

## ANALYTICITY OF FUNCTIONS AND SUBALGEBRAS OF $L^\infty$ CONTAINING $H^\infty$

S.-Y. A. CHANG<sup>1</sup> AND J. B. GARNETT<sup>2</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $B$  be a subalgebra of  $L^\infty$  containing  $H^\infty$ . We give some necessary and sufficient conditions, expressed in terms of analyticity, for a function in  $L^\infty$  to belong to  $B$ .

1. Let  $H^\infty$  be the algebra of bounded analytic functions on the open disc  $D$ . By Fatou's theorem  $H^\infty$  is a closed subalgebra of  $L^\infty$ , the algebra of essentially bounded Lebesgue measurable functions on the unit circle  $C$ . The (closed) subalgebras of  $L^\infty$  containing  $H^\infty$  have received considerable attention recently (cf. D. Sarason [7], [8], S.-Y. Chang [3], [4] and D. Marshall [6]). The main result of those papers is that each such algebra  $B$  is a Douglas algebra, i.e.  $B$  is generated by  $H^\infty$  and

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{ \bar{b} : b \in H^\infty \text{ is an inner function and } \bar{b} \in B \}.$$

In this note we characterize the elements of  $B$  in terms of their analyticity in two different ways.

We identify  $f \in L^1$  with its Poisson integral

$$f(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\theta - \varphi) f(\varphi) d\varphi$$

where  $P_r(t) = (1 - r^2)/(1 - 2r \cos t + r^2)$  is the Poisson kernel. For  $\delta > 0$  we let  $G_\delta(f)$  be the region  $\{re^{i\theta} : |f(re^{i\theta})| > 1 - \delta\}$ . For each arc  $I$  on the circle with center  $e^{it}$  and normalized arc length  $|I|$ , we let  $\mathfrak{R}(I)$  be the region

$$\{re^{i\theta} : |\theta - t| \leq |I|/2, 1 - |I| < r < 1\}.$$

We write  $H^\infty(G)$  for the set of bounded analytic functions on a region  $G$ .

2. The first characterization connects the algebra  $B$  to the algebras  $H^\infty(G_\delta(b))$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $b \in \mathfrak{B} = \{b \text{ inner} : \bar{b} \in B\}$ .

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $b(z)$  be an inner function and let  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Then almost every  $e^{i\theta} \in C$  is the vertex of a truncated cone lying in  $G_\delta(b)$ . Every bounded harmonic function  $F(z)$  defined on  $G_\delta(b)$  has a nontangential limit  $F(e^{i\theta})$  at almost every  $e^{i\theta} \in C$ .*

**PROOF.** At almost every  $e^{i\theta}$ ,  $b(z)$  has a unimodular nontangential limit.

---

Received by the editors September 22, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 30A76, 43A40.

<sup>1</sup>Partially supported by NSF Grant MCS 77-16281.

<sup>2</sup>Partially supported by NSF Grant MPS 74-7035.

Such a point  $e^{i\theta}$  is then the vertex of a truncated cone (of arbitrarily large aperture) inside  $G_\delta(b)$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $\alpha > 0$ , a metric density argument [9, p. 201] shows there is  $h$ ,  $0 < h < 1$ , and there is a compact set  $E \subset C$  such that  $|C \setminus E| < \varepsilon$  and such that  $G_\delta(b)$  contains

$$\mathfrak{R} = \bigcup_{e^{i\theta} \in E} \left\{ z: \frac{|e^{i\theta} - z|}{1 - |z|} < \alpha, 1 - h < |z| < 1 \right\}.$$

Now the proof commencing on the bottom of p. 202 of [9] shows that every bounded harmonic function on  $\mathfrak{R}$  has a nontangential limit from within  $\mathfrak{R}$  at almost every point of  $E$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  and  $\alpha$  are arbitrary the lemma is proved.

Because of the lemma we can state the following

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $f \in L^\infty$ . Then  $f \in B$  if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $b \in \overline{\mathfrak{B}}$  and  $\delta$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ , and there exists  $F \in H^\infty(G_\delta(b))$  with nontangential limit  $F(e^{i\theta})$  such that  $\|F(e^{i\theta}) - f(e^{i\theta})\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ .*

**PROOF.** First suppose  $f \in B$ . Then  $f$  can be uniformly approximated by functions of the form  $\bar{b}h$  with  $\bar{b} \in \overline{\mathfrak{B}}$  and  $h \in H^\infty$ . When  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $F(z) = h(z)/b(z)$  is in  $H^\infty(G_\delta(b))$  and  $F$  has nontangential limit  $h(e^{i\theta})/b(e^{i\theta}) = \bar{b}(e^{i\theta})h(e^{i\theta})$  almost everywhere. Thus the condition of the theorem is necessary.

The proof of the converse uses the basic construction from the proof of the corona theorem.

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Let  $b(z)$  be an inner function and let  $0 < \eta < 1$ . There is a sequence  $\Gamma_i$  of disjoint rectifiable Jordan curves bounding domains  $D_i \subset D$  such that:*

$$\{|b(z)| < \eta\} \subset \bigcup D_i. \tag{2.1}$$

$$\inf_{D_i} |b(z)| < \eta. \tag{2.2}$$

(2.3) *There is  $\delta = \delta(\eta) < 1$  such that  $\Gamma_i \subset \{|b(z)| < \delta(\eta)\}$ .*

(2.4) *Arc length in  $\Gamma = D \cap \bigcup_i \Gamma_i$  is a Carleson measure on  $D$ .*

See [1], [2] or [10] for detailed proofs of Lemma 2.3.

To conclude the proof of Theorem 1, it suffices to assume that  $f = F$  almost everywhere, where  $F \in H^\infty(G_\delta(b))$  for some  $b \in \overline{\mathfrak{B}}$  and some  $\delta$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Using the duality  $L^\infty/H^\infty = (H_0^1)^*$ , we have for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(f, B) &\leq \inf_{h \in H^\infty} \|f - \bar{b}^n h\| \\ &= \sup_{\substack{g \in H^1 \\ \|g\|_1 < 1}} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C F(z) b^n(z) g(z) dz \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Take  $\eta > 1 - \delta$  and consider the curves  $\Gamma_i$  given by Lemma 2.2. Let

$$\Omega_r = \{|z| < r\} \setminus \bigcup_i \bar{D}_i, \quad r < 1.$$

By (2.2) the region  $\Omega_r$  has finite connectivity, and since  $b(z)$  is inner,  $\bar{\Omega}_r \subset G_\delta(b)$  by (2.1) when  $\eta > 1 - \delta$ . Moreover  $\Omega_r$  has rectifiable boundary consisting of

$$J_r = \{|z| = r\} \cap \partial\Omega_r,$$

and

$$K_r = \{|z| < r\} \cap \bigcup_i \Gamma_i.$$

By (2.3) for almost every  $e^{i\theta}, re^{i\theta} \in J_r$ , when  $1 - r$  is small. Hence by dominated convergence and Lemma 2.1

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_{J_r} F(z)b^n(z)g(z) dz = \int_C F(z)b^n(z)g(z) dz.$$

By Cauchy's theorem

$$\int_{J_r} F(z)b^n(z)g(z) dz = - \int_{K_r} F(z)b^n(z)g(z) dz$$

with correct orientations. But by (2.3) and (2.4)

$$\int_{K_r} |F(z)| |b^n(z)| |g(z)| ds \leq \sup|F(z)| (\delta(\eta))^n M \|g\|_1,$$

where  $M$  depends only on  $\Gamma$ . Sending  $n \rightarrow \infty$  completes the proof.

The theorem or its proof shows that  $\text{dist}(f, B)$  is the infimum of those  $\epsilon > 0$  for which the condition of the theorem remains true.

3. The second characterization of  $B$  involves the distances from  $f$  to  $H^2$ , measured in the Hilbert spaces  $L^2(P_{r_0}(\theta - \theta_0)d\theta)$  for points  $z_0 = r_0e^{i\theta_0}$  lying in some region  $G_\delta(b)$ ,  $b \in B$ .

For  $f \in L^\infty$ , let

$$d\mu_f = |\partial f / \partial \bar{z}|^2 (1 - |z|) dx dy$$

where  $\partial / \partial \bar{z} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial / \partial x + \partial i / \partial y)$ . The Littlewood-Paley identity

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \iint |\nabla f(z)|^2 \log \frac{1}{|z|} dx dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int |f - f(0)|^2 d\theta,$$

where  $|\nabla f|^2 = |\partial f / \partial x|^2 + |\partial f / \partial y|^2$ , implies that  $d\mu_f$  is a finite measure on  $D$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *When  $f \in L^\infty$  the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i)  $f \in B$ .

(ii) For any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $b \in \bar{\mathfrak{B}}$  and  $\delta$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ , such that for all  $z_0 \in G_\delta(b)$ ,

$$\inf_{g \in H^2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int |f - g|^2 P_{r_0}(\theta - \theta_0) d\theta < \epsilon. \tag{3.1}$$

(iii) For any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $b \in \bar{\mathfrak{B}}$  and  $\delta$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ , such that

$$\sup_I \frac{\mu_f(G_\delta(b) \cap \mathfrak{R}(I))}{|I|} < \epsilon. \tag{3.2}$$

PROOF. We show (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).

Assume (i) holds. Then there is  $\bar{b} \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $h \in H^\infty$  such that  $\|f - \bar{b}h\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ . For  $z_0 \in G_\delta(b)$ , let  $g(z) = \bar{b}(z_0)h(z)$ . Then  $g \in H^2$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int |\bar{b}h - g|^2 P_{r_0}(\theta - \theta_0) d\theta &\leq \frac{\|h\|_\infty}{2\pi} \int |b(\theta) - b(z_0)|^2 P_{r_0}(\theta - \theta_0) d\theta \\ &= \|h\|_\infty (1 - |b(z_0)|^2) < 2\delta \|h\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, (3.1) holds if  $\delta$  is sufficiently small.

Now suppose (ii) holds and choose  $\bar{b} \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\delta$  so that (3.1) holds. We follow the proof of Lemma 2 of [3]. By Lemma 5 of [3], (3.2) will be proved if we show that

$$\mu_f(\mathfrak{R}(I_0)) < \varepsilon |I_0|$$

for all arcs  $I_0$  of the form  $\{|\theta - \theta_0| < 1 - r_0\}$  where  $z_0 = r_0 e^{i\theta_0} \in G_\delta(b)$ . Let  $w = (z - z_0)/(1 - \bar{z}_0 z)$  and let  $F(w) = f(z) - g(z)$ , where  $g \in H^2$  is chosen to attain the infimum (3.1). Then  $F(w)$  is conjugate analytic, so that  $|\nabla F(w)|^2 = 2|\partial F/\partial \bar{w}|^2$ . Since  $F(0) = 0$ , the Littlewood-Paley identity gives us

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(e^{i\varphi})|^2 d\varphi = \frac{2}{\pi} \iint \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{w}} \right|^2 \log \frac{1}{|w|} du dv$$

where  $w = u + iv$ . A change of variables then yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(\theta) - g(\theta)|^2 P_{r_0}(\theta - \theta_0) d\theta \\ = \frac{2}{\pi} \iint \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} \right|^2 \log \left| \frac{1 - \bar{z}_0 z}{z - z_0} \right| dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

as  $\partial g/\partial \bar{z} = 0$ . When  $z \in \mathfrak{R}(I_0)$ ,

$$\frac{1 - |z|}{1 - r_0} \leq c \log \left| \frac{1 - \bar{z}_0 z}{z - z_0} \right|,$$

and hence (3.1) implies that

$$\mu_f(\mathfrak{R}(I_0)) \leq \frac{c(1 - r_0)}{2\pi} \int |f - g|^2 P_{r_0}(\theta - \theta_0) d\theta < c\varepsilon(1 - r_0).$$

Now assume (iii). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and fix  $b \in \bar{\mathfrak{B}}$  and  $\delta$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ , so that (3.2) holds. We estimate

$$\text{dist}(f, \bar{b}^n H^\infty) = \sup_{g \in H_0^1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int f \bar{b}^n g d\theta$$

as in the proof of Theorem 6 of [3] with one small modification. Note that when  $g \in H^1$ ,

$$\nabla f(z) \nabla(\bar{b}^n g)(z) = f_x(\bar{b}^n g)_x + f_y(\bar{b}^n g)_y = 2(\partial f/\partial \bar{z})(\partial(\bar{b}^n g)/\partial z).$$

Polarization of the Littlewood-Paley identity then yields

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int f b^n g \, d\theta = \frac{2}{\pi} \iint \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial b^n g}{\partial z} \log \frac{1}{|z|} \, dx \, dy.$$

From this point one can repeat the proof of Theorem 6 in [3], using (3.2) instead of the analogous condition on  $|\nabla f|^2(1 - |z|) \, dx \, dy$ , and obtain

$$\text{dist}(f, \bar{b}^n H^\infty) \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2}.$$

Thus  $f \in B$  if (iii) holds and the theorem is proved.

The proof of the theorem contains the following estimates on  $\text{dist}(f, B)$  for  $f \in L^\infty$ . Let  $\varepsilon_1(f)$  be the infimum of those  $\varepsilon > 0$  for which condition (ii) is true and let  $\varepsilon_2(f)$  be the infimum of those  $\varepsilon > 0$  for which condition (iii) is true. Then

$$\text{dist}(f, B) \geq c_1 \varepsilon_1^{1/2} \geq c_2 \varepsilon_2^{1/2} \geq c_3 \text{dist}(f, B),$$

for universal constants  $c_1, c_2$  and  $c_3$ . (These inequalities, reading from the left, follow from the proofs of (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii), (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii), (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) respectively.)

Since  $\partial f / \partial \bar{z} = (\partial f / \partial \bar{z})$ , the description of  $B \cap \bar{B}$  given as Theorem 8 in [3] is an immediate corollary of Theorem 3.1.

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *If  $f \in L^\infty$ , then  $f \in B$  if and only if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $b \in \bar{\mathfrak{B}}$  and  $\delta, 0 < \delta < 1$ , such that*

$$\iint_{\mathfrak{R}(I) \cap G_\delta(b)} |\nabla f|^2 (1 - |z|) \, dx \, dy < \varepsilon(I)$$

for every arc  $I$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. L. Carleson, *Interpolations by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **76** (1962), 547-559.
2. ———, *The corona theorem*, Proc. of the 15th Scandinavian Congress (Oslo, 1968), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 118, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970.
3. S.-Y. Chang, *A characterization of Douglas subalgebras*, Acta Math. **137** (1976), 81-90.
4. ———, *Structure of subalgebras between  $L^\infty$  and  $H^\infty$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **227** (1977), 319-332.
5. C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein,  *$H^p$  spaces of several variables*, Acta Math. **129** (1972), 137-193.
6. D. E. Marshall, *Subalgebras of  $L^\infty$  containing  $H^\infty$* , Acta Math. **137** (1976), 91-98.
7. D. Sarason, *Algebras of functions on the unit circle*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1973), 286-299.
8. ———, *Algebras between  $L^\infty$  and  $H^\infty$* , Spaces of Analytic Functions (Kristiansen, Norway, 1975), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 512, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York.
9. E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1970.
10. S. Ziskind, *Interpolating sequences and the Silov boundary of  $H^\infty(\Delta)$* , J. Functional Analysis **21** (1976), 380-388.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND 20742

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024