

**A REMARK ON THE F. AND M. RIESZ THEOREM**

LOUIS PIGNO AND BRENT SMITH

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\mu$  be a measure of analytic type on the unit circle. We give a short direct proof that  $\mu^2$  is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure; our method also gives a convolution product version of some related several variable results.

Let  $T$  be the circle group,  $Z$  the integers and  $M(T)$  the complex-valued regular Borel measures on  $T$ . For  $\mu \in M(T)$  and  $n \in Z$  define  $\hat{\mu}(n) = \int_T e^{-in\theta} d\mu(\theta)$ . Denote by  $M_a(T)$  those  $\mu \in M(T)$  which are absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on  $T$ . We now cite the theorem of F. and M. Riesz.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\mu \in M(T)$  such that  $\hat{\mu}(n) = 0$  for all  $n < 0$ . Then  $\mu \in M_a(T)$ .*

Several short and elegant proofs of Theorem 1 are known; see for example [1] and [2]. In this note, we prove using only the most elementary facts of harmonic analysis that the conclusion of Theorem 1 holds for convolution products. More precisely:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\mu \in M(T)$  such that  $\hat{\mu}(n) = 0$  for all  $n < 0$ . Then  $\mu^2 \in M_a(T)$ .*

**PROOF.** For  $\nu \in M(T)$  and  $E \subset Z$  put  $\|\nu\|_{B(E)} = \inf_{\rho} \{\|\rho\| : \rho \in M(T), \hat{\rho} = \hat{\nu}$  on  $E\}$ . Then if  $\mu \in M(T)$  with  $\hat{\mu}(n) = 0$  for all  $n < 0$  it follows that for all integers  $N > 0$ ,

$$\|\mu\|_{B(-\infty, -N]} = 0. \tag{1}$$

Let  $d\tau = t d\mu$  where  $t$  is a trigonometric polynomial on  $T$ . An easy consequence of (1) is that  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|\tau\|_{B(-\infty, -N]} = 0$ ; this implies that if  $\omega \in M(T)$  and  $\omega \ll \mu$  then  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|\omega\|_{B(-\infty, -N]} = 0$ . Let  $\nu = |\mu|$ ; since  $\nu \ll \mu$  we obtain  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|\nu\|_{B(-\infty, -N]} = 0$  and inasmuch as  $\nu$  is a real measure we see that  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|\nu\|_{B[N, +\infty)} = 0$ . Now  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|\nu\|_{B[N, +\infty)} = 0$  implies that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu\|_{B[N, +\infty)} = 0. \tag{2}$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. We gather from (2) that there is a measure  $\mu_\epsilon$  and a positive integer  $N(\epsilon)$  satisfying

$$\|\mu_\epsilon\| < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mu}(n) = \hat{\mu}_\epsilon(n) \quad \text{for all } n > N(\epsilon). \tag{3}$$

Notice that because of (1) and the second part of (3),  $\mu * (\mu - \mu_\epsilon)$  is a trigonometric polynomial. Inasmuch as  $M_a(T)$  is closed, we conclude from (3) that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \{\mu * (\mu - \mu_\epsilon)\} = \mu^2 \in M_a(T).$$

---

Received by the editors August 3, 1979.  
 1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 43A75.

COMMENTS. (a) It is trivial that Theorem 1 implies Theorem 2. Let  $H^1(\mathbf{T})$  denote the measures of analytic type. A set  $S \subset \mathbf{Z}$  is called a small  $p$  set if whenever  $\mu \in M(\mathbf{T})$  with  $\text{supp } \hat{\mu} \subset S \Rightarrow \mu^p \in M_a(\mathbf{T})$ ; small 1 sets are called Riesz sets. Theorem 2 would imply Theorem 1 if it could be directly proved that  $H^1(\mathbf{T}) = H^1(\mathbf{T}) * H^1(\mathbf{T})$  or that every small 2 set is a Riesz set.

(b) The proof of Theorem 2 can be easily adapted to give the following three results:

(i) Let  $\mathbf{R}$  be the real line and suppose  $\mu \in M(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $\hat{\mu}(x) = 0$  for all  $x < 0$ . Then  $\mu^2 \in M_a(\mathbf{R})$ .

(ii) Let  $S$  be a plane sector of angular opening less than  $\pi$  radians. If  $\mu \in M(\mathbf{T}^2)$  and  $\text{supp } \hat{\mu} \subset S$  then  $\mu^2 \in M_a(\mathbf{T}^2)$ .

(iii) For  $n$  a natural number put  $Q_n = \{(m_1, \dots, m_n) : m_i > 0, m_i \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ . If  $\mu \in M(\mathbf{T}^n)$  and  $\text{supp } \hat{\mu} \subset Q_n$  then  $\mu^2 \in M_a(\mathbf{T}^n)$ .

(c) The argument in Theorem 2 that formula (1) implies formula (2) is due essentially to A. Rajchman.

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Helson, *On a theorem of F. and M. Riesz*, *Colloq. Math.* **3** (1955), 113–117.
2. B. K. Øksendal, *A short proof of the F. and M. Riesz Theorem*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **30** (1971), 204.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, MANHATTAN, KANSAS 66506

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ILLINOIS STATE UNIVERSITY, NORMAL, ILLINOIS 61761