

## THE ZYGMUND CONDITION FOR BLOCH FUNCTIONS IN THE BALL IN $C^n$

JOSEPH. A. CIMA AND BARNET M. WEINSTOCK<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we prove the equivalence of the Bloch condition for a holomorphic function  $f$  on the ball  $B_n$  with the Zygmund second difference condition for a suitable primitive  $F$  of  $f$ .

**Introduction.** If  $B_1$  is the open unit disc in the complex plane and  $f$  is holomorphic on  $B_1$ , we say that  $f$  is a Bloch function if there exists a positive number  $M$  such that

$$|f'(z)|(1 - |z|^2) < M$$

for all  $z \in B_1$ . When equipped with an appropriate norm the linear space of Bloch functions becomes a nonseparable Banach space. There are many equivalent conditions that a function can satisfy to be a Bloch function (see Pommerenke [2] or Cima [1]). In a recent thesis, Richard Timoney [4] has done an exhaustive study of properties of Bloch functions on domains in  $C^n$ . In particular his work includes the theory of Bloch functions on the ball

$$B_n = \{z \in C^n : \|z\| = \sqrt{|z_j|^2} < 1\}.$$

He has shown that all the known characterizations, save two, that are equivalent for the case of  $n = 1$  are valid for  $n > 1$ . One of these two characterizations is the second difference condition of Zygmund [5]. We will establish the equivalence of this condition for the  $B_n$  case.

**1. Preliminaries.** Assume  $f$  is a holomorphic function of  $B_n \rightarrow C$ . For  $u$  and  $v$  vectors in  $C^n$ ,  $z \in B_n$  and  $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j \bar{v}_j$  the Bergman metric is given by

$$H_z(u, \bar{v}) = \left( \frac{n+1}{2} \right) \left[ \frac{(1 - \|z\|^2)\langle u, \bar{v} \rangle + \langle u, \bar{z} \rangle \langle z, \bar{v} \rangle}{(1 - \|z\|^2)^2} \right].$$

For each  $z \in B_n$  define

$$Q_f(z) \equiv \sup \left\{ |(\nabla_z f)(x)| / H_z(x, \bar{x})^{1/2}; x \in C^n, x \neq 0 \right\}$$

where  $(\nabla_z f)(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n (\partial f / \partial z_j)(z) x_j$ .

---

Received by the editors April 27, 1979 and, in revised form, June 4, 1979; presented to the Society, August 25, 1979.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 32A30, 46E15.

*Key words and phrases.* Bloch function, several complex variables, Zygmund condition.

<sup>1</sup>Partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant MCS78-02912.

© 1980 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/80/0000-0371/\$02.00

**DEFINITION 1.** A holomorphic function  $f: B_n \rightarrow C$  is called a Bloch function if  $\sup\{Q_f(z); z \in B_n\} < \infty$ .

In considering this definition, a certain amount of pathology immediately enters. In fact one observes by studying the metric that if  $f$  is a Bloch function, then the growth of its directional derivative in the radial direction is  $O((1 - \|z\|^2)^{-1})$ , whereas the growth in directions orthogonal to the radial direction is only  $O((1 - \|z\|^2)^{-1/2})$ . Timoney [4] shows that the Bloch condition is equivalent to the following condition:

$$\sup\{\|\nabla_z f\|(1 - \|z\|^2); z \in B_n\} < \infty. \quad (1.1)$$

Assume  $F$  is in the ball algebra of  $B_1$ , i.e.,  $F$  is continuous on  $\bar{B}_1$  and holomorphic in  $B_1$ . Further assume that the boundary values of  $F$  satisfy

$$|F(e^{i(\theta+h)}) + F(e^{i(\theta-h)}) - 2F(e^{i\theta})| < A|h| \quad (1.2)$$

for all real numbers  $h$  and some positive number  $A$ , independent of  $\theta$ . In [5] it was shown that a function  $f$ , holomorphic on  $B_1$ , satisfies the Bloch condition if and only if its primitive  $F(z) \equiv \int_0^z f(\xi) d\xi$  is in the ball algebra of  $B_1$  and satisfies condition (1.2).

We consider in this note  $C^1$  curves  $\gamma$  mapping  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \partial B_n$  such that  $|\gamma'(t)| = 1$  for all  $t$ . We refer to these as normalized  $C^1$  curves. With this class of curves in mind we make the following definition.

**DEFINITION 1.2.** Let  $F$  be in the ball algebra of  $B_n$ . We say that  $F$  satisfies condition  $\Lambda_*(\partial B_n)$  if there exists a positive number  $A$  such that for all normalized  $C^1$  curves  $\gamma$  in  $B_n$ ,

$$|F(\gamma(t+h)) + F(\gamma(t-h)) - 2F(\gamma(t))| < A|h|$$

for all  $t$  and  $h$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Finally, if  $f$  is holomorphic on  $B_n$  with expansion in terms of homogeneous polynomials given by  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k(z)$  define the radial derivative  $\mathcal{R}f$  of  $f$  by the formula

$$\mathcal{R}f(z) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kF_k(z).$$

**2. The principal result.** If we are given a function  $f$  holomorphic on  $B_n$ , define a function

$$F(z) = (\mathcal{P}f)(z) \equiv \int_0^1 f(tz) dt.$$

One checks that  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}f)(z) = \mathcal{R}F(z) = f(z) - F(z)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** A function  $f$  holomorphic on  $B_n$  is in the Bloch space of  $B_n$  if and only if  $\mathcal{P}f$  satisfies the  $\Lambda_*(\partial B_n)$  condition.

**PROOF.** Assume first that  $f$  is a Bloch function. For  $a \in \partial B_n$  the slice functions are defined by  $f_a(\lambda) = f(\lambda a)$ ,  $\lambda \in B_1$ . Since

$$(\mathcal{P}f)_a(\lambda) \equiv F_a(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^{\lambda} f_a(\xi) d\xi \quad (2.1)$$

we see that each  $F_a$  is in  $\Lambda_*(\partial B_1)$ . Also each member of the family  $\{F_a; a \in \partial B_n\}$  has its oscillation  $\omega(F_a, \delta) = O(\delta \log \delta)$ , uniformly in  $a$ .  $F$  can be extended to a function on  $\bar{B}_n$  by using the values on the slices. Now with  $b \in \partial B_n$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ ,

$$|F(a) - F(b)| \leq M(1 - r) \log(1 - r) + |F_a(r) - F_b(r)|.$$

This shows that  $F$  is continuous at  $a$  and hence is in the ball algebra.

Now fix a normalized  $C^1$  curve  $\gamma$  with range in  $\partial B_n$  and let  $h > 0$  be given. If  $g(t)$  is any function defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  set  $Ag(t) = g(t + h) - g(t)$ . With  $r = 1 - h$  we write

$$F(\gamma(t)) = (F(\gamma(t)) - F(r\gamma(t))) + (F(r\gamma(t)))$$

and show that  $A^2$  of each expression in parentheses is  $O(h)$ , independent of  $t$ . Since  $|f(z)| = O(\log(1 - |z|))$  one easily verifies that

$$F(\gamma(t)) - F(r\gamma(t)) = (1 - r)f(r\gamma(t)) + \int_r^1 (1 - s) \nabla f(s\gamma(\theta)) \circ \gamma(\theta) ds.$$

The integral in this equality is  $O(h)$ . Also

$$Af(r\gamma(t)) = \int_0^h \nabla f(\xi) \circ \xi' = O(1)$$

where  $\xi(p) = r\gamma(t + p)$ . Hence

$$A^2[F(\gamma(t)) - F(r\gamma(t))] = O(h)$$

uniformly in  $t$ . The expression  $A^2F(r\gamma(t))$  involves three terms:

$$r \int_0^h (\gamma'(t + p) - \gamma'(t)) \circ \nabla F(r\gamma(t + p)) dp, \quad (2.2)$$

$$r \int_0^h \gamma'(t) \circ (\nabla F(r\gamma(t + p)) - \nabla F(r\gamma(t - p))) dp, \quad (2.3)$$

$$r \int_0^h (\gamma'(t) - \gamma'(t - p)) \circ \nabla F(r\gamma(t - p)) dp. \quad (2.4)$$

By the definition

$$\left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_j}(r\gamma(t)) \right| = \left| r \int_0^1 \nabla f(ru\gamma(t)) \circ \gamma'(t) u du \right| \leq M \cdot |\log h|.$$

Thus, expressions (2.2) and (2.4) are  $O(1)$  uniformly in  $t$ . Similarly

$$\|\nabla F(r\gamma(t)) - \nabla F(r\gamma(s))\| = O(\log(1 - r))$$

and hence (2.3) is  $O(1)$ .

For the converse we observe that for each  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , the space  $\Lambda_\alpha(B_1)$  of functions in the ball algebra with boundary values in the  $\text{Lip } \alpha$  space contains  $\Lambda_*(B_1)$ . Further, bounded subsets of  $\Lambda_*$  are bounded in  $\Lambda_\alpha$ . Fix  $z = re_1$  in  $B_n$  with  $\|e_1\| = 1$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ , and let  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^n$  be an orthonormal basis for  $C^n$ . Let  $D_j$  be the derivative in the  $e_j$  direction. We can apply a result of Rudin [3] to draw the following conclusions. Since  $\{F_w\}$  is a norm-bounded subset of  $\Lambda_{1/2}(B_1)$ ,

$$(\mathcal{R}F)(z) = O((1 - \|z\|)^{-1/2})$$

and

$$D_j f(z) = O((1 - \|z\|)^{-1}), \quad 2 \leq j \leq n.$$

Since  $F_{\epsilon_1}(r) + (\Re F)_{\epsilon_1}(r) = f_{\epsilon_1}(r)$  we apply the one variable result to conclude

$$D_1 f(z) = Df_{\epsilon_1}(r) = O((1 - \|z\|)^{-1}).$$

The estimates are uniform.

The referee has pointed out that our proof yields the following equivalences.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *A holomorphic function  $f: B_n \rightarrow C$  is a Bloch function if and only if the slice functions  $F_a = (\Re f)_a$ ,  $a \in \partial B_n$ , are uniformly bounded in  $\Lambda_*(\partial B_1)$ .*

**PROOF.** From [4] a function  $f: B_n \rightarrow C$  is a Bloch function if and only if

$$\sup_{z \in B_n} |(\Re f)(z)|(1 - \|z\|^2) < \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

The functions  $(\Re f)_a$ ,  $a \in \partial B_n$ , are uniformly bounded in  $\Lambda_*(\partial B_1)$  if and only if

$$\sup_{|z| < 1, a \in \partial B_n} |[(\Re f)_a]''(z)|(1 - |z|^2) < \infty. \quad (2.6)$$

A computation with (2.1) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} [(\Re f)_a]''(z) &= \frac{1}{z^2} (\Re f)_a(z) - \frac{2}{z^2} [f_a(z) - (\Re f)_a(z)] \\ &= \frac{1}{z^2} (\Re f)_a(z) - \frac{2}{z} [(\Re f)_a]'(z). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that (2.5) and (2.6) are equivalent.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *A holomorphic function  $F: B_n \rightarrow C$  is in  $\Lambda_*(\partial B_n)$  if and only if the slice functions  $F_a$ ,  $a \in \partial B_n$ , are uniformly bounded in  $\Lambda_*(\partial B_1)$ .*

**PROOF.** This follows from Rudin's result [3] and the proof of Theorem 1.

A comment is in order. If one considers the latter half of the proof of Theorem 1, one sees that  $\Re f = F$  is much more smooth on curves  $\gamma(t)$  whose tangents lie in the "complex tangential direction." However, the function  $f(z) = \log(1 - z_1^2 - z_2^2)$  achieves the proper growth estimate on curves  $\gamma(t) = e^{it}w$  ( $\|w\| = 1$ ) whose tangents lie in the real direction.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. A. Cima, *The basic properties of Bloch functions*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. 2 (1979).
2. Ch. Pommerenke, *On Bloch functions*, J. London Math. Soc. 2 (1970), 689–695.
3. W. Rudin, *Holomorphic Lipschitz functions in balls*, Comment. Math. Helv. 53 (1978), 143–147.
4. R. Timoney, *Bloch functions in several complex variables*, Thesis, University of Illinois, 1978.
5. A. Zygmund, *Smooth functions*, Duke Math. J. 12 (1945), 47–76.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA, CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA 27514.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA, CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA 28223