

## RATIONAL SURFACES WITH TOO MANY VECTOR FIELDS

GERALD NEUMAN

**ABSTRACT.** A method is given for constructing smooth rational surfaces with nonreduced automorphism groups, by a sequence of blow-ups of the projective plane. The technique works in all positive characteristics.

**1. Introduction.** The vector space  $V_X = H^0(X, \Theta_X)$  of regular vector fields on a surface  $X$  can be identified with the tangent space to the group scheme  $\text{Aut } X$  at its identity element. Thus a surface  $X$  has a nonreduced automorphism group scheme iff  $\dim V_X > \dim \text{Aut } X$ . We wish to describe a method for constructing smooth rational surfaces with this property. Since group schemes in characteristic zero are smooth [1, p. 101], this will be possible only for positive characteristics. (In the language of deformation theory,  $V_X$  may also be identified with the set of infinitesimal automorphisms of  $X$  parameterized by the scheme  $\text{Spec } k[t]/(t^2)$ . If  $\dim V_X > \dim \text{Aut } X$ , then  $X$  has "obstructed" infinitesimal automorphisms, that is, infinitesimal automorphisms which do not extend to an algebraic family of automorphisms.)

If  $X$  is a smooth surface, and  $Y$  is the blow-up of  $X$  at a smooth point  $P$ , then the relationship between  $\text{Aut } X$  and  $\text{Aut } Y$  can be summarized in two rules.

**LEMMA (1.1).** *The identity component  $\text{Aut}^0 Y$  is a closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut } X$ , whose support is the identity component of the subgroup of automorphisms which fix  $P$ .*

**LEMMA (1.2).** *The tangent space  $V_Y$  is the subspace of  $V_X$  consisting of the vector fields which vanish at  $P$ .*

Lemma (1.2) is the first step in the proof of Lemma (1.1). It follows from Lemma (3.1) below. If we let  $C$  denote the closed subscheme of  $\text{Aut } X$  representing the functor  $C: T \mapsto \{T\text{-Automorphisms of } X \times T \text{ fixing the closed subscheme } P \times T\}$ , then it is not difficult to show that  $\text{Aut}^0 Y$  is isomorphic to the identity component  $C^0$ . Details may be found in [3].

Thus our strategy is to blow up at a point which is fixed by too few automorphisms, or, equivalently, at which too many vector fields vanish.

The projective plane has a reduced automorphism group, namely  $\text{PGL}(2)$ . We will make a series of blowings-up of the plane, each time at a point

---

Received by the editors January 9, 1978.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 14J10, 14L15.

*Key words and phrases.* Rational surface, automorphism group scheme, regular vector field.

© 1979 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/79/0000-0400/\$02.75

infinitely near the previous point, that is, on the new exceptional divisor. It is convenient to use multi-projective coordinates to describe such a procedure.

Let  $B_0$  denote the projective plane  $\mathbf{P}^2$  with coordinates  $(X_0, X_1, X_2)$ .

Let  $P_0$  be the point  $(1, 0, 0)$ . We can also take inhomogeneous coordinates  $x_1 = X_1/X_0$  and  $x_2 = X_2/X_0$  at  $P_0$ . The blow-up  $B_1$  of the surface  $B_0$  at the point  $P_0$  can be viewed as the subvariety of  $B_0 \times \mathbf{P}^1$  consisting of all points  $(X_0, X_1, X_2; Y_0, Y_1)$  for which  $X_1Y_1 = X_2Y_0$ . In inhomogeneous coordinates, with  $y_1 = Y_1/Y_0$ , this gives the familiar local equation  $x_1y_1 = x_2$ .

Choose a point  $P_1 = (1, 0, 0; 1, \lambda_1)$  on the exceptional divisor. The blow-up of  $B_1$  at this point consists of all points of  $B_1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$  of the form  $(X_0, X_1, X_2; Y_0, Y_1; Z_0, Z_1)$  satisfying the equation

$$X_1Y_0Z_1 = (Y_1 - \lambda_1Y_0)Z_0X_0.$$

Once more, taking the inhomogeneous coordinate  $y_2 = Z_1/Z_0$  gives the local equation  $x_1y_2 = y_1 - \lambda_1$ .

Similarly, for any choice of  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ , we can take a point  $P_k = (1, 0, 0; 1, \lambda_1; \dots; 1, \lambda_k)$  on  $B_k$  and blow up to get a surface  $B_{k+1}$  defined in  $B_k \times \mathbf{P}^1$  as the set of all  $(X_0, X_1, X_2; Y_0, Y_1; \dots; V_0, V_1; W_0, W_1)$  for which

$$X_1V_0W_1 = (V_1 - \lambda_kV_0)W_0X_0,$$

and with  $y_{k+1} = W_1/W_0$  and  $y_k = V_1/V_0$ , this gives the local equation  $x_1y_{k+1} = y_k - \lambda_k$ . With this notation, we will investigate how  $\text{Aut } B_{k+1}$  and  $\dim H^0(B_{k+1}, \Theta_{B_{k+1}})$  depend on the choice of  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ . We can take  $\lambda_1 = 0$  without loss of generality.

**2. Calculation of automorphisms.** We wish to compute the dimension of  $\text{Aut } B_{k+1}$ . By Lemma (1.1), it suffices to determine which automorphisms of  $B_k$  fix the point  $P_k$ . Applying the lemma repeatedly, we can view an element  $\alpha$  of the identity component  $\text{Aut}^0 B_k$  as an automorphism of  $\mathbf{P}^2$ . If  $\alpha$  fixes  $P_k$ , it must send each curve passing through  $P_k$  to another curve with the same property. This condition can be stated on  $\mathbf{P}^2$ : we ask that  $\alpha$  send each curve whose proper transform on  $B_k$  passes through  $P_k$  to another such curve.

Given  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ , we put

$$F_k(T) = \lambda_2T^2 + \dots + \lambda_kT^k.$$

If  $S_k$  denotes  $\text{Spec } k[t]/(t^{k+1})$ , we define

$$f_k: S_k \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$$

by

$$t \mapsto x_1, \quad F_k(t) \mapsto x_2.$$

With this notation, a straightforward calculation proves the following lemma.

**LEMMA (2.1).** *Let  $C$  be a smooth curve on  $\mathbf{P}^2$ . Then the proper transform of  $C$  on  $B_k$  passes through  $P_k$  iff  $f_k$  factors through  $C$ .*

Thus to fix  $P_k$ , an automorphism of  $\mathbf{P}^2$  must commute with  $f_k$  up to an automorphism of  $S_k$ .

We recall that every automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\mathbf{P}^2$  can be written as a linear map

$$\alpha: \begin{pmatrix} X_0 \\ X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} aX_0 + bX_1 + cX_2 \\ dX_0 + eX_1 + fX_2 \\ gX_0 + hX_1 + iX_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and that two linear maps give the same automorphism if they differ by a scalar. We wish to determine the conditions imposed on the coefficients of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix}$$

when we require  $\alpha$  to fix  $P_k$ .

To fix  $P_0$  at all, we must have  $d = g = 0$ . If  $\alpha$  also fixes  $P_1$ , it must fix the line  $X_2 = 0$ , and so  $h$  is likewise zero. Then the matrix is invertible and upper triangular, and its diagonal entries  $a, e,$  and  $i$  are all nonzero. We can now eliminate the ambiguity about scalars by choosing  $a = 1$ .

Then  $\alpha$  commutes with  $f_k$  up to an automorphism of  $S_k$  iff

$$F_k \left( \frac{eu + fF_k(u)}{1 + bu + cF_k(u)} \right) \equiv \frac{iF_k(u)}{1 + bu + cF_k(u)} \pmod{u^{k+1}}. \tag{2.2}$$

**AN EXAMPLE.** Rather than describe the conditions imposed on the entries  $b, c, e, f,$  and  $i$  by equation (2.2) in general, we would like to work out a specific example, which gives us in each positive characteristic one of our rational surfaces with nonreduced automorphism group.

Let the characteristic of the ground field be  $p$ . Then we will take  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{2p} = 0, \lambda_{2p+1} = \lambda \neq 0, \lambda_{2p+2} = \dots = \lambda_{3p} = 0,$  and  $\lambda_{3p+1} = \mu \neq 0$ .

Then the condition that  $\alpha$  fix  $P_{3p+1}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \left( \frac{eu + f\lambda u^{2p+1} + f\mu u^{3p+1}}{1 + bu + c\lambda u^{2p+1} + c\mu u^{3p+1}} \right)^{2p+1} \\ & \quad + \mu \left( \frac{eu + f\lambda u^{2p+1} + f\mu u^{3p+1}}{1 + bu + c\lambda u^{2p+1} + c\mu u^{3p+1}} \right)^{3p+1} \\ & \equiv \frac{i\lambda u^{2p+1} + i\mu u^{3p+1}}{1 + bu + c\lambda u^{2p+1} + c\mu u^{3p+1}} \pmod{u^{3p+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The congruence breaks into the two equations:

$$i\lambda = \lambda e^{2p+1}$$

and

$$3b^p i \lambda + u\mu = \mu e^{3p+1} + b^p e^{2p+1} \lambda;$$

solving simultaneously, the latter yields

$$\mu e^{2p+1}(1 - e^p) = \lambda e^{2p+1} b^p (1 - 3).$$

Clearly these give two conditions on the coefficients, and the automorphism group thus has dimension three; we will later see that this choice of  $\lambda$ 's imposes only one condition on the tangent fields, giving a tangent space of dimension four.

**3. Calculation of vector fields.** Given our sequence of surfaces  $B_1, \dots, B_{k+1}$ , we wish to calculate the dimension of  $V_{B_{k+1}} = H^0(B_{k+1}, \Theta_{B_{k+1}})$  by determining inductively which vector fields on  $B_j$  vanish at  $P_j$ . To do so, we will first take a vector field vanishing at  $P_{j-1}$  and find an expression for the vector field to which it lifts on  $B_j$ .

We can take  $x_1$  and  $y_{j-1} - \lambda_{j-1}$  as parameters at the point  $P_{j-1}$ . Any vector field  $\theta_{j-1}$  on  $B_{j-1}$  can then be written in the form  $fd/dx_1 + gd/dy_{j-1}$ . If it lifts to a vector field  $\theta_j$  on  $B_j$ , we wish to find an expression for  $\theta_j$  in the form  $Fd/dx_1 + Gd/dy_j$ .

**LEMMA (3.1).** *The vector field  $\theta_{j-1}$  lifts to a regular vector field  $\theta_j$  on  $B_j$  iff it vanishes at  $P_{j-1}$ , and then at  $P_j$  we can write*

$$\theta_j = f \frac{d}{dx_1} + g_j \frac{d}{dy_j},$$

with  $g_j = (1/x_1)(g - fy_j)$ .

**PROOF.** Locally at  $P_{j-1}$ , the surface  $B_{j-1}$  is an open subset of  $\text{Spec } k[x_1, y_{j-1}]$ , and the blowing-up corresponds to the ring homomorphism

$$k[x_1, y_{j-1}] \xrightarrow{\phi} k[x_1, y_{j-1}, y_j] / (y_{j-1} - \lambda_{j-1} - x_1 y_j).$$

We may view the vector fields as derivations on these rings, and we will let  $D_w$  denote  $d/dw$  on  $k[x_1, y_{j-1}]$ , and  $\Delta_w$  denote  $d/dw$  on  $k[x_1, y_{j-1}, y_j] / (y_{j-1} - \lambda_{j-1} - x_1 y_j)$ .

Then

$$D_{x_1} = \Delta_{x_1} \circ \phi - \frac{y_j}{x_1} \Delta_{y_j} \circ \phi \quad \text{and} \quad D_{y_j} = \frac{1}{x_1} \Delta_{y_j} \circ \phi.$$

We conclude that  $fd/dx_1 + gd/dy_{j-1}$  must lift to the vector field

$$f \frac{d}{dx_1} + \frac{1}{x_1} (g - fy_j) \frac{d}{dy_j}.$$

A priori, a vector field of this form has coefficients in the function field  $k(x_1, y_j)$ ; for  $\theta_j$  to be regular on our open subset of  $B_j$ ,  $x_1$  must divide  $g - fy_j$ . This occurs iff  $g$  has no constant term, that is, iff  $g$  vanishes at  $P_{j-1}$ . By symmetry,  $\theta_j$  is regular on all of  $B_j$  iff both  $f$  and  $g$  vanish at  $P_{j-1}$ . That is,  $\theta_{j-1}$  must vanish at  $P_{j-1}$ . This proves the lemma.

(Since the question is local in the étale topology, this also proves Lemma (1.2).)

We suppose now that  $\theta_{j-1}$  vanishes at  $P_{j-1}$ , and so lifts to  $\theta_j$  on  $B_j$ . When does  $\theta_j$  vanish at  $P_j$ ? The function  $f$  already vanishes at  $P_{j-1}$ , and therefore vanishes on the entire exceptional divisor over  $P_{j-1}$ . It remains to examine  $g_j = (1/x_1)(g - fy_j)$ . If we break  $g - fy_j$  into a sum of homogeneous parts with respect to  $x_1$ ,

$$g - fy_j = H_0 + H_1x_1 + H_2x_1^2 + \dots,$$

we see that  $H_0$  must vanish at  $P_j$ , and that  $g_j$  vanishes at  $P_j$  iff  $H_1$  does.

Thus the vector field  $\theta_j$  vanishes at  $P_j$  iff the coefficient  $H_1$  of the part of  $x_1$ -degree one in  $g - fy_j$  does.

Returning to  $B_0$ , we recall that  $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \Theta_{\mathbb{P}^2})$  is spanned by the fields  $X_i d/dX_j$ , with the single relation

$$X_0 \frac{d}{dX_0} + X_1 \frac{d}{dX_1} + X_2 \frac{d}{dX_2} = 0.$$

This relation permits us to express  $X_i d/dX_0$  as

$$X_i \frac{d}{dX_0} = \frac{X_i}{X_0} X_0 \frac{d}{dX_0} = -\frac{X_i X_1}{X_0} \frac{d}{dX_1} - \frac{X_i X_2}{X_0} \frac{d}{dX_2}.$$

Since

$$X_0 \frac{d}{dX_j} = \frac{d}{d(X_j/X_0)},$$

we can write a basis in parameters at  $(1, 0, 0)$  in the form

$$\alpha = X_0 \frac{d}{dX_0} = -x_1 \frac{d}{dx_1} - x_2 \frac{d}{dx_2},$$

$$\beta = X_1 \frac{d}{dX_0} = -x_1^2 \frac{d}{dx_1} - x_1 x_2 \frac{d}{dx_2},$$

$$\gamma = X_2 \frac{d}{dX_0} = -x_1 x_2 \frac{d}{dx_1} - x_2^2 \frac{d}{dx_2},$$

$$\delta = X_0 \frac{d}{dX_1} = \frac{d}{dx_1},$$

$$\epsilon = X_1 \frac{d}{dX_1} = x_1 \frac{d}{dx_1},$$

$$\zeta = X_2 \frac{d}{dX_1} = x_2 \frac{d}{dx_1},$$

$$\eta = X_0 \frac{d}{dX_2} = \frac{d}{dx_2},$$

$$\theta = X_1 \frac{d}{dX_2} = x_1 \frac{d}{dx_2}.$$

The fields  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon, \zeta,$  and  $\theta$  vanish at  $(1, 0, 0)$  and lift to  $B_1$ ; all of these but  $\theta$  vanish at  $P_1$ , and lift to  $B_2$ .

Lemma (3.1) allows us to compute the form a vector field on  $B_{j-1}$  takes on  $B_j$ ; this recursive procedure also yields an explicit equation. If a vector field has the form  $fd/dx_1 + g_k d/dy_k$  at  $P_k$  for each  $k$ , then the  $g_k$  are related by the formula  $g_k = (1/x_1)(g_{k-1} - fy_k)$ , and so

$$g_k = \frac{g_1}{x_1^{k-1}} - f \sum_{r=2}^k \frac{y_1}{x_1^{k-r+1}}. \tag{3.2}$$

Using the defining equation for  $y_j, y_j x_1 = y_{j-1} - \lambda_{j-1}$ , we can compute that

$$\frac{y_j}{x_1^n} = y_{j+n} + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_{m+j}}{x_1^{n-m}}. \tag{3.3}$$

It then follows that

$$\sum_{r=2}^k \frac{y_1}{x_1^{k-r+1}} = (k-1) \frac{y_k}{x_1} + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (m-1) \frac{\lambda_m}{x_1^{k-m+1}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Since we are interested in  $H_1 x_1$ , the term of  $x_1$ -degree one in  $g_{k-1} - fy_k$ , we combine formulae (3.2) and (3.4) in the single equation

$$\begin{aligned} g_{k-1} - fy_k &= x_1 g_k \\ &= \frac{g_1}{x_1^{k-2}} - (k-1)fy_k - f \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} (m-1) \frac{\lambda_m}{x_1^{k-m}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Then by inspection, using (3.3) in the form

$$y_1 = x_1^{k-1} \left( y_k + \sum_{m=0}^{k-2} \frac{\lambda_{m+1}}{x_1^{k-m-1}} \right),$$

we can easily fill in the following table.

TABLE (3.6)

Field	$f$	$g_1$	$H_1 x_1$ (for $k > 1$ )
$\alpha$	$-x_1$	0	$(k-1)y_k x_1$
$\beta$	$-x_1^2$	0	$(k-2)\lambda_{k-1} x_1$
$\gamma$	$-x_1^2 y_1$	0	$\sum_{m=2}^{k-1} (m-1)\lambda_m \lambda_{k-m} x_1$
$\epsilon$	$x_1$	$-y_1$	$-ky_k x_1$
$\zeta$	$x_1 y_1$	$-y_1^2$	$\sum_{m=2}^{k-1} m \lambda_m \lambda_{k-m+1} x_1$

As we have seen, a vector field will vanish at  $P_k$  iff the associated  $H_1$  does. We note that the  $H_1$  terms for  $\beta, \gamma$ , and  $\zeta$  do not depend on the choice of  $\lambda_k$ , and so if these fields vanish for some  $P_k$  they must vanish on the entire exceptional divisor. The field  $\beta$  vanishes on the divisor if either  $\lambda_{k-1} = 0$ , or  $k \equiv 2 \pmod p$ . On the other hand,  $\alpha$  and  $\epsilon$  only vanish for  $\lambda_k = 0$ , or  $k \equiv 1 \pmod p$  (for  $\alpha$ ), or  $k \equiv 0 \pmod p$  (for  $\epsilon$ ). In these cases,  $\alpha$  and  $\epsilon$  vanish on the entire exceptional divisor.

Suppose  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n = 0$ . Then the fields  $\gamma$  and  $\zeta$  will vanish on the exceptional divisor for all  $k < 2n + 1$ .

Applying these observations to the example discussed in §2 above, we recall that the only nonzero  $\lambda_k$  occurred for  $k = 2p + 1$  and  $k = 3p + 1$ . Thus,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , and  $\zeta$  all vanish at  $P_k$  for all  $k < 3p + 1$ , and  $V_{B_{3p+2}}$  has dimension four. But as we saw,  $\text{Aut } B_{3p+2}$  has dimension three. This provides the desired example.

**4. Alternatives.** The methods used to calculate automorphisms and vector fields, although applied here to a specific example, are general enough to describe many others. The simplest variation would be to postpone the second nonzero  $\lambda$  to some distant step, also congruent to 1 mod  $p$ ; the same result will follow. Instead of using the fields  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we could take the only nonzero  $\lambda$ 's at steps congruent to 0 mod  $p$ , and construct an example using the field  $\epsilon$ .

Finally, we can extend the present example until there are no automorphisms left, and still have vector fields. For example, in characteristic 2, if the only nonzero  $\lambda$ 's are at  $k = 5, 7, 9, 13$ , and 17, then equation (2.2) shows that the only automorphism fixing  $P_{17}$  is the identity ( $e = i = 1, b = c = f = 0$ ), but the vector fields  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  both lift to  $B_{17}$  and vanish at  $P_{17}$ . (Of course, characteristic 2 is a little special here, because the expressions  $(k - 1)\lambda_k$  and  $(k - 2)\lambda_{k-1}$  can only be synchronized in characteristic 2. Normally, we can only arrange for a single vector field (e.g.,  $\alpha$ ) to lift to  $B_n$  with discrete automorphism group.)

#### REFERENCES

1. D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, Oxford University Press, Bombay, 1970.
2. A. N. Rudakov and I. R. Shafarevich, *Inseparable morphisms of algebraic surfaces*, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Math.* **40** (1976), 1269–1307. (Russian)
3. G. Neuman, *Extra vector fields on algebraic surfaces*, Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Mass., 1977.

*Current address:* 3 Langdon Street, Apartment 3, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138