\_, *Extremely amenable semigroups. II*, Math. Scand. **20** (1967), 93–113.
5. \_\_\_\_\_, *Functional analytic properties of extremely amenable semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (1969), 53–75.
6. M. Klawe, *On the dimension of left invariant means and left thick subsets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **231** (1977), 507–518.
7. T. Mitchell, *Fixed points and multiplicative left invariant means*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1966), 195–207.
8. J. M. Rosenblatt, *Invariant means and invariant ideals in  $L_\infty(G)$  for a locally compact group  $G$* , J. Functional Analysis **21** (1976), 31–51.
9. \_\_\_\_\_, *Invariant means for the bounded measurable functions on a locally compact group*, Math. Ann. **220** (1976), 219–228.
10. \_\_\_\_\_, *The number of extensions of an invariant mean*, Compositio Math. **33** (1976), 147–159.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ABERDEEN, ABERDEEN, SCOTLAND