

## RATIONALLY VARYING POLARIZING SUBALGEBRAS IN NILPOTENT LIE ALGEBRAS

LAWRENCE CORWIN AND FREDERICK P. GREENLEAF<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be a nilpotent Lie algebra, with (vector space) dual  $\mathfrak{N}^*$ . We construct a map  $l \mapsto \mathfrak{M}_l$  from a Zariski-open subset of  $\mathfrak{N}^*$  to the set of subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{N}$  such that  $\mathfrak{M}_l$  varies rationally with  $l$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}_l$  is polarizing for  $l$ ,  $(\text{Ad } x)\mathfrak{M}_l = \mathfrak{M}_{l'}$  ( $l' = \text{Ad}^* x \cdot l$ ) for all  $x \in N$ , and  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(l) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_l \subseteq \mathfrak{K}_\infty(l)$ , where  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(l)$  and  $\mathfrak{K}_\infty(l)$  are the canonical subalgebras introduced by R. Penney.

1. Let  $N$  be a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{N}$ ; for  $l \in \mathfrak{N}^*$ , define the bilinear form  $B_l$  by  $B_l(X, Y) = l([X, Y])$ . In the Kirillov theory of the unitary representations of  $N$ , an important role is played by a *polarizing* (or *maximal subordinate*) subalgebra of  $l$ —that is, a subalgebra  $\mathfrak{M}_l$  which is a maximal isotropic subspace for  $B_l$ . As Kirillov [4] showed, such a subalgebra always exists; in general, it is not unique. For Kirillov's purposes, the lack of uniqueness was unimportant. He showed that if one defines  $\chi_l$  on  $M_l = \exp \mathfrak{M}_l$  by  $\chi_l(\exp X) = \exp 2\pi il(X)$  and induces  $\chi_l$  to a representation  $\pi_l$  on  $N$ , then  $\pi_l$  is independent (up to equivalence) of the choice of  $\mathfrak{M}_l$ ; moreover,  $\pi_l$  is irreducible, and every irreducible (unitary) representation of  $N$  is equivalent to some  $\pi_l$ . Indeed, the unitary dual  $\hat{N}$  of  $N$  is homeomorphic to the orbit space of  $\mathfrak{N}^*$  mod the coadjoint action of  $N$ ; see [1].

For some purposes, it is useful to find concrete realizations of “most” of the irreducible representations  $\pi_l \in \hat{N}$  which vary smoothly with  $l$ . Here, “most” means “for all  $l$  in a Zariski-open set of  $\mathfrak{N}^*$ ”; see [6, p. 55ff] for a discussion. This problem has arisen in discussions of applications of representation theory to partial differential equations; it also arises when one attempts to characterize the Fourier transform of Schwartz class functions on nilpotent Lie groups. (See, e.g., [2] and [7]; in these cases, one was able to avoid serious problems because of the nature of the groups under discussion.)

In [8], [9] M. Vergne showed that there is a natural construction which yields polarizing subalgebras  $\mathfrak{M}_l$  which vary rationally in  $l$ . (Her construction actually works for solvable Lie algebras, where additional conditions are imposed on the  $\mathfrak{M}_l$ .) For our purposes we seek polarizations which not only vary rationally in  $l$ , and covariantly under  $\text{Ad}^*(N)$ , but which are also related to the canonical

---

Received by the editors November 27, 1978 and, in revised form, February 9, 1979.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 17B30, 22E27.

*Key words and phrases*. Rationally varying polarizing subalgebras, nilpotent Lie algebras, canonical subalgebras.

<sup>1</sup>Research supported in part by NSF grants MCS 78-02715 and MCS 78-02153 respectively.

subalgebras  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(I) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_\infty(I)$  introduced by Penney [5], and used to advantage in [3]. We show that the canonical objects  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(I) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_\infty(I)$  all depend rationally on  $I$ , and that there is a rational choice of polarization that fits between them:  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(I) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_I \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_\infty(I)$  for all generic  $I$ . An example shows that the polarizations described in [8], [9] do not always satisfy this condition.

We would like to thank M. Duflo for pointing out the work in [8], [9].

2. We begin with some generalities. Let  $E$  be a finite-dimensional real vector space, and let  $U \subseteq E$  be Zariski-open. Suppose that  $W$  is some other real finite-dimensional vector space. We say that the field of vectors  $\varphi: U \rightarrow W$  is rational if the coefficients of  $\varphi$  (with respect to any fixed bases of  $E, W$ ) are rational functions of  $v \in U$ . A field of subspaces  $\{W_v: v \in U\}$  is rational if there are rational fields  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k: U \rightarrow W$  which form a basis for  $W_v$  on a Zariski-open subset of  $U$ . Note that a field of linear operators  $T: E \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, W)$  may be regarded as a field of vectors.

In what follows,  $E$  will always be the indexing vector space. We shall often suppress mention of the Zariski-open set  $U$ ; in fact,  $U$  may vary somewhat during the discussion. We use "generic" to mean "Zariski-open". We shall use, e.g.,  $W_v$  and  $W(v)$  interchangeably to refer to the value at  $v$  of the field  $\{W_v\}$  of subspaces.

2.1. LEMMA. *Let  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_j$  be rational vector fields on  $W$  which are linearly independent at a point  $v_0 \in E$ . Then they are generically linearly independent, and there are rational vector fields  $\varphi_{j+1}, \dots, \varphi_n$  such that  $\{\varphi_1(v), \dots, \varphi_n(v)\}$  is a basis of  $W$  for generic  $v \in E$ .*

PROOF. Extend  $\varphi_1(v_0), \dots, \varphi_j(v_0)$  to a basis  $\varphi_1(v_0), \dots, \varphi_j(v_0), w_{j+1}, \dots, w_n$ , and define  $\varphi_i(v) = w_i$  for  $j+1 \leq i \leq n$ . It suffices to show that  $\{\varphi_1(v), \dots, \varphi_n(v)\}$  is a basis for generic  $v$ , and this is clear from the fact that  $\text{Det}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$  is rational and not identically 0.

2.2. LEMMA. *Let  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$  be rational vector fields on  $W$  which form a basis for generic  $v$ . Define vector fields  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$  on  $W^*$  such that for generic  $v$ ,  $\psi_1(v), \dots, \psi_n(v)$  is the dual basis to  $\varphi_1(v), \dots, \varphi_n(v)$ . Then  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$  are rational.*

PROOF. This is a simple application of Cramer's rule.

2.3. LEMMA. *Let  $\{W_v\}$  be a rational field of subspaces in  $W$ . Then  $\{W_v^\perp\}$  is a rational field of subspaces in  $W^*$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$  be the rational fields which give a basis for the  $W_v$  at generic  $v$ . Extend these to a generic basis  $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$  of  $W$ , as in Lemma 2.1, and let  $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}$  be the dual basis of fields in  $W^*$ , rational by Lemma 2.2. Then  $W_v^\perp = \text{span}(\psi_{k+1}(v), \dots, \psi_n(v))$  for generic  $v$ , and the lemma is proved.

2.4. PROPOSITION. *Let  $T: E \rightarrow \text{Hom}(W_1, W_2)$  be a rational field of linear operators. Then*

- (a)  $T^*: E \rightarrow \text{Hom}(W_2^*, W_1^*)$ , where  $T^*(v) = (T(v))^*$ , is rational;
- (b) Range  $T$  is a rational field of subspaces in  $W_2$ ;

- (c) Ker  $T$  is a rational field of subspaces in  $W_1$ ;
- (d) Rank  $T$  takes on its maximum value generically;
- (e) Null( $T$ ) takes on its minimum value generically.

PROOF. For (a), choose bases in  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ , and use the dual bases in  $W_2$  and  $W_1$ ; as the matrix for  $T^*$  is the transpose of the matrix for  $T$ , the result follows. Next, (c) and (e) follow from (b) and (d), plus the familiar formula  $\text{Ker } T = (\text{Range } T^*)^\perp$  and Lemma 2.3.

So it suffices to prove (b) and (d). For (b), choose  $v_0$  such that  $T(v_0)$  has maximal rank; choose vectors  $w_1, \dots, w_k \in W_1$  such that  $\{T(v_0)w_j\}$  is a basis for  $\text{Range } T(v_0)$ . Then  $U = \{v = \{T(v)w_j: 1 \leq j \leq k\} \text{ is linearly independent}\}$  is Zariski-open, and for  $v \in U$ , the fields  $\varphi_j(v) = T(v)w_j$  span  $\text{Range } T(v)$  (since  $\text{Rank } T(v_0)$  is maximal). Thus  $\text{Range } T$  is rational. For (d), note that the set where  $\text{Rank } T$  is maximal is a union of sets like  $U$ .

3. In dealing with fields of subalgebras, we shall consider two situations: first, a fixed nilpotent Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{N}$ , along with a rational field  $\{\mathfrak{N}_v\}$  of subalgebras; and second, a fixed space  $V = \mathbf{R}^k$ , along with Lie algebra structures depending rationally on a parameter  $v \in E$ . (That is, the structure constants with respect to a fixed basis are rational functions of  $v$ .) It is convenient to subsume the first case under the second. Let  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$  be rational vector fields which (generically) give a basis for the  $\mathfrak{N}_v$ ; for generic  $v$ ,

$$[\varphi_i(v), \varphi_j(v)] = \sum_{h=1}^k c_{hij}(v)\varphi_h(v), \quad 1 \leq h, i, j \leq k,$$

where the  $c_{hij}$  are rational functions of  $v$ . Now fix a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  of  $\mathbf{R}^k$ , and define

$$[e_i, e_j]_v = \sum_{h=1}^k c_{hij}(v)e_h;$$

we obtain a rational field of Lie algebra structures on  $\mathbf{R}^k$  isomorphic (in an obvious sense) to the field  $\{\mathfrak{N}_v\}$ .

If  $\mathfrak{N}$  is a Lie algebra and  $\mathfrak{S}$  is an ideal, we define  $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathfrak{N}) = \{X \in \mathfrak{N}: [X, \mathfrak{N}] \subseteq \mathfrak{S}\}$ ; in particular,  $\mathfrak{B}_{(0)}(\mathfrak{N}) = \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{N})$ , the center of  $\mathfrak{N}$ . If  $l \in \mathfrak{N}^*$ , then we define

$$\mathfrak{R}_l = \text{radical of } B_l = \{X \in \mathfrak{N}: l([X, \mathfrak{N}]) = 0\},$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_l(\mathfrak{N}) = \mathfrak{S}_l = \mathfrak{S}_l^{(1)} = \text{ideal generated by } \mathfrak{R}_l,$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_l^{(j+1)} = \mathfrak{S}_{l \circ \mathfrak{S}_l^{(j)}}(\mathfrak{S}_l^{(j)}).$$

(See [5] for a discussion of the  $\mathfrak{S}^{(j)}$ .) We shall also write  $\mathfrak{R}(l)$ , etc., for typographical convenience.

3.1. THEOREM. *Let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be a nilpotent Lie algebra,  $\{\mathfrak{N}_v: v \in E\}$  a rational field of subalgebras,  $\{\mathfrak{S}_v: v \in E\}$  a rational field of subalgebras such that  $\mathfrak{S}_v$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{N}_v$  for generic  $v$ , and  $\{l_v: v \in E\}$  a rational field of elements of  $\mathfrak{N}^*$ . We regard  $l_v$  as restricted to  $\mathfrak{N}_v$ . Then the following fields are rational:*

- (a)  $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{S}_v}(\mathfrak{N}_v)$ ;
- (b)  $\mathfrak{R}_k (\subseteq \mathfrak{N}_v)$ ;
- (c)  $\mathfrak{S}_v^{(j)}, j = 1, 2, \dots$

PROOF. Let  $k$  be the generic dimension of  $\mathfrak{N}_\alpha$ ; let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbf{R}^k$ . As noted previously, we may regard the  $\mathfrak{N}_v$  as modeled on  $\mathbf{R}^k$ ; we may also assume that (generically)  $\mathfrak{S}_v = \text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_s)$ .

(a) Let  $[X, e_i]_v = \sum_{j=1}^k c_{ij}(v; X)e_j, X \in \mathbf{R}^k$ . Then  $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{S}_v}(\mathfrak{N}_v)$  is the kernel of the map  $T_v: \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{k(k-s)}$  defined by

$$T_v(X) = (c_{ij}(v; X): 1 \leq i \leq k, s < j \leq k).$$

(b)  $\mathfrak{R}_k$  is the kernel of  $S_v: \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ , defined by

$$S(X) = (l_v([e_1, X]_v), \dots, l_v([e_k, X]_v)).$$

In both (a) and (b), we may now apply Proposition 2.4.

(c) It suffices to prove the result for the case  $j = 1$ , and then to apply induction. We may assume that  $s = \dim \mathfrak{R}(l_v)$  is constant. Let  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_s$  be rational vector fields giving bases for generic  $v$ . Choose  $v_0$  with  $\dim \mathfrak{S}(l_{v_0})$  maximal, and choose indices  $i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_p$  ( $1 \leq p < r$ ), such that  $\{\varphi_1(v_0), \dots, \varphi_s(v_0), [e_{i_1}, \varphi_{j_1}(v_0)], \dots, [e_{i_p}, \varphi_{j_p}(v_0)]\}$  is a basis for  $\mathfrak{S}(l_{v_0})$ . Then the  $\varphi_j$  and  $\psi_p$  (where  $\psi_p(v) = [e_{i_p}, \varphi_{j_p}(v)]$ ) are rational vector fields giving a basis for  $\mathfrak{S}(l_v)$  for generic  $v$ .

Recall (from [5]; see also [3]) that for fixed  $v$ , the  $\mathfrak{S}_v^{(j)}$  are constant for large  $j$  (for  $j \geq \dim n$ , say); we denote this fixed algebra by  $\mathfrak{S}^\infty(l_v)$ . Its annihilator for the form  $B_l$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty(l_v)$ ;  $\mathfrak{S}^\infty(l_v)$  and  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty(l_v)$  are both subalgebras and  $\mathfrak{S}^\infty(l_v)$  is the radical of  $l_v | \mathfrak{R}^\infty(l_v)$ . Furthermore,  $\mathfrak{S}^\infty(l_v)$  is an ideal in  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty(l_v)$ , and any subalgebra of  $l_v | \mathfrak{R}^\infty(l_v)$  also polarizes  $l_v$  (on  $\mathfrak{N}_v$ ).

3.2. COROLLARY.  $\mathfrak{S}^\infty(l_v)$  and  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty(l_v)$  depend rationally on  $v$ .

PROOF. The first half is the case  $j = n$  of Theorem 3.1(c). For the second, let  $\{\varphi_j = 1 \leq j \leq r\}$  be a field of vectors which generically gives a basis for  $\mathfrak{S}^\infty(l_v)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty(l_v) = \ker T_v$ , where

$$T_v(X) = (l([ \varphi_1(v), X ]), \dots, l([ \varphi_r(v), X ])).$$

4. The main results of this paper are consequences of the following lemma.

4.1. LEMMA. Let  $\{\mathfrak{N}_v\}, \{\mathfrak{S}_v\}$  be rational fields of subalgebras of a nilpotent Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{N}$  such that  $\mathfrak{S}_v$  is (generically) an ideal of  $\mathfrak{N}_v$ ; let  $\{l_v\}$  be a rational field of elements of  $\mathfrak{N}^*$  such that  $\mathfrak{S}_v$  is (generically) the radical of  $B_l | \mathfrak{N}_v \times \mathfrak{N}_v$ . Then there is a rational field  $\{\mathfrak{M}_v\}$  of subalgebras such that (generically)  $\mathfrak{M}_v$  polarizes  $l_v | \mathfrak{N}_v$ .

PROOF. We may assume that the  $\mathfrak{N}_v$  all have the same dimension, as do the  $\mathfrak{S}_v$ ; let  $\dim(\mathfrak{N}_v) - \dim \mathfrak{S}_v = 2m$ . The proof is by induction on  $m$ , the case  $m = 0$  being trivial. If  $m > 0$ , we use Theorem 3.1(a) to pick a rational vector field  $\varphi$  such that

$$\varphi(l_v) \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{S}(l_v)}(\mathfrak{N}(l_v)), \quad \varphi(l_v) \notin \mathfrak{S}(l_v).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_1(l_0) = \text{span}(\mathfrak{S}(l_0), \varphi(l_0))$ , and let  $\mathfrak{N}_1(l_0) = \mathfrak{S}_1(l_0)^\perp$  (relative to  $B_{l_0}$  on  $\mathfrak{N}_0$ ). Then  $\mathfrak{S}_1(l_0) \triangleleft \mathfrak{N}(l_0)$ , and *a fortiori*  $\mathfrak{S}_1(l_0) \triangleleft \mathfrak{N}_1(l_0)$ ; also,  $\mathfrak{S}_1(l_0)$  is the radical of  $B_{l_0}|_{\mathfrak{N}_1(l_0)} \times \mathfrak{N}_1(l_0)$ , as a dimension-counting argument shows. Clearly  $\mathfrak{S}_1(l_0)$  is rational, and  $\mathfrak{N}_1(l_0)$  is rational by an argument like that used in Corollary 2.2. As  $\dim \mathfrak{N}_1(l_0) - \dim \mathfrak{S}_1(l_0) = 2(m - 1)$ , the induction is complete.

4.2. THEOREM. *Let  $n$  be a nilpotent Lie group. Then there is a rational field  $\{\mathfrak{M}_l; l \in \mathfrak{N}^*\}$  of subalgebras such that for all  $l$  in a certain Zariski-open set  $U \subseteq \mathfrak{N}^*$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}_l$  is polarizing for  $l$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(l) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_l \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_\infty(l)$ .*

PROOF. We let  $E = \mathfrak{N}^*$  in Corollary 3.2 and find that  $\mathfrak{S}^\infty(l)$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty(l)$  depend rationally on  $l$ . Now the theorem is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.1.

The Zariski-open set  $U$  in Theorem 4.2 is not  $\text{Ad}^*(N)$ -invariant, and the  $\mathfrak{M}_l$  are not covariant with respect to  $\text{Ad}$ . We may remedy these defects at the cost of a bit more work. It is implicit in the discussion from [6] cited previously (some further details are spelled out in [2]) that if the dimension of a generic  $\text{Ad}^*(N)$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{N}$  is  $\mathfrak{S}$ , then there is a subspace  $W$  of codimension  $\mathfrak{S}$  in  $\mathfrak{N}$  and a birational map  $F: W \times \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}$  such that

(1)  $W \cap U = U_0$  is a nonvoid Zariski-open subset of  $W$ ;

(2) for  $l \in U_0$ ,  $F(l \times \mathbf{R}^d) = \text{Ad}^*(N)l$ . Indeed, there is a birational map  $G: W \times \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow N$  such that for  $l \in U_0$ ,  $F(l, t) = \text{Ad}^*(G(l, t))l$ . Find rational  $\mathfrak{M}_{l_0}$  for  $l_0 \in U_0$  as in Theorem 4.2; for  $l = F(l_0, t)$ , define  $\mathfrak{M}_l = \text{Ad}(G(l, t))\mathfrak{M}_{l_0}$ . Set  $U_1 = F(U_0 \times \mathbf{R}^d)$ . We have thus proved

4.3. THEOREM. *Let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be a nilpotent Lie group. Then there are a Zariski-open subset  $U_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{N}^*$  and a rational field  $\{\mathfrak{M}_l; l \in \mathfrak{N}^*\}$  of subalgebras such that for all  $l \in U_1$ ,*

(a)  $\mathfrak{M}_l$  is polarizing for  $l$ , and  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(l) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_l \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_\infty(l)$ ,

(b) if  $l' = (\text{Ad}^*n)l$ ,  $n \in N$ , then  $l' \in U_1$ , and  $\mathfrak{M}_{l'} = (\text{Ad } n)\mathfrak{M}_l$ .

This theorem, and indeed all the results of this paper, hold equally for nilpotent algebraic groups over any field of characteristic 0.

4.4. EXAMPLE Let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be the  $4 \times 4$  upper triangular matrices with zeros on the diagonal, let  $E_{ij}$  have a 1 in the  $(i, j)$  place and zeros elsewhere, and define ideals

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{A}_0 &= (0), & \mathfrak{A}_1 &= \mathbf{R}E_{14}, & \mathfrak{A}_2 &= \mathfrak{A}_1 + \mathbf{R}E_{13}, & \mathfrak{A}_3 &= \mathfrak{A}_2 + \mathbf{R}E_{12}, \\ & & \mathfrak{A}_4 &= \mathfrak{A}_3 + \mathbf{R}E_{24}, & \mathfrak{A}_5 &= \mathfrak{A}_4 + \mathbf{R}E_{23}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the  $\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{A}_3$  are abelian ideals and will lie in the  $\mathfrak{M}_l$  described in [8]; for generic  $l$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(l) = \mathfrak{R}_\infty(l) = \mathbf{R}E_{13} + \mathbf{R}E_{14} + \mathbf{R}E_{23} + \mathbf{R}E_{24}$ .

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Ian Brown, *Dual topology of a nilpotent Lie group*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4<sup>e</sup> Série) **6** (1973), 407–411.
2. L. Corwin and F. P. Greenleaf, *Fourier transforms of smooth functions on certain nilpotent groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **37** (1980), 203–217.
3. L. Corwin, F. P. Greenleaf and R. Penney, *A canonical formula for the distribution kernels of primary projections in  $L^2$  of a nilmanifold*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **30** (1977), 355–372.
4. A. A. Kirillov, *Unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **17** (1962), 57–110.

5. R. Penney, *Canonical objects in the Kirillov theory of nilpotent Lie groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **66** (1977), 175–178.
6. L. Pukanszky, *Leçons sur les représentations des groupes*, Dunod, Paris, 1967.
7. C. Rockland, *Hypoellipticity on the Heisenberg group-representation-theoretic criteria*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **240** (1978), 1–52.
8. M. Vergne, *Construction de sous-algèbres subordonnées à un élément du dual d'une algèbre de Lie résoluble*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **270** (1970), A173–A175.
9. \_\_\_\_\_, *Construction de sous-algèbres subordonnées à un élément du dual d'une algèbre de Lie résoluble*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **270** (1970), A704–A707.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEW BRUNSWICK, NEW JERSEY 08903

COURANT INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10012