

A COMBINATORIAL PROOF OF SCHUR'S 1926 PARTITION THEOREM

DAVID M. BRESSOUD

ABSTRACT. One of the partition theorems published by I. J. Schur in 1926 is an extension of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities to partitions with minimal difference three. This theorem of Schur is proved here by establishing a one-to-one correspondence between the two types of partitions counted.

Many classical partition identities state that for each positive integer n the partitions of n with parts restricted to certain residue classes are equinumerous with the partitions of n on which certain difference conditions are imposed. Most prominent among these are Euler's identity: partitions into odd parts are equinumerous with partitions into distinct parts; and the Rogers-Ramanujan identities: for $r = 1$ or 2 , partitions into parts congruent to $\pm r \pmod{5}$ are equinumerous with partitions into parts with minimal difference two and smallest part greater than or equal to r . In 1926, I. J. Schur discovered the partition theorem which is a natural extension [2].

SCHUR'S THEOREM. *Given any positive integer n , the partitions of n into parts congruent to $\pm 1 \pmod{6}$ are equinumerous with the partitions of n into parts with minimal difference three and difference at least six between multiples of three.*

Many proofs of Euler's identity exist, including several which explicitly exhibit the correspondence between the two types of partitions counted (e.g., see [1, §19.4]). For the Rogers-Ramanujan identities, no such correspondence has been established. The purpose of this paper is to explicitly exhibit a one-to-one correspondence between the two types of partitions counted in Schur's Theorem. What makes the correspondence possible for Schur's identity and not for the Rogers-Ramanujan identities is that Schur's identity is a special case of the following partition theorem in which the parts restricted to certain residue classes are distinct.

SCHUR'S THEOREM (FULL GENERALITY). *Given positive integers r and m such that $r < m/2$, let $C_{r,m}(n)$ denote the numbers of partitions of n into distinct parts congruent to $\pm r \pmod{m}$, and let $D_{r,m}(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into distinct parts congruent to $0, \pm r \pmod{m}$ with minimal difference m , minimal difference $2m$ between multiples of m . Then $C_{r,m}(n) = D_{r,m}(n)$ for all n .*

The special case given above is $r = 1, m = 3$. Clearly, $D_{1,3}(n)$ counts the partitions of n with minimal difference three, minimal difference six between

Received by the editors October 20, 1978 and, in revised form, June 20, 1979.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 05A17, 10A45.

© 1980 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/80/0000-0288/\$01.75

multiples of three. To see that $C_{1,3}(n)$ also counts the partitions of n into parts congruent to $\pm 1 \pmod{6}$, the reader is referred to the correspondence proof of Euler's identity given in Hardy and Wright [1, §19.4]. Following the correspondence given there, a one-to-one correspondence between odd parts with no multiples of three (i.e. parts $\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}$) and distinct parts with no multiples of three (i.e. distinct parts $\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3}$) is easily established.

The correspondence given below will be for Schur's Theorem in its full generality.

DEFINITION. Given positive integers r, m, n and k such that $r < m/2$, $n - mk(k - 1)/2 > rk$, an r, m underlying partition for n and k , or an underlying partition, is a partition of $n - mk(k - 1)/2$ into exactly k parts, each of which is congruent to $0, \pm r \pmod{m}$, and such that multiples of m are distinct. (Example: 4, 6, 6, 11, 20, 40, 45 is a 1, 5 underlying partition for 237 and 7.)

LEMMA 1. There is a one-to-one correspondence between r, m underlying partitions for n and k and the partitions counted by $D_{r,m}(n)$ which have exactly k parts.

PROOF. Let $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k$ be an r, m underlying partition for n and k and, for $1 < i < k$, define $b_i = a_i + m(i - 1)$. Then $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_k$ is a partition counted by $D_{r,m}(n)$, and this correspondence is uniquely reversible. (Example: 4, 6, 6, 11, 20, 40, 45 corresponds to 4, 11, 16, 26, 40, 65, 75.)

DEFINITION. An ordering of the parts in an r, m underlying partition for n and k , say (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) , is called an Ω -ordering if the following inequalities are satisfied for any i and j , $1 < i < j < k$.

- (1) $a_i \equiv a_j \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \Rightarrow a_i < a_j$;
- (2) $a_i \equiv \pm a_j \equiv \pm r \pmod{m} \Rightarrow a_i < a_j$;
- (3)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a_i \equiv \pm r \pmod{m} \\ a_j \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow a_i + mi < \left\lfloor \frac{a_j + mi}{2} \right\rfloor_r;$$

(4)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ a_j \equiv \pm r \pmod{m} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left\lfloor \frac{a_i + mi}{2} \right\rfloor_r < a_j + mi,$$

or equivalently,

$$\left\lfloor \frac{a_i + m(i - 1)}{2} \right\rfloor_r < a_j + mi.$$

In this definition, $\lfloor A \rfloor_r$ (resp. $\lceil A \rceil_r$) is the greatest integer less than or equal to A (resp. least integer greater than or equal to A) and congruent to $\pm r \pmod{m}$.

LEMMA 2. Every underlying partition has a unique Ω -ordering.

PROOF. Given an r, m underlying partition for n and k , let $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_k$ be the parts congruent to $\pm r \pmod{m}$ and let $c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_k$ be the parts divisible by m . If (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) is an Ω -ordering of this partition, then a_1 equals

either b_1 or c_1 . By properties (3) and (4) of an Ω -ordering, if $b_1 + m < \lfloor (c_1 + m)/2 \rfloor_r$, then $a_1 = b_1$. If $b_1 + m > \lfloor (c_1 + m)/2 \rfloor_r$, then $a_1 = c_1$. Thus a_1 is uniquely determined.

We proceed inductively, and assume that a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{j-1} have been uniquely determined, and that we have used the parts $b_1, \dots, b_{s-1}, c_1, \dots, c_{t-1}$. Thus a_j is either b_s or c_t . Again by properties (3) and (4), if $b_s + mj < \lfloor (c_t + mj)/2 \rfloor_r$, then $a_j = b_s$. If $b_s + mj > \lfloor (c_t + mj)/2 \rfloor_r$, then $a_j = c_t$. Thus a_j is uniquely determined, and Lemma 2 is proved. (Example: The Ω -ordering of 4, 6, 6, 11, 20, 40, 45 is 4, 20, 6, 6, 40, 45, 11.)

DEFINITION. Given a partition, $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$, counted by $C_{r,m}(n)$, we subdivide it, working from left to right, into blocks of at most two parts such that if $a_j + m > a_{j+1}$ and if (1) $j = 1$, or (2) $a_j \geq a_{j-1} + m$, or (3) a_{j-2} and a_{j-1} share a block, then a_j, a_{j+1} share a block. Otherwise, a_j inhabits a block by itself. The order of the partition is the number of such blocks. (Example: The partition 4, 11, 14, 16, 21, 29, 31, 34, 36, 41 counted by $C_{1,5}(237)$ is blocked as follows 4|11, 14|16|21|29, 31|34, 36|41. It has order 7.)

LEMMA 3. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between r, m underlying partitions for n and k and the partitions counted by $C_{r,m}(n)$ which have order k .*

PROOF. Let (a_1, \dots, a_k) be an r, m underlying partition for n and k with the Ω -ordering. Define a partition b_1, \dots, b_k of n by setting $b_i = a_i + m(i - 1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Each b_i which is divisible by m is replaced by the pair $\lfloor b_i/2 \rfloor_r, \lceil b_i/2 \rceil_r$. From property (3) of the Ω -ordering, we have that if $i < j$, $b_i \equiv \pm r \pmod{m}$ and $b_j \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, then $b_i + m < \lfloor b_j/2 \rfloor_r$. From property (4), we have that if $i < j$, $b_i \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ and $b_j \equiv \pm r \pmod{m}$, then $\lceil b_i/2 \rceil_r < b_j$. Thus the resulting partition is one counted by $C_{r,m}(n)$ with order k . This procedure is uniquely reversed if parts sharing the same block are first added together, and then $m(i - 1)$ is subtracted from the i th part as read from the left. (Example: 4, 20, 6, 6, 40, 45, 11 becomes 4, 25, 16, 21, 60, 70, 41 which becomes 4|11, 14|16|21|29, 31|34, 36|41.)

Lemmas 1 and 3, taken together, give the desired correspondence which establishes Schur's Theorem.

I wish to thank Dr. K. A. Post for suggestions which were helpful in clarifying this proof.

REFERENCES

1. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, 4th ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1960.
2. I. J. Schur, *Zur additiven Zahlentheorie*, S.-B. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Phys.-Math. Kl., 1926, pp. 488-495. (Reprinted in I. Schur, *Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, vol. 3, Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 43-50.)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PENNSYLVANIA 16802

Current address: School of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey 08540