

FINITELY GENERATED RIGHT IDEALS OF TRANSFORMATION NEAR-RINGS

S. D. SCOTT

ABSTRACT. If V is an additive group, $M_0(V)$ the near-ring of zero-fixing maps of V into V , then the finitely generated right ideals of $M_0(V)$ are easily characterised. These are just the annihilators of subsets of V . Moreover, finitely generated right ideals of $M_0(V)$ are generated by a single element.

Throughout this paper all groups will be written additively but this does not imply commutativity. All near-rings considered will be zero-symmetric and left distributive. The near-ring of all zero-fixing maps of a group V into itself under composition and pointwise addition will be denoted by $M_0(V)$.

Let N be a near-ring, and S a nonempty subset of N . The right ideal of N generated by S will be denoted by $R(S)$. If H is a right ideal of N and $H = R(S)$ where S is a finite nonempty subset of N , then the right ideal H will be said to be *finitely generated*. In the case where S consists of the single element γ of N , $R(S)$ will be denoted by $R(\gamma)$. Clearly if $S = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k\}$ where $k \geq 1$ is an integer, then $R(S) = R(\gamma_1) + R(\gamma_2) + \dots + R(\gamma_k)$. The following theorem will be proved.

THEOREM 1. *Let V be a group and H a right ideal of $M_0(V)$. The right ideal H is finitely generated if, and only if, $H = (0 : L)$ where L is some nonempty subset of V . Furthermore if H is finitely generated then there exists γ in H such that $H = R(\gamma)$.*

This theorem will be proved with the aid of certain propositions and lemmas.

Let V be a group. Suppose S_1 and S_2 are subsets of V such that $S_1 \cup S_2 = V$ and $S_1 \cap S_2 = \{0\}$ then, as every function α of V into V that fixes zero can be expressed as a sum $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$, where $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2$, are functions of V into V fixing zero and α_1 is zero on S_1 and α_2 is zero on S_2 , the following proposition holds.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let V be a group and S_1 and S_2 subsets of V such that $S_1 \cup S_2 = V$, and $S_1 \cap S_2 = \{0\}$. It follows that $M_0(V) = (0 : S_1) \oplus (0 : S_2)$.*

Suppose L is a nonempty subset of a group V . Let $L_1 = L \cup \{0\}$, and $L_2 = (V \setminus L) \cup \{0\}$. Clearly the subsets L_1 and L_2 of V satisfy the conditions of the above proposition and therefore, $(0 : L_1) \oplus (0 : L_2) = M_0(V)$. The identity 1 of $M_0(V)$ can therefore be written as $e_1 + e_2$ where e_1 is in $(0 : L_1)$, and e_2 is in $(0 : L_2)$. Also if α is in $M_0(V)$, then $e_1\alpha + e_2\alpha = \alpha$. Thus $e_1M_0(V) = (0 : L_1)$, and $(0 : L_1) (= (0 : L))$ is generated by the single element e_1 (if $L = \{0\}$, or V , then

Received by the editors May 31, 1979.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 16A76; Secondary 16A66, 16A42.

© 1980 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/80/0000-0154/\$01.50

$(0 : L)$ is $M_0(V)$, or $\{0\}$, and the result holds with $e_1 = 1$, or 0). It now follows that right ideals of $M_0(V)$ of the form $(0 : L)$ (L a nonempty subset of V) are finitely generated and the 'if' part of Theorem 1 is established.

If γ is an element of $M_0(V)$ then we denote the set $\{v \in V : v\gamma = 0\}$ by $Z(\gamma)$ (see [2, p. 200]).

LEMMA 3. *If V is a group and γ an element of $M_0(V)$ then $R(\gamma) = (0 : Z(\gamma))$.*

PROOF. Let $\Lambda = (V \setminus Z(\gamma)) \cup \{0\}$. By Proposition 2, $M_0(V) = (0 : Z(\gamma)) \oplus (0 : \Lambda)$. Clearly γ is in $(0 : Z(\gamma))$ and, $R(\gamma) \leq (0 : Z(\gamma))$. Now $1 = e_1 + e_2$, where e_1 is in $(0 : Z(\gamma))$, and e_2 is in $(0 : \Lambda)$. Also, since, $e_2 M_0(V) = (0 : \Lambda)$, $R(e_2) = (0 : \Lambda)$. Consider the element $\gamma + e_2$ of $M_0(V)$. If v is in $(V \setminus Z(\gamma)) \cup \{0\}$, then $ve_2 = 0$ and $v\gamma \neq 0$, unless $v = 0$. If v is in $Z(\gamma)$, then since $Z(e_2) = (V \setminus Z(\gamma)) \cup \{0\}$, it follows that $ve_2 \neq 0$, unless $v = 0$. Thus if v in V is such that $v(\gamma + e_2) = 0$, then it must follow that $v = 0$. Now $R(\gamma + e_2) = M_0(V)$, or $R(\gamma + e_2)$ is contained in a maximal right ideal of $M_0(V)$. If $R(\gamma + e_2)$ is contained in a maximal right ideal of $M_0(V)$ by a result of M. Johnson [1] (see also Pilz [2, p. 200]) it follows that there exists $v \neq 0$ in V such that $v(\gamma + e_2) = 0$. Thus $R(\gamma + e_2) = M_0(V)$. Now $R(\gamma + e_2) \leq R(\gamma) + R(e_2)$, and since $R(\gamma) \leq (0 : Z(\gamma))$ and $R(e_2) = (0 : \Lambda)$, we conclude that $R(\gamma) \oplus (0 : \Lambda) = M_0(V)$. Clearly this can only happen if $R(\gamma) = (0 : Z(\gamma))$. The lemma is therefore proved.

COROLLARY. *If λ_1 and λ_2 are elements of $M_0(V)$ such that $Z(\lambda_1) \leq Z(\lambda_2)$, then $R(\lambda_2) \leq R(\lambda_1)$.*

By the above lemma, if the last statement of the theorem is proved the rest will follow.

LEMMA 4. *Let V be a group and H a finitely generated right ideal of $M_0(V)$. There exists γ in H such that $R(\gamma) = H$.*

PROOF. Suppose P is a right ideal of $M_0(V)$ generated by a two element subset $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$ of $M_0(V)$. If it is established that $P = R(\gamma')$ for some γ' in $M_0(V)$, then the lemma will follow. Let $\Lambda = (V \setminus Z(\gamma_1)) \cup \{0\}$. We have, by Proposition 2, that $M_0(V) = (0 : Z(\gamma_1)) \oplus (0 : \Lambda)$, and by Lemma 3, $R(\gamma_1) = (0 : Z(\gamma_1))$. Now $\gamma_2 = \alpha + \beta$ where α is in $(0 : Z(\gamma_1))$, and β is in $(0 : \Lambda)$. Take $\gamma' = \gamma_1 + \beta$. Now every v in V is such that either $v\gamma_1 = 0$ or $v\beta = 0$. Thus if $v\gamma' = 0$, it follows that $v\gamma_1 + v\beta = 0$, and $v\gamma_1 = 0$. Hence $Z(\gamma') \subseteq Z(\gamma_1)$, and by the Corollary of Lemma 3, we conclude that $R(\gamma_1) \leq R(\gamma')$. Thus $-\gamma_1 + \gamma' = \beta$ is in $R(\gamma')$, and since α is in $(0 : Z(\gamma_1)) = R(\gamma_1)$, we see that $\alpha + \beta = \gamma_2$ is in $R(\gamma')$. Hence $R(\gamma') \supseteq R(\gamma_1) + R(\gamma_2) \supseteq P$. But $R(\gamma') \leq R(\gamma_1) + R(\beta)$ and, since α is in $R(\gamma_1)$, $R(\gamma') \leq R(\gamma_1) + R(\gamma_2) (= P)$. Thus $R(\gamma') = P$ and the lemma follows.

REFERENCES

1. M. Johnson, *Maximal right ideals of transformation near-rings*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **19** (1975), 410-417.
2. G. Pilz, *Near-rings*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND, AUCKLAND, NEW ZEALAND