

## SUBDIRECTLY IRREDUCIBLE ALGEBRAS IN REGULAR, PERMUTABLE VARIETIES<sup>1</sup>

W. TAYLOR

**ABSTRACT.** If  $A$  is a finite algebra in a regular, permutable variety (of finite type), then the variety generated by  $A$  either contains an infinite subdirectly irreducible algebra or contains only finitely many subdirectly irreducible algebras. We conjecture that the hypothesis "regular and permutable" cannot be fully removed.

Quackenbush asked [7] whether there exists a finite algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\mathfrak{V} = \text{HSP } \mathfrak{A}$  has infinitely many finite subdirectly irreducible (s.i.) algebras but no infinite s.i. algebras. He also asked, "Can it be of finite type; can it be a groupoid, semigroup or group?" Except for groups (settled here, and probably essentially known all along), these questions remain open. Baldwin and Berman [2] relaxed the question to that of the existence of a locally finite  $\mathfrak{V}$  of finite type with arbitrarily large finite s.i.'s but with no infinite s.i. They were able to find such  $\mathfrak{V}$  which are locally finite but not of finite type, and also of finite type but not locally finite. And recently Baldwin [1] came close: his  $\mathfrak{V}$  is locally finite and of finite type, has large finite s.i.'s and exactly *one* infinite s.i.

Here we show that *there is no such  $\mathfrak{A}$  in a variety of finite type which is congruence-regular and congruence-permutable.* (See the theorem at the end of this article; it was announced in [9].) We thank K. Baker, J. Baldwin, R. Freese, W. Lampe and E. Nelson for valuable conversations about this material.

We will assume that *congruence-permutability* is well known to the reader (see e.g. [4, pp. 119 and 172]). And  $\mathfrak{V}$  is *congruence-regular* iff every congruence on every  $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathfrak{V}$  is determined by any one of its blocks. The main fact we will need about congruence-regularity [3], [5], [10] is that it is equivalent to the existence of  $\mathfrak{V}$ -terms  $P_j(x, y, z)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq 2N$ ) and  $G_i(x, y, z, w)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq N$ ) such that  $\mathfrak{V}$  obeys the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} P_j(x, x, z) &= z & (1 \leq j \leq 2N), \\ x &= G_1(x, y, z, P_1(x, y, z)), \\ G_1(x, y, z, P_2(x, y, z)) &= G_2(x, y, z, P_3(x, y, z)), \end{aligned}$$

---

Received by the editors October 2, 1978.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 08A15.

<sup>1</sup>Research supported in part by N.S.F. Grant MCS 76-06558-A01.

© 1979 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/79/0000-0301/\$02.25

$$G_2(x, y, z, P_4(x, y, z)) = G_3(x, y, z, P_5(x, y, z)),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$G_N(x, y, z, P_{2N}(x, y, z)) = y.$$

We will use these identities for our first lemma, which refines Mal'tsev's well known description of principal congruence relations [4, p. 54]. Let us suppose that each  $\mathcal{V}$ -term  $\sigma$  has been assigned an (integer-valued) rank  $\# \sigma > 1$  with the usual proviso that  $\# F(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) > \text{each } \# \sigma_i$  (cf. [4, pp. 40–41]). For an algebraic function  $f: A \rightarrow A$ , define  $\# f$  to be the smallest value of  $\# \sigma$  for  $f(z) = \sigma(z, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  (each  $a_i \in A$ ). Define  $M_1 = \max\{\# G_i: 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ , and

$M_2$  = the maximum rank of any term

$$P_i(G(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, \sigma, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n), v, w) \quad (1 \leq i \leq 2N)$$

for  $G$  any fundamental operation and  $\sigma$  any term of rank  $< M_1$ . (The numbers  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , of course, depend on the variety  $\mathcal{V}$ , which for convenience we will keep fixed throughout this discussion.)

Let us now describe the principal congruence  $\theta(a, b)$  for  $a, b \in \mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ . Letting  $f$  and  $h$  denote algebraic functions of one variable, we recursively define

$$A_0 = \{b\},$$

$$A_{i+1} = \{h(c): c \in A_i, h(a) = a, \# h < M_2\}$$

and finally,

$$\theta_0(a, b) = \left\{ (f(a), f(c)): c \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i, \# f < M_1 \right\}.$$

LEMMA 1.  $\theta(a, b)$  is the transitive-symmetric hull of  $\theta_0(a, b)$ .

PROOF. It is clearly enough to see that this hull is closed under the actions of OAF's, i.e. algebraic functions  $g: A \rightarrow A$  formed by freezing all but one of the places in one of the operations  $G$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . In fact it is obviously enough to consider arbitrary  $(f(a), f(c)) \in \theta_0(a, b)$  and show that  $(gf(a), gf(c))$  is in the transitive-symmetric hull of  $\theta_0(a, b)$ . By definition of  $\theta_0(a, b)$ , we have  $c \in A_i$  for some  $i$ , and  $\# f < M_1$ . Now consider the algebraic functions

$$h_j(z) = P_j(gfz, gfa, a) \quad (1 \leq j \leq 2N).$$

Clearly  $\# h_j < M_2$ , and  $h_j(a) = a$ , by our identities; thus each  $h_j(c) \in A_{i+1}$ . Next consider the algebraic functions

$$f_i(z) = G_i(gf(c), gf(a), a, z) \quad (1 \leq i \leq N).$$

It is clear that  $\# f_i < M_1$ , and thus we have  $(f_i(a), f_i h_j(c)) \in \theta_0(a, b)$ ; in particular, the following pairs are in  $\theta_0(a, b)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (f_1 a, f_1 h_1 c), \quad (f_1 a, f_1 h_2 c), \\
 & (f_2 a, f_2 h_3 c), \quad (f_2 a, f_2 h_4 c), \\
 & \vdots \\
 & (f_N a, f_N h_{2N-1} c), \quad (f_N a, f_N h_{2N} c).
 \end{aligned}$$

In the identities stated above, if we now substitute  $gf(c)$  for  $x$ ,  $gf(a)$  for  $y$ , and  $a$  for  $z$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 gfc &= f_1 h_1 c, \\
 f_1 h_2 c &= f_2 h_3 c, \\
 f_2 h_4 c &= f_3 h_5 c, \\
 &\vdots \\
 f_N h_{2N} c &= gfa.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining these equalities with our list of pairs from  $\theta_0(a, b)$ , we easily obtain that  $(gfa, gfc)$  is in the transitive-symmetric hull of  $\theta_0(a, b)$ .  $\square$

**LEMMA 2.** *There exists a function  $\nu: \omega \rightarrow \omega$  (depending only on  $V$ , which is assumed congruence-regular) with the following property. If  $a, b, c, d \in \mathfrak{A} \in \mathfrak{V}$  and  $(c, d) \in \theta(a, b)$ , then  $(c, d)$  is in the transitive-symmetric hull of a set of  $M$  pairs  $(f(a), f(b))$ , with each  $f$  an algebraic function of rank  $< \nu(M)$ , where  $M$  denotes the power of the smallest congruence class containing  $a, b, c$  and  $d$ .*

**PROOF.** Consider the representation in Lemma 1. It is clear that  $A_M = A_{M+1} = \dots$ , since a sequence  $b, f_1(b), f_2 f_1(b), f_3 f_2 f_1(b), \dots$  with each  $f_i(a) = a$ , must repeat itself at least once in  $M$  steps, and hence can be shortened if it is longer than  $M$ . This obviously places a bound on the ranks of algebraic functions required. And the length of the necessary transitive chain is obviously limited by  $M$ .  $\square$

Our next lemma is well known for groups (see [6, 51.23, p. 146]), limiting the orders of chief factors in varieties generated by a finite group. We have heard that the general case was previously discovered by J. B. Nation.

**LEMMA 3.** *If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is finite and  $\mathbf{HSP} \mathfrak{A}$  is congruence-permutable, then every finite  $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathbf{HSP} \mathfrak{A}$  has a strictly increasing sequence of congruence blocks  $B_0 < B_1 < \dots < B_k = \mathfrak{B}$ , with  $|B_i| < |\mathfrak{A}|^i$  for each  $i < k$ .*

**PROOF.** Let us have  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{C}/\theta$  with  $\mathfrak{C} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}^m$ . We define congruences  $\theta_j$  ( $0 < j < m$ ) on  $\mathfrak{C}$  as follows:

$$c \theta_j c' \leftrightarrow c_i = c'_i \quad (i = j + 1, j + 2, \dots, m).$$

(And thus  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}} = \theta_0 \subseteq \theta_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \theta_m = \mathfrak{C}^2$ .) Let us look at one  $(\theta \vee \theta_j)$ -block  $U$ , and count the number of  $(\theta \vee \theta_{j-1})$ -blocks which it contains. Fix  $c \in U$ . Congruence-permutability tells us that for each  $y \in U$  we have  $c \theta_j z$   $\theta y$  for some  $z \in \mathfrak{C}$ . Suppose we also have  $c \theta_j z' \theta y'$  with  $z$  and  $z'$  agreeing in

their  $j$ th coordinate; then the definition of  $\theta_j$  tells us that  $z \theta_{j-1} z'$ , and hence  $y(\theta \vee \theta_{j-1})y'$ . Thus the  $(\theta \vee \theta_{j-1})$ -block of  $y \in U$  is determined by the  $j$ th coordinate of  $z$ , which can take only  $|\mathfrak{A}|$  values. Hence each  $(\theta \vee \theta_j)$ -block contains at most  $|\mathfrak{A}|$   $(\theta \vee \theta_{j-1})$ -blocks. Regarding each  $\theta \vee \theta_j$  as a congruence  $\psi_j$  on  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{C}/\theta$ , we have the corresponding property: each  $\psi_j$ -block contains at most  $|\mathfrak{A}|$   $\psi_{j-1}$ -blocks.

It is now easy to construct the desired sequence of congruence blocks; we start at the top and work downward. Define  $U_0 = B$  and  $U_{i-1}$  = the largest of all  $\psi_j$ -blocks (for any  $j$ ) which are proper subsets of  $U_i$ . Since  $B$  is finite and  $\psi_0 = \Delta_B$ , the process terminates at some singleton  $U_k$ . Renumbering upward via  $B_i = U_{k-i}$  finishes the construction.  $\square$

In the spirit of [2] and [8] we define a *congruence formula* to be any positive 4-ary formula  $\varphi(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  obeying  $\forall yz[\exists x\varphi(x, x, y, z) \rightarrow y = z]$ . It is shown in [8] that for  $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$ , the principal congruence  $\theta(a, b)$  consists of all pairs  $(c, d)$  for which  $\mathfrak{A} \models \varphi(a, b, c, d)$  for some congruence formula  $\varphi$ . (Our proof in [8] was based on Mal'tsev's description mentioned above; a direct proof is even easier: just show that all such  $(c, d)$  form a congruence relation.) We now refine this congruence-formula description for regular and permutable varieties generated by a finite algebra.

**LEMMA 4.** *If  $\mathfrak{V} = \text{HSP } \mathfrak{A}$  (with  $\mathfrak{A}$  finite) is regular and permutable, then there exists a sequence  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots$  of congruence formulas with the following property. The elements of every finite s.i. algebra  $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathfrak{V}$  can be arranged in a sequence  $b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots$  such that  $\mathfrak{B} \models \varphi_i(b_i, b_j, b_0, b_1)$  whenever  $i < j$ .*

**PROOF.** Take  $B_0 \subset B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \dots$  as provided by Lemma 3. By congruence-regularity, the minimum congruence  $\theta$  on  $\mathfrak{B}$  contains a pair  $(b_0, b_1)$  with  $b_0, b_1 \in B_1$  and  $b_0 \neq b_1$ . Now simply choose the sequence so that for each  $j$ ,  $b_j \in B_j$ . Certainly for  $i < j$  we have  $(b_0, b_1) \in \theta(b_i, b_j)$ . By Lemma 2,  $(b_0, b_1)$  is in the transitive-symmetric hull of at most  $|\mathfrak{A}|^{\nu}$  pairs  $(f(b_i), f(b_j))$ , with each  $f$  an algebraic function of rank  $< \nu(|\mathfrak{A}|^{\nu})$ . It should now be clear how we build the formula  $\varphi_j$ . We write down all "chains" linking  $b_0$  with  $b_1$  with  $< |\mathfrak{A}|^{\nu}$  links composed of pairs  $(f(b_i), f(b_j))$  (there are a finite number), form their disjunction, and finally existentially quantify over all parameters appearing in the terms designating algebraic functions.  $\square$

Notice that the conclusion of this lemma involves a weakened version of Baldwin and Berman's notion [2] of *definable principal congruences*.

**THEOREM.** *If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a finite algebra with  $\text{HSP } \mathfrak{A}$  congruence-regular and congruence-permutable, and if  $\text{HSP } \mathfrak{A}$  contains arbitrarily large finite subdirectly irreducible algebras, then it contains an infinite subdirectly irreducible algebra.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathfrak{B}_i$  be s.i. with  $|\mathfrak{B}_i| > i$  ( $i > 1$ ). Write  $\mathfrak{B}_i = \{b_{i0}, b_{i1}, b_{i2}, \dots\}$  according to Lemma 4. Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a nonprincipal ultraproduct of  $\langle \mathfrak{B}_i; i \in I \rangle$

and take  $b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots \in \mathfrak{B}$  such that for each  $j$ , the  $i$ th coordinate of  $b_j$  is  $b_{ij}$  (a.e. in  $i$ ). Łoś' theorem tells us that  $\mathfrak{B} \models \varphi_j(b_i, b_j, b_0, b_1)$  whenever  $i < j$  where  $\varphi_j$  is as in Lemma 4. Thus all  $b_j$  must be distinct in  $\mathfrak{B}/\theta$  whenever  $(b_0, b_1) \notin \theta$ , and hence such a quotient  $\mathfrak{B}/\theta$  is always infinite. If we take  $\theta$  to be a maximal congruence separating  $b_0$  and  $b_1$ , then  $\mathfrak{B}/\theta$  is infinite and s.i.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. J. T. Baldwin, *The number of subdirectly irreducible algebras in a variety*. II, *Algebra Universalis* (to appear).
2. J. T. Baldwin and J. Berman, *The number of subdirectly irreducible algebras in a variety*, *Algebra Universalis* 5 (1975), 379–389.
3. B. Csákány, *Characterizations of regular varieties*, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 31 (1970), 187–189.
4. G. Grätzer, *Universal algebra*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1968.
5. ———, *Two Mal'cev-type theorems in universal algebra*, *J. Combinatorial Theory* 8 (1970), 334–342.
6. H. Neumann, *Varieties of groups*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
7. R. W. Quackenbush, *Equational classes generated by finite algebras*, *Algebra Universalis* 1 (1971), 265–266.
8. W. Taylor, *Residually small varieties*, *Algebra Universalis* 2 (1972), 33–53.
9. ———, *Residual finiteness in congruence-regular and permutable varieties*, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* 25 (1978), A-350.
10. R. Wille, *Kongruenzklassengeometrien*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 113, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, BOULDER, COLORADO 80309