

POWER BOUNDED STRICTLY CYCLIC OPERATORS

ERIK J. ROSENTHAL

ABSTRACT. We show that a power bounded hereditarily strictly cyclic operator on Hilbert space is similar to a contraction. We also show that certain "almost unitary" operators are not strictly cyclic.

Recall that the operator T is *power bounded* if there exists a positive real number M such that $\|T^n\| < M$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Sz.-Nagy [16] proved that every compact power bounded operator is similar to a contraction and asked whether the hypothesis of compactness can be removed. Foguel [3] (see also Halmos [5]) showed that it cannot be removed—there is a power bounded operator that is not similar to a contraction. (It is still not known if every polynomially bounded operator is similar to a contraction. See Ghatage [4] for some related results.)

Given an operator T , let $\mathcal{Q}(T)$ denote the uniformly closed algebra generated by T and the identity. Lambert [11] defined T to be *strictly cyclic* if there exists a vector x_0 such that $\{Ax_0: A \in \mathcal{Q}(T)\}$ is the entire space. T is *hereditarily strictly cyclic* if its restriction to every invariant subspace is strictly cyclic. There are a number of known results about strictly cyclic operators—see [6], [7], [8], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14].

In this paper we prove that a power bounded hereditarily strictly cyclic operator is similar to a contraction. We require three lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *If T is a strictly cyclic operator, and if \mathfrak{N} is an invariant subspace of T , then the compression of T to \mathfrak{N}^\perp is strictly cyclic.*

PROOF. Let P be the projection onto \mathfrak{N}^\perp , and let e be a strictly cyclic vector for T ; i.e.,

$$\{Ae: A \in \mathcal{Q}(T)\} = \mathfrak{H}.$$

Let $f = Pe$ and $m = e - f$. Then it suffices to show that

$$\{PAf: A \in \mathcal{Q}(T)\} = \mathfrak{N}^\perp.$$

Note that $PAm = 0$ for every A in $\mathcal{Q}(T)$. Now, given $x \in \mathfrak{N}^\perp$, choose A in $\mathcal{Q}(T)$ such that $x = Ae$. Then

$$PAf = PA(f + m) = PAe = Px = x,$$

and the proof is complete.

LEMMA 2. *If T is hereditarily strictly cyclic and power bounded, and if*

Received by the editors August 23, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47B99.

© American Mathematical Society 1978

$\sigma(T) = \{\lambda_0\}$, where $|\lambda_0| = 1$, then T acts on a one-dimensional space.

PROOF. By replacing T by $\frac{1}{\lambda_0} T$, we may assume that $\lambda_0 = 1$. Lambert [11] proved that T strictly cyclic implies that every point in the spectrum of T is compression spectrum. Thus, 1 is an eigenvalue for T^* . So choose a unit vector e such that $T^*e = e$, and let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ A & B \end{pmatrix}$$

be the decomposition of T with respect to the decomposition of $\mathfrak{H} = \sqrt{\{e\} \oplus \{e\}^\perp}$. We claim that $\{e\}^\perp = \{0\}$. If not, since T is hereditarily strictly cyclic and $B = T|_{\{e\}^\perp}$, B is strictly cyclic. Also, $\sigma(B) = \{1\}$, so there is a unit vector $f \perp e$ with $B^*f = f$. Then decompose T with respect to the decomposition $\mathfrak{H} = \sqrt{\{e, f\} \oplus \{e, f\}^\perp}$:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ C & D & \end{pmatrix}$$

Since T is power bounded, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is power bounded. Since $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ n\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, λ must be 0. Since $\{e, f\}^\perp \in \text{Lat } T$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is strictly cyclic by Lemma 1. But the identity is strictly cyclic only on a one-dimensional space, so $\{e\}^\perp$ must be $\{0\}$.

We should perhaps note that the above lemma implies the following well known fact.

THEOREM 1 (SZ.-NAGY). *A power bounded operator acting on a finite dimensional space is similar to a contraction.*

PROOF. By the Jordan canonical form theorem, it suffices to prove the theorem for a single Jordan block, so consider an operator

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 1 & & 0 \\ & \gamma & 1 & \\ 0 & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & \gamma & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since T is power bounded and $\|T^n\| \geq |\gamma|^n$, $|\gamma| \leq 1$. If $|\gamma| = 1$, then Lemma 2 implies that T acts on a one-dimensional space, and we are done. If $|\gamma| < 1$, then T is similar to

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & (1 - |\gamma|) & & 0 \\ & \gamma & (1 - |\gamma|) & \\ 0 & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & \gamma & (1 - |\gamma|) \\ & & & & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

(by the Jordan canonical form theorem). Then $\|S\| \leq \|\gamma I\| + \|(1 - |\gamma|)S_0\|$ where S_0 is a shift all of whose weights are 1. Thus $\|S\| \leq |\gamma| + 1 - |\gamma| = 1$.

The next lemma is a slight variant of Theorem 4 of Barnes [1] (which is the case where $\|T\| = 1$).

LEMMA 3. *If T is strictly cyclic and power bounded, and if $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$, $|\lambda| = 1$, then λ is an isolated point of $\sigma(T)$.*

PROOF. Suppose that $\|T^n\| \leq M$ for all n , and that λ is as stated. By replacing T by $\frac{1}{\lambda}T$, we may assume that $\lambda = 1$. Let $S = \frac{1}{2}(T + 1)$. Then for each n ,

$$\|S^n\| = \frac{1}{2^n} \|(T + 1)^n\| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot M(1 + 1)^n = M.$$

Since $\{S^n\}$ is bounded, some subsequence $\{S^{n_k}\}$ converges weakly to some operator R .

The algebra $\mathcal{Q}(T)$ is maximal abelian by a result of Lambert [10]. The spectrum of each element of $\mathcal{Q}(T)$ is the same as its spectrum relative to the Banach algebra $\mathcal{Q}(T)$ (cf. [15, p. 4]). Thus, if \mathfrak{N} is the set of nonzero complex homomorphisms of $\mathcal{Q}(T)$, then

$$\sigma(T - R) = \{\phi(T - R) : \phi \in \mathfrak{N}\}.$$

Now, if $\phi(T) \neq 1$, $|\phi(T) + 1| < 2$ since $|\phi(T)| \leq 1$. Hence $|\phi(S)| < 1$, and $\phi(S^{n_k}) \rightarrow 0$. Lambert [11, Theorem 1.7] proved that every linear functional on $\mathcal{Q}(T)$ is weakly continuous. So $\phi(T) \neq 1$ implies $\phi(R) = 0$, and $\phi(T) = 1$ implies $\phi(R) = 1$ for every $\phi \in \mathfrak{N}$. Hence

$$\sigma(T - R) = \{\phi(T) - \phi(R) : \phi \in \mathfrak{N}\} = (\{\phi(T) : \phi \in \mathfrak{N}\} \setminus \{1\}) \cup \{0\}.$$

Since $\sigma(T - R)$ must be closed, 1 must be an isolated point of $\sigma(T)$; (if $\{\lambda_n\} \rightarrow 1$ and $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \sigma(T) \setminus \{1\}$, then $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \sigma(T - R)$, and since $1 \notin \sigma(T - R)$, $\sigma(T - R)$ would not be closed).

THEOREM 2. *A power bounded hereditarily strictly cyclic operator is similar to a contraction.*

PROOF. Let T be power bounded and hereditarily strictly cyclic. The spectral radius of T is at most 1; by the preceding lemma, $\sigma(T) \cap \{z : |z| = 1\}$ is some finite set $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$. (If $\sigma(T) \cap \{z : |z| = 1\} = \emptyset$, the first part of the proof is not required.) For each j let

$$P_j = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_j} (z - T)^{-1} dz$$

where Γ_j is a circle about λ_j such that $\Gamma_j \cap \sigma(T) = \emptyset$ and the interior of Γ_j meets $\sigma(T)$ only in $\{\lambda_j\}$. Then each P_j is a Riesz projection of T (cf. [15, Chapter 2]). If $\mathfrak{N}_j = \mathfrak{R}(P_j)$, then the well-known theorem of Riesz (cf. [15, p. 31]) implies that \mathfrak{N}_j is invariant under T , and that $\sigma(T|_{\mathfrak{N}_j}) = \{\lambda_j\}$ for each j . Clearly $T|_{\mathfrak{N}_j}$ is also power bounded, so \mathfrak{N}_j is one-dimensional by Lemma

2. Now if $\mathfrak{M} = \bigvee_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{M}_j$, then \mathfrak{M} is a finite-dimensional invariant subspace of T , so $T|_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is similar to a contraction by Theorem 1. Also, \mathfrak{M} has an invariant complement \mathfrak{N} , (\mathfrak{N} is the null space of $P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_n$). Evidently $\sigma(T|_{\mathfrak{N}}) \subset \{z: |z| < 1\}$. Thus, by Rota's theorem (cf. [15, Theorem 3.28]) $T|_{\mathfrak{N}}$ is similar to a part of the backwards shift of multiplicity the dimension of \mathfrak{N} . Obviously every such part is a contraction.

Now, $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{M} \oplus \mathfrak{N}$, and $T|_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $T|_{\mathfrak{N}}$ are each similar to a contraction. Since T is similar to $(T|_{\mathfrak{M}}) \oplus (T|_{\mathfrak{N}})$, it follows that T is similar to a contraction.

The next corollary is immediate. It contains the fact that power bounded operators on finite-dimensional spaces are similar to contractions by the Jordan canonical form theorem.

COROLLARY. *A power bounded operator which is the (not necessarily orthogonal) direct sum of a finite number of hereditarily strictly cyclic operators is similar to a contraction.*

Barnes [1, Theorem 8] proves that no hyponormal operator is strictly cyclic on an infinite-dimensional space. In particular, there are no strictly cyclic unitary operators on infinite-dimensional spaces. The next theorem is a mild generalization of this fact about unitary operators.

THEOREM 3. *If T is strictly cyclic, power bounded, and invertible, and if there is a $k \geq 1$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^k} \|T^{-n}\| = 0,$$

then T acts on a finite-dimensional space.

PROOF. Since T is power bounded, $\sigma(T) \subset \{z: |z| \leq 1\}$. Choose $M > 0$ such that $\|T^{-n}\| \leq M \cdot n^k$. Then the spectral radius of T^{-1} is at most $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M^{1/n} (n^k)^{1/n} = 1$, so $\sigma(T^{-1}) \subset \{z: |z| \leq 1\}$. Since $\sigma(T^{-1}) = \{1/z: z \in \sigma(T)\}$, it follows that $\sigma(T) \subset \{z: |z| = 1\}$. Now Lemma 3 implies that $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ for complex numbers λ_i of modulus 1. As in the proof of Theorem 2, let \mathfrak{N}_i be the Riesz subspace such that $\sigma(T|_{\mathfrak{N}_i}) = \{\lambda_i\}$. Since each \mathfrak{N}_i has an invariant complement, \mathfrak{N}_i is invariant under T^{-1} for each i . Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^k} \|(T|_{\mathfrak{N}_i})^n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^k} \|(T|_{\mathfrak{N}_i})^{-n}\| = 0.$$

These growth conditions together with the fact that $\sigma(T|_{\mathfrak{N}_i})$ is the singleton $\{\lambda_i\}$ imply that $(T|_{\mathfrak{N}_i} - \lambda_i)^k = 0$ by a theorem of Hille (see [9, p. 128]). This implies T is an algebraic operator, so each of its cyclic invariant subspaces is finite-dimensional. Since T is cyclic, it acts on a finite-dimensional space.

REFERENCES

1. B. Barnes, *Operators with a strictly cyclic vector*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **41** (1973), 480-486.

2. M. Embry, *Maximal invariant subspaces of strictly cyclic operator algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **49** (1973), 45–50.
3. S. R. Foguel, *A counterexample to a problem of Sz.-Nagy*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964), 788–790.
4. P. Ghatage, *Generalized algebraic operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1975), 232–236.
5. R. P. Halmos, *On Foguel's answer to Sz.-Nagy's question*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964), 791–793.
6. J. H. Hedlund, *Strongly strictly cyclic weighted shifts*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **57** (1976), 119–121.
7. D. A. Herrero, *Transitive operator algebras containing a subalgebra of finite strict multiplicity*, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina **26** (1972), 77–83.
8. ———, *Operator algebras of finite strict multiplicity*, Indiana Math. J. **22** (1972), 13–24.
9. E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1957.
10. E. Kerlin and A. L. Lambert, *Strictly cyclic shifts on l_p* , Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **35** (1973), 87–94.
11. A. L. Lambert, *Strictly cyclic operator algebras*, Dissertation, Univ. of Michigan, 1970.
12. ———, *Strictly cyclic weighted shifts*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (1971), 331–336.
13. ———, *Strictly cyclic operator algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **39** (1971), 717–726.
14. A. L. Lambert and T. R. Turner, *The double commutant of invertibility weighted shifts*, Duke Math. J. **39** (1972), 385–389.
15. H. Radjavi and P. M. Rosenthal, *Invariant subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973.
16. B. Sz.-Nagy, *Completely continuous operators with uniformly bounded iterates*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **4** (1959), 82–92.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, COLLEGE AT NEW PALTZ,
NEW PALTZ, NEW YORK 12562