

## ZEROS OF SUCCESSIVE DERIVATIVES AND ITERATED OPERATORS ON ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

J. K. SHAW AND C. L. PRATHER

**ABSTRACT.** For a function  $f$  analytic in the closed disc  $|z| < 1$ , we study the behavior of zeros of the successive iterates  $(\theta^n f)(z)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\theta = (z + \alpha)^{p+1} d/dz$ . We find that such behavior closely parallels that for the ordinary derivative operator. Using change-of-variable methods, we obtain information on zeros of derivatives of functions analytic in half-planes.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $f$  be analytic in the unit disc  $|z| < 1$ . A well-known principle in function theory is that  $f$  cannot have too many derivatives vanishing too near  $z = 0$ , unless  $f$  is a polynomial. The study of this phenomenon is the theory which has been associated with the names of Gončarov and Whittaker [2]–[5]. Its principal feature is the existence of zero-free neighborhoods of  $z = 0$ . There is an absolute constant  $G$ , known as the *Gončarov constant*, such that if  $f$  is analytic in  $|z| < 1$ , is not a polynomial, and  $\epsilon > 0$ , then there is an infinite sequence of derivatives  $f^{(n_k)}(z)$  which do not vanish in the discs  $|z| < (G - \epsilon)/(n_k + 1)$ . The exact value of  $G$  is unknown, but it is known to lie between .7259 and .7378.

In the present paper, we consider the analogous problem for the case of the differential operator  $\theta = (z + \alpha)^{p+1} d/dz$ , where  $p > 0$  and where  $\alpha$  denotes a complex number. Taking  $|\alpha| < 1$  and  $f(z)$  analytic in a neighborhood of the closed unit disc  $|z| < 1$ , we study the zero-free regions of the iterates  $(\theta^n f)(z)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . The neighborhoods of  $z = -\alpha$  are the most interesting, for in this case all but a finite number (not just an infinite number) of the iterates  $(\theta^n f)(z)$  are nonzero in punctured discs which shrink with increasing  $n$  to the point  $z = -\alpha$ .

The results we obtain for differentiation do not arise from taking  $p = -1$  in the definition of  $\theta$ . Instead, we use other values of  $p$  and employ change-of-variable methods to get information about zeros of derivatives of functions analytic in regions of the plane other than discs. Such problems have been studied by Widder [7, Theorem 31 and corollary, pp. 166–167] for functions analytic at  $\infty$ , and for functions analytic in half-planes and representable as Laplace Transforms, and a simpler proof of a result implied by Widder has recently been given by Boas [1]. This result can be stated as follows.

**THEOREM A ([1], [7]).** Let  $F(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n w^{-n}$  be analytic at  $\infty$ , with  $F$  nonconstant. Then there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that for all  $n$  sufficiently large,  $F^{(n)}(w)$  has no finite zero outside the circle  $|w| = nc$ .

---

Received by the editors May 30, 1979 and, in revised form, July 18, 1979.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 30A08; Secondary 30A86.

Key words and phrases. Zeros of derivatives, zero-free regions, differential operators.

© 1980 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/80/0000-0265/\$03.00

As  $F$  is analytic in  $|z| > R$  in Theorem A, the constant  $c$  depends on  $F$  and  $R$ .

Our results yield this theorem as a special case. We also obtain Widder's description of the radial distribution of zeros [7, Theorem 35], and we get additional theorems on periodic functions, where Widder's method does not apply. These applications are given in §3.

**2. The  $\theta$ -operator.** We shall obtain an integral representation for the  $n$ th iterate  $(\theta^n f)(z)$ . There are various ways of getting to the end result; for example, one could extend the method used by Hille [6, Vol. 2, p. 51] for the operator  $z d/dz$ . We will use an alternate approach.

We do this by expressing  $\theta^n[z^k]$  as a function of  $(z + \alpha)^{1+n\theta}$  having polynomial coefficients, as given in (2.2), and then express the polynomials as integral transforms. Then the integral representation for  $\theta^n f$  is obtained by the usual power series method.

For each nonnegative integer  $m$ , we have  $\theta[(z + \alpha)^m] = m(z + \alpha)^{m+p}$ , and more generally,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^n[(z + \alpha)^m] &= (m)(m + p)(m + 2p) \cdots (m + (n - 1)p)(z + \alpha)^{m+n\theta} \\ &= C_{mn}^{(p)}(z + \alpha)^{m+n\theta}, \quad m \geq 0, n \geq 1, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where  $C_{mn}^{(p)} = (m)(m + p)(m + 2p) \cdots (m + (n - 1)p)$ . If we apply (2.1) to the binomial expansion

$$z^k = \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^m \binom{k}{m} (z + \alpha)^{k-m} \alpha^m,$$

and then put  $z + \alpha = -\zeta$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^n(z^k) &= \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (-1)^m \binom{k}{m} C_{k-m,n}^{(p)} (z + \alpha)^{k-m+n\theta} \alpha^m \\ &= (z + \alpha)^{1+n\theta} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (-1)^m \binom{k}{m} \alpha^m C_{k-m,n}^{(p)} (-1)^{k-m-1} \zeta^{k-m-1} \\ &= (z + \alpha)^{1+n\theta} (-1)^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{m} \alpha^m C_{k-m,n}^{(p)} \zeta^{k-m-1} \right\} \\ &= (z + \alpha)^{1+n\theta} (-1)^{k-1} P_{k-1}^{(n)}(\zeta), \quad n \geq 1, k \geq 1, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

with  $P_{k-1}^{(n)}(\zeta)$  defined in the indicated way. Of course,  $P_{k-1}^{(n)}(\zeta)$  also depends on  $\alpha$  and  $p$ , but we suppress this dependence to simplify notation. From the definition of  $C_{mn}^{(p)}$  we see that

$$0 < C_{mn}^{(p)} < m^n [1 + (n - 1)p]^n, \tag{2.3}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} |P_{k-1}^{(n)}(\zeta)| &< [1 + (n - 1)p]^n \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} |\alpha|^m (k - m)^n |\zeta|^{k-m-1} \\ &< |\zeta|^{-1} [1 + (n - 1)p]^n k^n (|\alpha| + |\zeta|)^k, \quad n \geq 1, k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

For each fixed  $\zeta$ , then, the power series

$$G_n(\zeta, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{k-1}^{(n)}(\zeta)t^{k-1} \tag{2.4}$$

(which also depends on  $\alpha$  and  $p$ ) converges at least in the disc  $|t| < (|\alpha| + |\zeta|)^{-1}$ . Now substitute the defining expression for  $P_{k-1}^{(n)}(\zeta)$  into (2.4) and formally interchange the order of summation. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{k-1}^{(n)}(\zeta)t^{k-1} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{r} \alpha^r C_{k-r,n}^{(p)} \zeta^{k-r-1} \right\} t^{k-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t^{k-1} \sum_{m=1}^k \binom{k}{k-m} \alpha^{k-m} C_{mn}^{(p)} \zeta^{m-1} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{mn}^{(p)} \zeta^{m-1} t^{m-1} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \binom{k}{k-m} \alpha^{k-m} t^{k-m} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{mn}^{(p)} (\zeta t)^{m-1} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \binom{m+r}{r} (\alpha t)^r \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{mn}^{(p)} (\zeta t)^{m-1} (1 - \alpha t)^{-(m+1)} \\ &= (1 - \alpha t)^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{mn}^{(p)} [(\zeta t) / (1 - \alpha t)]^{m-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

In view of (2.3) it follows that the interchange in order of summation will be justified when  $|\alpha t| + |\zeta t| < 1$ . Note that  $|\alpha t| + |\zeta t| < 1$  implies  $|\zeta t| < 1 - |\alpha t|$ , which implies

$$\left| \frac{\zeta t}{1 - \alpha t} \right| < \frac{1 - |\alpha t|}{|1 - \alpha t|} < 1.$$

In particular, (2.4) and (2.5) are both valid, and we have

$$G_n(\zeta, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{k-1}^{(n)}(\zeta)t^{k-1} = (1 - \alpha t)^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{mn}^{(p)} \left[ \frac{\zeta t}{1 - \alpha t} \right]^{m-1},$$

for  $|t| < 1 / (|\alpha| + |\zeta|)$ . (2.6)

Let  $\zeta$  be fixed and let the real number  $r$  satisfy  $0 < r < (|\alpha| + |\zeta|)^{-1}$ . Then the Cauchy Integral Formula applied to (2.6) gives

$$P_{k-1}^{(n)}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=r} \frac{G_n(\zeta, t)}{t^k} dt, \quad n > 1, k > 1. \tag{2.7}$$

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  be analytic in the disc  $|z| < R$ , where  $R > 1$ , let  $r$  satisfy  $1 < r^{-1} < R$ , and let  $\zeta$  and  $\alpha$  satisfy  $|\zeta| + |\alpha| < r^{-1}$ . If  $z + \alpha = -\zeta$ , then*

$$(\theta^n f)(z) = -\frac{(z + \alpha)^{1+np}}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=r} f\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right) G_n(\zeta, t) dt, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{2.8}$$

PROOF. Using (2.2) and (2.7), apply  $\theta^n$  termwise to the power series for  $f(z)$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta^n f)(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \theta^n(z^k) = (z + \alpha)^{1+n\mu} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} a_k P_{k-1}^{(n)}(\zeta) \\ &= (z + \alpha)^{1+n\mu} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} a_k}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=r} \frac{G_n(\zeta, t)}{t^k} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|t^{-1}| = r^{-1} < R$  in the range of integration, uniform convergence gives

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta^n f)(z) &= \frac{(z + \alpha)^{1+n\mu}}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=r} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} a_k}{t^k} \right\} G_n(\zeta, t) dt \\ &= \frac{(z + \alpha)^{1+n\mu}}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=r} \left\{ f(0) - f\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right) \right\} G_n(\zeta, t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $G_n(\zeta, t)$  is analytic for  $|t| < (|\alpha| + |\zeta|)^{-1}$ , the term involving  $f(0)$  drops out, giving (2.8).

The representation (2.8) extends the analogous formula of Hille [6] mentioned earlier in connection with the operator  $z d/dz$ . The terms in (2.8) are also defined for  $p = -1$ , and when  $\alpha = 0$  the equation reduces to the Cauchy Integral Formula for derivatives. However, all the results given below require  $p > 0$ , and so we retain this assumption throughout.

We are now going to replace  $\zeta$  in (2.8) by an indexed variable  $\zeta_n$  so as to make the sequence  $G_n(\zeta_n, t)$  converge, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The choice of  $\zeta_n$  is suggested by the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. For fixed  $m > 1$ , and  $p > 0$ , the sequence

$$S_{mn}^{(p)} = \frac{C_{mn}^{(p)}}{C_{1n}^{(p)}} \left[ \frac{C_{1n}^{(p)}}{C_{2n}^{(p)}} \right]^{m-1} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

is convergent. Moreover  $(C_{1n}^{(p)} / C_{2n}^{(p)}) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ .

PROOF. If  $n = 1$ , we have

$$S_{m1}^{(p)} = (m/2^{m-1}) < 1, \quad m > 1.$$

Next, observe that  $S_{m,n+1}^{(p)}$  is obtained from  $S_{mn}^{(p)}$  by multiplying by the factor

$$\frac{m + np}{1 + np} \left[ \frac{1 + np}{2 + np} \right]^{m-1}.$$

We claim that this factor is at most 1, for that would be equivalent to

$$1 + \frac{m-1}{1+np} < \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{1+np} \right]^{m-1},$$

which is true owing to the binomial theorem. So the terms  $S_{mn}^{(p)}$  satisfy  $0 < S_{mn}^{(p)} < 1$  and are monotone decreasing as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The first conclusion follows. As for the second, note that

$$\frac{C_{2n}^{(p)}}{C_{1n}^{(p)}} = \prod_{k=1}^n \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{1+(k-1)p} \right],$$

and this is seen to diverge to  $\infty$  by elementary infinite product analysis. This completes the proof.

Define the auxiliary generating functions  $H_n(x, t)$  by

$$H_n(x, t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{mn}^{(p)}}{C_{1n}^{(p)}} \left[ \frac{C_{1n}^{(p)}xt}{C_{2n}^{(p)}(1-\alpha t)} \right]^{m-1} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} S_{mn}^{(p)} \left[ \frac{(xt)}{(1-\alpha t)} \right]^{m-1}. \tag{2.9}$$

Taking note of (2.6), it is clear that

$$H_n(x, t) = \frac{(1-\alpha t)^2}{C_{1n}^{(p)}} G_n \left( \frac{C_{1n}^{(p)}x}{C_{2n}^{(p)}}, t \right)$$

for  $|t| < \frac{1}{|\alpha| + \frac{C_{1n}^{(p)}}{C_{2n}^{(p)}}|x|}$ , or for  $|x| < \frac{C_{2n}^{(p)}}{C_{1n}^{(p)}} \left( \frac{1}{|t|} - |\alpha| \right)$ . (2.10)

Then  $H_n(x, t)$  is analytic in each variable separately in the regions indicated by (2.10).

Recalling that the sequence  $S_{mn}^{(p)}$  decreases monotonically with  $n$  to some non-negative limit  $S_m^{(p)}$ ,  $0 < S_m^{(p)} < S_{mn}^{(p)} < 1$ , let us define

$$H(x, t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} S_m^{(p)} \left[ \frac{(xt)}{(1-\alpha t)} \right]^{m-1}. \tag{2.11}$$

Because of its coefficients, (2.11) converges absolutely whenever (2.9) does. For each  $n$ , (2.9) converges when the variables satisfy (2.10). Since  $H(x, t)$  does not depend on  $n$  and  $(C_{1n}^{(p)}/C_{2n}^{(p)}) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that (2.11) converges for arbitrary  $x$  when  $t$  is fixed and  $|t| < |\alpha|^{-1}$ , and for  $|t| < |\alpha|^{-1}$  when  $x$  is any fixed number. Therefore,  $H(x, t)$  is entire in  $x$  and analytic in  $t$  for  $|t| < |\alpha|^{-1}$ . Recall that  $|\alpha| < 1$ . Note that we have

$$H(x, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n(x, t), \tag{2.12}$$

where the convergence is uniform on compact subsets of the admissible regions. Since  $S_{1n}^{(p)} = S_{2n}^{(p)} = 1, n > 1$ , then

$$H(x, t) = 1 + \frac{xt}{1-\alpha t} + \dots,$$

so, in particular,  $H(x, t) \not\equiv 0$ .

Let  $f, R$  and  $r$  be as in Theorem 2.1. Define

$$I_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=r} \frac{f\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right)H_n(x, t)}{(1-\alpha t)^2} dt \tag{2.13}$$

where  $x$  is such that (2.10) holds with  $|t| = r$ . That is, (2.13) is defined, and  $I_n(x)$  is analytic for

$$|x| < \frac{C_{2n}^{(p)}}{C_{1n}^{(p)}} \left( \frac{1}{r} - |\alpha| \right). \tag{2.14}$$

Similarly, let

$$I(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=r} \frac{f\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right)H(x, t)}{(1 - \alpha t)^2} dt.$$

Then  $I(x)$  is entire and, by (2.12),  $I_n(x) \rightarrow I(x)$  uniformly on bounded sets in the plane. Since  $H_n(0, t) = H(0, t) = 1$ , we compute that

$$I_n(0) = I(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=r} \frac{f\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right)}{(1 - \alpha t)^2} dt = -f'(-\alpha).$$

More generally, the derivatives of  $I(x)$  at  $x = 0$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} I^{(k)}(0) &= \frac{S_{k+1}^{(p)}}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=r} \frac{f\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right)}{(1 - \alpha t)^2} \left(\frac{t}{1 - \alpha t}\right)^k dt \\ &= -S_{k+1}^{(p)} f^{(k+1)}(-\alpha), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

A similar result holds for  $I_n^{(k)}(0)$ , with  $S_{k+1}^{(p)}$  replaced by  $S_{k+1,n}^{(p)}$ . Therefore, neither  $I_n(x)$  nor  $I(x)$  vanishes identically unless  $f$  is constant. For nonconstant  $f$ , we can find an integer  $u = u(f)$  such that

$$I_n(x) = x^u J_n(x), \quad I(x) = x^u J(x), \tag{2.15}$$

where  $J_n(0) \neq 0$  and  $J(0) \neq 0$ . Also, there will exist a constant  $\gamma_f > 0$  such that  $J(x) \neq 0$  for  $|x| < \gamma_f$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $f, R$  and  $r$  satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1, with  $f$  nonconstant, and let  $0 < \gamma < \gamma_f$ . Then for all  $n$  sufficiently large  $(\theta^n f)(z)$  has no zero in the disc  $|z + \alpha| < \gamma C_{1n}^{(p)} / C_{2n}^{(p)}$ .*

**PROOF.** On the contrary, suppose we could find a subsequence  $z_{n_k}$  such that  $(\theta^{n_k} f)(z_{n_k}) = 0$  and  $z_{n_k} + \alpha = -\zeta_{n_k} = -C_{1n_k}^{(p)} x_{n_k} / C_{2n_k}^{(p)}$ , where  $|x_{n_k}| < \gamma$ , and where  $n_k$  is large enough that (2.14) holds for all  $k$ . Combining (2.8), (2.10), (2.13) and (2.15), there follows

$$0 = (\theta^{n_k} f)(z_{n_k}) = -C_{1n_k}^{(p)} (z_{n_k} + \alpha)^{1+n_k p} x_{n_k}^u J_{n_k}(x_{n_k}), \tag{2.16}$$

and so  $J_{n_k}(x_{n_k}) = 0$  for all  $k$ . Since  $|x_{n_k}| < \gamma$ , yet another subsequence of  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  converges to a point  $x_0$  such that  $|x_0| < \gamma < \gamma_f$  and  $J(x_0) = 0$ . This contradiction proves the theorem.

**REMARK.** It may be that  $J(x)$  has no zeros, in which case  $\gamma_f = \infty$ . In this situation the discs  $0 < |z + \alpha| < \gamma C_{1n}^{(p)} / C_{2n}^{(p)}$ , for every  $\gamma > 0$ , are free of zeros of  $(\theta^n f)(z)$  for all  $n$  sufficiently large, depending on  $\gamma$ . Alternatively,  $J(x)$  has zeros. If  $J(x_0) = 0$ , we determine by Hurwitz's Theorem a sequence of points  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  such that  $J_n(x_n) = 0$ . If  $z_n = -\alpha - x_n(C_{1n}^{(p)} / C_{2n}^{(p)})$ , then  $(\theta^n f)(z_n) = 0$  by (2.16), and we also have the asymptotic relation

$$\frac{C_{2n}^{(p)}}{C_{1n}^{(p)}} (z_n + \alpha) \sim |x_0| e^{i(\pi + \arg(x_0))}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.17}$$

This is analogous to Theorem 35 of [7, pp. 172–173].

With regard to the asymptotic form of

$$C_{2n}^{(p)} / C_{1n}^{(p)} = \prod_{k=1}^n \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{1 + (k-1)p} \right],$$

a straightforward analysis shows that

$$e(1 + np)^{1/p} \geq C_{2n}^{(p)} / C_{1n}^{(p)} > \left( \frac{2 + p}{1 + p} \right)^{\log(1 + np)^{1/p}}, \quad p \geq 0.$$

As regards neighborhoods of points  $z \neq \alpha$ , we cannot say as much. Let  $\beta$  satisfy  $|\beta| < R$ ,  $\beta \neq -\alpha$ , and let  $w = T(z) = -[p\gamma(z + \alpha)^p]^{-1}$ , where the branch is chosen so as to be analytic at  $\beta$ . The map is locally invertible, so there exists a function  $F(w)$  analytic at  $T(\beta)$  such that  $f(z) = F(T(z)) = F(w)$ . By definition of  $T(z)$ ,

$$(\theta^n f)(z) = (D^n F)(w), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $D$  stands for ordinary differentiation. Apply the Whittaker-Gončarov theory to  $F(w)$  and translate the information over to the iterates  $(\theta^n f)(z)$ . Unless  $f$  is a polynomial in  $(z + \alpha)^{-p}$ , there exists a sequence of discs  $D_n$ , shrinking with increasing  $n$  to  $z = \beta$ , and a subsequence  $\{n_k\}$  such that  $(\theta^{n_k} f)(z)$  has no zero in punctured discs  $D_{n_k}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

**3. Applications.** We consider zeros of successive derivatives of two classes of analytic functions, which correspond to taking  $p = 1$  and  $p = 0$  in Theorem 2.2.

*Case I:*  $p = 1$ . Let  $F(w)$  be a function of the type considered by Boas [1] and Widder [7], that is,

$$F(w) = b_1 w^{-1} + b_2 w^{-2} + \dots, \quad (\text{nonconstant})$$

analytic for  $|w| > R^{-1}$ ,  $R > 1$ . Let  $f(z) = F(-1/z)$ , and  $(\theta f)(z) = z^2 f'(z)$ , so that  $(\theta^n f)(z) = (D^n F)(w)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . By Theorem 2.2, the regions  $0 < |z| < \gamma(n + 1)^{-1}$  are eventually zero-free for  $\gamma < \gamma_f$ . Thus for  $\gamma < \gamma_f$  and all  $n$  large,  $F^{(n)}(w)$  has no zero which satisfies  $\infty > |w| > \gamma^{-1}(n + 1)$ , and this is the conclusion of Theorem A. Note that  $S_m^{(1)} = 1/(m - 1)!$ , and so  $H(x, t) = \exp(xt)$  and

$$I(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=r} F(t) e^{xt} dt.$$

That is,  $I(x)$  is the inverse Laplace transform of  $F$ . Interpreting (2.17), any zero  $x_0 \neq 0$  of  $I(x)$  gives rise to a sequence  $\{w_n\}$  of zeros of  $F^{(n)}(w)$  which asymptotically approach rays (see [7, Theorem 35])

$$w_n \sim \frac{(n + 1)e^{-i \arg(x_0)}}{|x_0|}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Case II:*  $p = 0$ . Let  $F(w)$  be a function of the form  $F(w) = f(e^w)$ , where  $f(z)$  is analytic in  $|z| < R$ ,  $R > 1$ . Then  $f(z) = F(\ln z)$ , and  $F(w)$  is analytic in the half-plane  $\text{Re}(w) < \ln R$ , periodic in the imaginary direction, and tends uniformly to a limit as  $\text{Re}(w) \rightarrow -\infty$ . Define  $\theta$  by  $\theta = z d/dz$ . Then with  $f(z) = F(\ln z)$ , we have  $(\theta^n f)(z) = (D^n f)(w)$ . Theorem 2.2 asserts that constants  $\gamma > 0$  exist for which the discs  $0 < |z| < \gamma 2^{-n}$  contain no zeros of  $(\theta^n f)(z)$  for all  $n$  sufficiently large.

Equivalently, the region  $\operatorname{Re}(w) < \ln \gamma - n \ln 2$  is free of zeros of  $F^{(n)}(w)$ . To the zeros of  $J(x)$  correspond horizontal lines, instead of rays from the origin. If  $J(x_0) = 0$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{w_n\}$  such that  $F^{(n)}(w_n) = 0$  and

$$w_n \sim \ln|x_0| - n \ln 2 + i(\pi + \arg(x_0)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

#### REFERENCES

1. R. P. Boas, *Zeros of successive derivatives of a function analytic at infinity*, Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz. (to appear).
2. J. D. Buckholtz, *Successive derivatives of analytic functions*, Indiana J. Math. **13** (1971), 83–88.
3. J. D. Buckholtz and J. L. Frank, *Whittaker constants*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **23** (1971), 348–370.
4. \_\_\_\_\_, *Whittaker constants. II*, J. Approximation Theory **10** (2) (1974), 112–122.
5. J. L. Frank and J. K. Shaw, *Abel-Gončarov polynomial expansions*, J. Approximation Theory **10** (1) (1974), 6–22.
6. E. Hille, *Analytic function theory*, Ginn, Boston, Mass., 1962.
7. D. V. Widder, *The inversion of the Laplace integral and the related moment problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1934), 107–200.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE AND STATE UNIVERSITY, BLACKSBURG, VIRGINIA 24061