

## A LOWER BOUND ON THE NUMBER OF VERTICES OF A GRAPH

V. G. KANE AND S. P. MOHANTY

**ABSTRACT.** In this note, we derive a lower bound for the number of vertices of a graph in terms of its diameter,  $d$ , connectivity  $k$  and minimum degree  $\rho$  which is sharper than that of Watkins [1] by an amount  $2(\rho - k)$ .

Let  $G$  be any finite, undirected graph with neither loops nor multiple edges. Let  $n$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $k$  and  $d$  denote the number of vertices, minimum degree, connectivity and diameter of  $G$  respectively. Watkins [1] has proved that if  $k \geq 1$ , then  $n \geq k(d - 1) + 2$ . He has used Menger's theorem to obtain the above result. In this note we prove a theorem from which Watkins' result follows as a corollary. Our proof is simple and elementary. Moreover the lower bound we obtain is sharper than that of Watkins by the amount  $2(\rho - k)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $k \geq 1$ , then*

$$n \geq \begin{cases} k(d - 3) + 2\rho + 2, & \text{if } d \geq 3, \\ \rho + 2, & \text{if } d = 2, \\ 2, & \text{if } d = 1. \end{cases}$$

**PROOF.** Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two vertices of  $G$  at a distance  $d$ . Let  $A_i = \{x \in V(G) \mid \delta(a, x) = i\}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$ , where  $\delta(a, x)$  denotes the length of the shortest path between  $a$  and  $x$ . Clearly,  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ ,  $A_0 = \{a\}$  and  $b \in A_d$ . Let  $d \geq 3$ . If we delete all the vertices in any  $A_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$  from  $G$ , then the remaining graph becomes disconnected. Hence  $|A_i| \geq k$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$ . Also  $|A_0| + |A_1| \geq \rho + 1$  and  $|A_{d-1}| + |A_d| \geq \rho + 1$ . Hence  $n \geq k(d - 3) + 2\rho + 2$ . If  $d = 2$ , then  $|A_1| \geq \rho$  and so  $n \geq \rho + 2$ . If  $d = 1$ , then clearly  $n \geq 2$ . Hence the theorem is proved.

Since  $\rho \geq k$ , we have Watkins' result as a direct corollary to the above theorem.

Now we give below examples of two classes of graphs to show that the bounds in our theorem are 'best possible'.

**EXAMPLE 1 (WATKINS [1]).** Let  $H_1, \dots, H_{d-1}$  represent disjoint copies of  $K_k$ ,  $G$  is formed as follows: Join each vertex of  $H_i$  to each vertex of  $H_{i+1}$  by an edge ( $i = 1, \dots, d - 2$ ); then join a new vertex  $u$  to each vertex of  $H_1$  by an edge and similarly join a vertex  $v$  to each vertex of  $H_{d-1}$ . The resulting

---

Received by the editors August 1, 1977 and, in revised form, November 23, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 05C35.

© American Mathematical Society 1978

graph clearly satisfies the bounds in the theorem but does not show the sharpness of our bound as we have here  $\rho = k$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.** Let  $d$  and  $m$  be integers at least 2, and let  $G$  be the lexicographic product of the  $2d$ -circuit with the complete graph  $K_m$ . Then we have  $k = 2m$ ,  $\rho = 3m - 1$  and  $n = 2md$ . Now  $G$  has diameter  $d$  and substitution yields  $k(d - 3) + 2\rho + 2 = n$ .

In this example we have  $\rho > k$  and hence our lower bound is sharper than that of Watkins by an amount  $2(\rho - k)$ .

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** We are extremely thankful to the referee for suggesting the class of graphs given in Example 2.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. E. Watkins, *A lower bound on the number of vertices of a graph*, Amer. Math. Monthly **74** (1967), 297.

ELECTRONICS COMMISSION, NEW DELHI, INDIA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, KANPUR 208016 INDIA