

ON J -SELFADJOINT EXTENSIONS OF J -SYMMETRIC OPERATORS

IAN KNOWLES

ABSTRACT. A short proof is given (via the theory of conjugate-linear operators) of the fact that every J -symmetric operator in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} has a J -selfadjoint extension in \mathcal{H} .

An operator J on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is called a conjugation if it is an involution and

$$(Jx, Jy) = (y, x) \tag{1}$$

for all x and y in \mathcal{H} . Following I. M. Glazman [3], a linear operator A in \mathcal{H} with domain $\mathcal{D}(A)$ dense in \mathcal{H} is called J -symmetric if

$$(Ax, Jy) = (x, JAy) \tag{2}$$

for all x and y in $\mathcal{D}(A)$. Clearly, A is J -symmetric if and only if $JAJ \subset A^*$. If $JAJ = A^*$, then A is said to be J -selfadjoint.

Now, it is well known that symmetric linear operators in \mathcal{H} need not possess selfadjoint extensions; indeed, it is a basic fact in the theory that these operators are precisely those with unequal deficiency indices (see [1, Corollary 13, p. 1230]). It is thus rather surprising to learn that the following result is known for J -symmetric operators.

THEOREM A [2]. *Every J -symmetric operator in \mathcal{H} has a J -selfadjoint extension in \mathcal{H} .*

The method of proof used in [2] makes use of certain properties of the graphs of the operators concerned. We return to this method later. Our main objective here is to give a simplified proof of this result.

The method that we use is based upon a consideration of the analogous theory for conjugate-linear operators in \mathcal{H} . Here, an operator T , with domain $\mathcal{D}(T)$ dense in \mathcal{H} , is said to be conjugate-linear if

$$T(\alpha x + \beta y) = \bar{\alpha}Tx + \bar{\beta}Ty$$

for all scalars α and β , and all x and y in $\mathcal{D}(T)$. By analogy with the corresponding theory for linear operators, we define $\mathcal{D}(T^*)$ to be the set of elements y in \mathcal{H} for which there corresponds an element z in \mathcal{H} (necessarily unique) such that $(Tx, y) = (z, x)$ holds for all x in $\mathcal{D}(T)$. The adjoint, T^* , of T is defined on $\mathcal{D}(T^*)$ by the equation $T^*y = z$. Thus

Received by the editors April 18, 1979.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47B25.

Key words and phrases. J -symmetric operators, J -selfadjoint extension, conjugate-linear operator.

© 1980 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/80/0000-0207/\$01.75

$$(Tx, y) = (T^*y, x) \tag{3}$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{D}(T)$ and $y \in \mathfrak{D}(T^*)$. The conjugate-linear operator T is said to be symmetric if

$$(Tx, y) = (Ty, x) \tag{4}$$

for all x and y in $\mathfrak{D}(T)$; thus T is symmetric if and only if $T \subset T^*$. If $T = T^*$, then T is called selfadjoint. Finally, we say that T is maximal symmetric if T is symmetric and possesses no proper symmetric extensions. The usual maximality argument, using Zorn's lemma, shows that every symmetric conjugate-linear operator has a maximal symmetric extension. Thus, if we observe that the linear operator A is J -symmetric (J -selfadjoint) if and only if the conjugate-linear operator JA is symmetric (selfadjoint), then Theorem A may be equivalently formulated as,

THEOREM B. *Every maximal symmetric conjugate-linear operator is selfadjoint.*

PROOF. If we assume to the contrary, that the theorem is not true, then there is a conjugate-linear operator T that is maximal symmetric, but not selfadjoint.

Let $y \in \mathfrak{D}(T^*) \setminus \mathfrak{D}(T)$, and define an extension T_1 of T by

$$\mathfrak{D}(T_1) = \mathfrak{D}(T) + \{\alpha y\}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C},$$

and, for $x = p + q \in \mathfrak{D}(T_1)$ where $p \in \mathfrak{D}(T)$ and $q \in \{\alpha y\}$ set

$$T_1x = Tp + T^*q.$$

Then, for $x = p_1 + q_1$ and $y = p_2 + q_2$ in $\mathfrak{D}(T_1)$, where $q_1 = \alpha_1 y$ and $q_2 = \alpha_2 y$ for some complex scalars α_1 and α_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T_1x, y) &= (Tp_1, p_2) + (Tp_1, q_2) + (T^*q_1, p_2) + (T^*q_1, q_2) \\ &= (Tp_2, p_1) + (T^*q_2, p_1) + (Tp_2, q_1) + \bar{\alpha}_1\bar{\alpha}_2(T^*y, y) \\ &\hspace{15em} \text{by (3) and (4)} \\ &= (T_1y, x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus T_1 is a proper symmetric extension of T , in contradiction to the maximality of T . The proof is complete. \square

As a final result we have:

THEOREM C. *Let $\mathfrak{K}_2 = \mathfrak{K} \oplus \mathfrak{K}$, and let $G_A = \{[x, y] \in \mathfrak{K}_2 : y = Ax\}$ denote the graph of the closed J -symmetric operator A . Let $T: \mathfrak{K}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}_2$ be defined by $T[x, y] = [Jy, -Jx]$, and set $D = \mathfrak{K}_2 \ominus [G_A \oplus TG_A]$. Then*

(i) *there exists a subspace X in \mathfrak{K}_2 such that $X \oplus TX = D$, and the set $G_A \oplus X$ is the graph of a J -selfadjoint extension of A ,*

(ii) *every J -selfadjoint extension of A has the form given in (i).*

PROOF. Part (i) is precisely the result proved in [2]. Alternatively, part (i) may be derived from Theorem A, and part (ii), which we now prove. Let B be an arbitrary J -selfadjoint extension of A , with graph G_B , and set $X = G_B \ominus G_A$. Since $G_{JB^*J} = TG_B^\perp$, and B is J -selfadjoint, it is clear that $G_B \oplus TG_B = \mathfrak{K}_2$, and thus that

$$X \oplus G_A \oplus T(X \oplus G_A) = \mathfrak{K}_2. \tag{5}$$

Finally, as $X \perp G_A$, it is not hard to see that $TX \perp TG_A$. The result now follows from (5). \square

REFERENCES

1. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. Part II. Spectral theory*, Interscience, New York, 1963.
2. A. Galindo, *On the existence of J -selfadjoint extensions of J -symmetric operators with adjoint*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **15** (1963), 423–425.
3. I. M. Glazman, *An analogue of the extension theory of hermitian operators and of the non-symmetric one-dimensional boundary-value problem on a semi-axis*, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **115** (1957), 214–216.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT BERKELEY, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND, JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA