

## MORITA EQUIVALENT SEMIGROUPS OF QUOTIENTS

JOHN K. LUEDEMAN

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $S$  be a monoid and  ${}_S M$  and  ${}_S N$  be retracts of each other. We show that  $\text{End}_S(M)$  and  $\text{End}_S(N)$  are Morita equivalent. Using this result, we show that if  $A$  and  $B$  are Morita equivalent monoids, then their semigroups of quotients are Morita equivalent.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be monoids with 0 and let  ${}_A \mathfrak{M}$  (resp.  ${}_B \mathfrak{M}$ ) denote the category of unitary left  $A$ -systems (resp.  $B$ -systems). The monoids  $A$  and  $B$  are Morita equivalent if there are covariant functors  $F: {}_B \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow {}_A \mathfrak{M}$  and  $G: {}_A \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow {}_B \mathfrak{M}$  with  $F \cdot G \simeq 1_{A^{\text{op}}}$  and  $G \cdot F \simeq 1_{B^{\text{op}}}$ . Knauer [3] (and independently, Banaschewski [1]) has shown that when  $A$  and  $B$  are Morita equivalent, there is an indecomposable projective generator  $Q$  in  ${}_B \mathfrak{M}$  with  $Q \simeq Bb$  where  $b^2 = b \in B$  and  $1, 1' \in B$  with  $b1 = 1$  and  $1'1 = e$ , the identity of  $B$ . Moreover,  $A \simeq F(Q)$ ,  $A \simeq \text{Hom}_B(Q, Q) \simeq bBb$  and  $G(X) = {}_B Q_A \otimes X$ .

In the following section, we show that when  $S$  is a monoid with 0 and  ${}_S M, {}_S N \in {}_S \mathfrak{M}$  are retracts of each other, the endomorphism semigroups  $\text{End}_S(M)$  and  $\text{End}_S(N)$  are Morita equivalent. In §2, we use this result to show that when  $A$  and  $B$  are Morita equivalent monoids, then the McMorris quotient semigroups [7]  $Q(A)$  and  $Q(B)$  are Morita equivalent. This result was established in [5] for rings.

**1. Retracts and Morita equivalence.** Let  $S$  be a monoid and  ${}_S M$  and  ${}_S N$  be left  $S$ -systems. Set  $A = \text{End}_S(M)$  and  $B = \text{End}_S(N)$ ; then  $A$  and  $B$  operate on the right of  $M$  and  $N$  respectively and so we have bi-systems  ${}_S M_A, {}_S N_B, {}_A \text{Hom}_S(M, N)_B$  and  ${}_B \text{Hom}_S(N, M)_A$ .

Now we assume that the  $S$ -system  $N$  is a retract of  $M$  by means of the mappings  $M \begin{smallmatrix} \beta \\ \rightleftarrows \\ \alpha \end{smallmatrix} N$  with  $\alpha\beta = 1_N$ . Define the mapping  $\mu: \text{Hom}_S(N, M) \otimes_A \text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow B$  by  $\mu(f \otimes g) = fg$ . Then for  $b \in B$ ,  $\mu(b\alpha \otimes \beta) = b$  so  $\mu$  is onto. Moreover if  $\mu(f \otimes g) = \mu(x \otimes y)$ , then  $fg = xy$  so  $f \otimes g = f \otimes g\alpha\beta = fg\alpha \otimes \beta = xy\alpha \otimes \beta = x \otimes y\alpha\beta = x \otimes y$ . Thus  $\mu$  is an isomorphism of  $(B, B)$ -systems.

Next define  $\psi: \text{Hom}_S(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(\text{Hom}_S(N, M), A)$  by  $\psi(f)(g) = fg$  and  $\psi': \text{Hom}_S(N, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(\text{Hom}_S(M, N), A)$  by  $\psi'(g)(f) = fg$ . Then  $\psi$  is an  $(A, B)$ -homomorphism and  $\psi'$  is a  $(B, A)$ -homomorphism. Using  $\psi$  we have a commutative diagram

---

Received by the editors May 2, 1979 and, in revised form, September 29, 1979; presented to the Society, January 5, 1980 at San Antonio, Texas.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20M50.

*Key words and phrases*. Semigroup of quotients, Morita equivalence.

© 1980 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9939/80/0000-0505/\$02.00

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M \otimes_A \text{Hom}_S(M, N) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \psi} & M \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(\text{Hom}_S(N, M), A) \\
 \tau \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\
 N & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{Hom}_A(\text{Hom}_S(N, M), M)
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 1

where  $\tau(m \otimes f) = mf$ ,  $i(n)(g) = ng$  and  $\nu(m \otimes h)(g) = m \cdot (h(g))$  respectively. These are all  $(A, B)$ -homomorphisms.

**THEOREM.** *If  ${}_S N$  is a retract of  ${}_S M$ , then:*

- (1)  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$  are isomorphisms.
- (2) All homomorphisms in Figure 1 are isomorphisms.
- (3)  $\text{Hom}_S(M, N)$  is a cyclic projective left  $A$ -system and a generator as a right  $B$ -system.
- (4)  $\text{End}_A(\text{Hom}_S(M, N)) \simeq B$  and  $\text{End}_A(\text{Hom}_S(N, M)) \simeq B$ .

**PROOF.** (1) The inverse of  $\psi$  is  $\psi^{-1}(t) = t(\alpha)\beta \in \text{Hom}_S(M, N)$ .

(2) Define  $k: \text{Hom}_A(\text{Hom}_S(N, M), M) \rightarrow M \otimes_A \text{Hom}_S(M, N)$  by  $k(f) = f(\alpha) \otimes \beta$ . Then all composition maps from one term to itself are the identity.

(3)  ${}_A \text{Hom}_S(M, N)$  is a retract of  $A$  by  $f \in \text{Hom}_S(M, N) \mapsto f\alpha \in \text{Hom}_S(M, M) = A$  and  $g \in A = \text{Hom}_S(M, M) \mapsto g\beta \in \text{Hom}_S(M, N)$ . Likewise,  $\text{Hom}_S(N, N) = B$  is a retract of  $\text{Hom}_S(M, N)_B$  by  $f \in \text{Hom}_S(N, N) \mapsto \beta f \in \text{Hom}_S(M, N)$  and  $g \in \text{Hom}_S(M, N) \mapsto \alpha g \in \text{Hom}_S(N, N)$ . Thus,  $\text{Hom}_S(M, N)$  is a cyclic projective left  $A$ -system and a generator as a right  $B$ -system.

(4) Let  $\text{Hom}_S(M, N) = At$  and since  $At$  is a retract of  $A$ , we can regard  $at \neq bt$  for  $a \neq b$ . Now map  $\text{Hom}_A(At, A) \otimes_A At$  to  $\text{Hom}_A(At, At)$  by  $f \otimes_A bt \mapsto [f, bt]$  where  $(at)[f, bt] = (at)fbt$ . This mapping is onto since each  $g: At \rightarrow At$  is determined by its image  $g(t) = a_g$ , so that if  $h: At \rightarrow A$  is given by  $h(t) = a_g$ , then  $[h, t] = g$ . To see that this mapping is injective, let  $[h, bt] = [k, ct]$  so that  $(th)bt = (tk)ct$ , or  $(th)b = (tk)c$  or  $(t)hb = (t)kc$  and so  $hb = kc$ . Thus  $h \otimes bt = hb \otimes t = kc \otimes t = k \otimes ct$  and so  $\text{Hom}_A(\text{Hom}_S(M, N), A) \otimes_A \text{Hom}_S(M, N)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{End}_A(\text{Hom}_S(M, N))$ . Define  $F: {}_B \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow {}_A \mathfrak{N}$  by  $F(X) = \text{Hom}_S(M, N) \otimes_B X$  and  $G: {}_A \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow {}_B \mathfrak{N}$  by  $G(Y) = \text{Hom}_S(N, M) \otimes_A Y$ . Then  $G \cdot F \simeq 1_{B \mathfrak{N}}$ . Thus we have the following

**THEOREM.** *Let  ${}_S M$  and  ${}_S N$  be retracts of each other. Then (1)  $\text{Hom}_S(M, N)$  is a cyclic projective generator both as a left  $A$ -system and a right  $B$ -system, and (2)  $F$  and  $G$  are inverse equivalences between  ${}_A \mathfrak{N}$  and  ${}_B \mathfrak{N}$ .*

**2. Semigroups of quotients.** A special left quotient filter  $\Sigma$  of a monoid  $B$  is a nonempty collection of left ideals of  $B$  satisfying

- Q1.  $I, J \in \Sigma, f \in \text{Hom}_B(I, B) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(J) \in \Sigma$ ;
- Q2. if  $J \in \Sigma, Ia^{-1} = \{s \in B | sa \in I\} \in \Sigma$  for all  $a \in J$ , then  $I \in \Sigma$ ; and
- Q3.  $B \in \Sigma$ .

For each left  $B$ -system  $M$  we define the torsion congruence  $\tau_M$  by  $m\tau_M n$  if there is  $I \in \Sigma$  with  $bm = bn$  for all  $b \in I$ .  $M$  is strongly torsion free if  $\tau_M$  is the identity,

and  $M$  is torsion if  $\tau_M = \omega$ .  $M$  is  $\Sigma$ -injective if whenever  $C$  is a subsystem of  $D$  and  $D/C$  is torsion, then each  $B$ -homomorphism  $f: C \rightarrow M$  has an extension  $f: D \rightarrow M$ . Each strongly torsion free  $B$ -system has a unique (up to isomorphism over  $M$ ) extension  $E_\Sigma(M)$  which is the minimal  $\Sigma$ -injective extension of  $M$ .  $E_\Sigma(M)$  is called the  $\Sigma$ -injective hull of  $M$ .

Given a special left quotient filter  $\Sigma$ , let  $\mathfrak{B} = \cup_{I \in \Sigma} \text{Hom}_B(I, B)$  and let  $\theta$  be a congruence on  $\mathfrak{B}$  given by  $f\theta g$  if there is some  $J \in \Sigma$  on which  $f$  and  $g$  are both defined and agree. Then  $Q_\Sigma(B) = \mathfrak{B} / \theta$  with the operation of functional composition  $fg: g^{-1}(D_f) \cap D_g \rightarrow B$  forms a monoid. Moreover,  $Q_\Sigma(B)$  is the  $\Sigma$ -injective hull of  $B$  if  $B$  is strongly torsion free. For other definitions and concepts we refer the reader to [4].

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be Morita equivalent monoids. We use the following notation to describe this situation.

- (1)  $F: {}_B\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow {}_A\mathfrak{M}$  and  $G: {}_A\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow {}_B\mathfrak{M}$  are inverse category equivalences.
- (2)  ${}_A P_B$  is an  $(A, B)$ -bisystem which is a left  $A$  and right  $B$  progenerator (i.e., cyclic projective generator).
- (3)  ${}_B Q_A$  is a  $(B, A)$ -bisystem which is a left  $B$  and right  $A$  progenerator.
- (4)  $F_- = P \otimes_{B_-}$  and  $G_- = Q \otimes_{A_-}$ .
- (5)  $A \simeq \text{End}_B(P) \simeq \text{End}_B(Q)$  and  $B \simeq \text{End}_A(Q) \simeq \text{End}_A(P)$ .
- (6)  ${}_B Q \simeq \text{Hom}_B(P, B)$  and  ${}_A P \simeq \text{Hom}_A(Q, A)$ .

We have over-determined our equivalence. For  $A$  and  $B$  to be Morita equivalent it is necessary and sufficient that  $A \simeq \text{End}_B(P)$  for some progenerator  $P_B$  [5]. (Although [5] deals only with the additive case, since  $P_B$  is a cyclic projective generator, simple modifications of the existing proofs suffice to justify that  $A \simeq \text{End}_B(P)$  suffices for  $A$  and  $B$  to be Morita equivalent.) Since  ${}_B Q$  is a progenerator and  $B$  is a monoid,  $B$  and  $Q$  are retracts of each other. Without loss of generality, since  $B$  is a monoid,  $Q$  is indecomposable [3].

Let  $\Sigma$  be a special left quotient filter on  $B$  and  $\mathfrak{T}(B)$  be the class of all  $\Sigma$ -torsion  $B$ -systems.  $\Sigma$  uniquely determines and is determined by  $\mathfrak{T}(B)$ , where  $\mathfrak{T}(B)$  is special in the sense that if  $f \in \text{Hom}(M, N)$  is 0-restricted (i.e.,  $f^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ ) and  $N \in \mathfrak{T}(B)$  then  $M \in \mathfrak{T}(B)$  [4]. Let  $\mathfrak{T}(A) = \{N \in {}_A\mathfrak{M} \mid G(N) \in \mathfrak{T}(B)\}$ . Since  $G$  is an equivalence,  $\mathfrak{T}(A)$  is closed under quotients, disjoint unions, and extensions and so is a torsion class for  ${}_A\mathfrak{M}$ . Moreover,  $\mathfrak{T}(A)$  is a special torsion class, for if  $f \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$  is 0-restricted and  $N \in \mathfrak{T}(A)$ , then  $G(N) \in \mathfrak{T}(B)$  and  $G(f): G(M) \rightarrow G(N)$ . Suppose  $G(K) \subset G(M)$  with  $G(f)(G(K)) = 0$ ; then since  $G$  is an equivalence,  $f(K) = 0$  so  $K = 0$  since  $f$  is 0-restricted. Thus  $G(K) = 0$  and  $G(f)$  is 0-restricted. Since  $\mathfrak{T}(B)$  is a special torsion class,  $G(M) \in \mathfrak{T}(B)$  so  $M \in \mathfrak{T}(A)$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{T}(A)$  is special and determines a unique special left quotient filter  $\Gamma$  on  $A$  by  $\Gamma = \{J \mid G(A/J) \in \mathfrak{T}(B)\}$ . Thus we have

**THEOREM.**  $\Gamma = \{J \mid A/J \in \mathfrak{T}(A)\}$  is a special left quotient filter on  $A$ .

Now let  $M \in {}_B\mathfrak{M}$ ; then since  $F$  and  $G$  are inverse equivalences,  $M$  is  $\Sigma$ -injective if and only if  $F(M)$  is  $\Gamma$ -injective. This yields the following

**PROPOSITION.**  $F(E_\Sigma(M)) = E_\Gamma(F(M))$ .

Since  ${}_B Q$  is a finitely generated projective generator,  ${}_B Q$  and  ${}_B B$  are retracts of each other. Knauer [3] (and independently Banaschewski [1]) has shown that  $Q \simeq Bb$  where  $b^2 = b \in B$ . Now if  $B$  is strongly torsion free, the isomorphism between  $Q$  and  $Bb$  shows that  $Q$  is strongly torsion free. Then by the definition of  $\Gamma$  and the fact that  $F$  and  $G$  are category equivalences,  $A$  is strongly torsion free.

Now since  ${}_B Q$  and  ${}_B B$  are retracts of each other,  $E_\Sigma(Q)$  and  $E_\Sigma(B)$  are retracts of each other. Since  $F(Q) \simeq_A A$  we have

$$\text{End}_B(E_\Sigma(Q)) \simeq \text{End}_A(F(E_\Sigma(Q))) \simeq \text{End}_A(E_\Gamma(F(Q))) \simeq \text{End}_A(E_\Gamma(A))$$

but  $Q_\Sigma(B) \simeq \text{End}_B(E_\Sigma(B))$  and  $Q_\Gamma(A) \simeq \text{End}_A(E_\Gamma(A)) \simeq \text{End}_B(E_\Sigma(Q))$ .

Let  $M = E_\Sigma(Q)$  and  $N = E_\Sigma(B)$ ; then from the last section we see that  $Q_\Sigma(B)$  and  $Q_\Gamma(A)$  are Morita equivalent and  $\text{Hom}_B(E_\Sigma(Q), E_\Sigma(B))$  is a cyclic projective generator both as a left  $Q(A)$ -system and right  $Q(B)$ -system.

Since  $P \otimes_B E_\Sigma(B)$  is  $\Gamma$ -injective and  $E_\Gamma(A)/A \in \mathfrak{T}(A)$ ,

$$\text{Hom}_A(A, P \otimes_B E_\Sigma(B)) \simeq \frac{\text{Hom}_A(E_\Gamma(A), P \otimes_B E_\Sigma(B))}{\text{Hom}_A(E_\Gamma(A)/A, P \otimes_B E_\Sigma(B))}$$

but since  $P \otimes_B E_\Sigma(B)$  is strongly torsion free,

$$\text{Hom}_A(E_\Gamma(A)/A, P \otimes_B E_\Sigma(B)) = 0,$$

and  $\text{Hom}_A(A, P \otimes_B E_\Sigma(B))$  and  $\text{Hom}(E_\Gamma(A), P \otimes_B E_\Sigma(B))$  are isomorphic as right  $Q_\Sigma(B)$ -systems. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_B(E_\Sigma(Q), E_\Sigma(B)) &\simeq \text{Hom}_A(E_\Gamma(A), P \otimes_B E_\Sigma(B)) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(A, P \otimes_B E_\Sigma(B)) \\ &\simeq P \otimes_B E_\Sigma(B) \simeq P \otimes_B Q_\Sigma(B). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved the following

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a special left quotient filter on the monoid  $B$  and let  $A$  and  $B$  be Morita equivalent monoids. If  $\Gamma$  is the corresponding special left quotient filter on  $A$ , then  $Q_\Gamma(A)$  and  $Q_\Sigma(B)$  are Morita equivalent. Moreover, in the situation above,  $P \otimes_B Q_\Sigma(B)$  is right  $Q_\Sigma(B)$ -cyclic projective generator and*

$$Q_\Gamma(A) \simeq \text{End}_{Q_\Sigma(B)}(P \otimes_B Q_\Sigma(B)).$$

**REMARK.** I would like to thank the referee for his helpful comments and for advising me of reference [1].

**BIBLIOGRAPHY**

1. B. Banaschewski, *Functors into categories of M-sets*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **8** (1972), 49-64.
2. K. Hirata, *Some types of separable extensions of rings*, Nagoya Math. J. **33** (1968), 107-115.
3. U. Knauer, *Projectivity of acts and Morita equivalence of monoids*, Semigroup Forum **3** (1972), 359-370.
4. J. Luedeman, *Torsion theories and semigroups of quotients*, Tech. Rep. 297, Clemson University, Clemson, S. C.
5. B. Pareigis, *Categories and functors*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
6. D. Turnidge, *Torsion theories and rings of quotients of Morita equivalent rings*, Pacific J. Math. **37** (1971), 225-234.
7. H. J. Weinert, *On S-sets and semigroups of quotients*, Semigroup Forum **19** (1980), 1-78.