

## SPECTRAL MULTIPLICITY FOR TENSOR PRODUCTS OF NORMAL OPERATORS

EDWARD A. AZOFF<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** Two normal operators  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are constructed such that for any pair  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  of their respective multiplicity functions, the 'convolution'  $(m_1 * m_2)(\lambda) \equiv \sum \{m_1(\lambda_1) \cdot m_2(\lambda_2) | \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 = \lambda\}$  fails to be a multiplicity function for the tensor product  $N_1 \otimes N_2$ .

**Introduction.** All operators discussed in this paper will be normal operators acting on separable Hilbert spaces. Let  $N$  be such an operator and let  $\nu$  be one of its scalar spectral measures. The theory of spectral multiplicity associates with  $N$  a function  $m: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  such that the equivalence class  $[m]_\nu$  (i.e. the family of functions:  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  agreeing with  $m$  off a  $\nu$ -null set) provides a complete unitary invariant for  $N$ . In particular, the spectrum of  $N$  and the measure class of  $\nu$  are completely determined by  $[m]_\nu$ . On the other hand, it is only the equivalence class  $[m]_\nu$ , and not  $m$ , that is uniquely determined by  $N$ . It would be nice to choose 'canonical' representatives for these equivalence classes. For operators with countable spectra this is possible: we simply take  $m$  to be the unique representative of its equivalence class which is supported on the point spectrum of  $N$ .

If  $N_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) have countable spectra and the canonical multiplicity functions  $m_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are chosen as in the preceding paragraph, then the function  $m_1 * m_2$  defined by

$$(m_1 * m_2)(\lambda) \equiv \sum \{m_1(\lambda_1) \cdot m_2(\lambda_2) | \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 = \lambda\} \quad (1)$$

provides a multiplicity function for the tensor product  $N_1 \otimes N_2$ . In their paper [1], M. B. Abrahamse and T. L. Kriete constructed 'canonical' multiplicity functions for multiplication operators. They then asked whether formula (1) continues to provide a multiplicity function for the tensor product of multiplication operators with 'canonical' multiplicity functions  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  respectively. Example 2 of this note settles this question negatively. One might think that this could be rectified by changing the Abrahamse-Kriete multiplicity function. Example 3 of this note exhibits two multiplication operators  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  such that for every choice of multiplicity functions, equation (1) fails to provide a multiplicity function for  $N_1 \otimes N_2$ . This can be interpreted as meaning that there is, in general, no canonical way to choose representatives for multiplicity classes of normal operators.

---

Received by the editors November 12, 1979 and, in revised form, February 5, 1980.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47B15.

<sup>1</sup>The author acknowledges partial support by the National Science Foundation.

**Notation and preliminaries.** We recall the basic components of multiplicity theory for normal operators. For a fuller discussion, the reader should consult the introductory sections of [1] or [2].

Let  $N$  be a normal operator with spectral measure  $E$ . A measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  is said to be a *scalar spectral measure* for  $N$  in case  $E(S) = 0$  if and only if  $\nu(S) = 0$  for  $S$  a Borel set in  $\mathbb{C}$ . (All measures discussed in this note are completions of probability measures defined on complete separable metric spaces.) There exists a direct integral  $\int_{\mathbb{C}}^{\oplus} H(\lambda) d\nu$  of Hilbert spaces having  $\nu$  as a scalar spectral measure for  $N$  such that  $N$  is unitarily equivalent to the operator  $M$  on  $\int_{\mathbb{C}}^{\oplus} H(\lambda) d\nu$  defined by  $Mf(\lambda) = \lambda f(\lambda)$ . The function  $m: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  defined by  $m(\lambda) = \dim H(\lambda)$  is called a *multiplicity function* for  $N$ ; its equivalence class  $[m]$ , is called the *multiplicity class* of  $N$  and constitutes a complete unitary invariant for  $N$ .

Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a measure space. As usual, we write  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$  ( $L^2(\mu)$ ) for the collection of equivalence classes of bounded measurable (respectively square-integrable) complex-valued functions on  $X$ . Let  $\phi \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$ . Then the multiplication operator  $M_{\phi}$  is defined by  $M_{\phi}f = \phi f$  ( $f \in L^2(\mu)$ ). It is a bounded normal operator having  $\nu \equiv \mu \circ \phi^{-1}$  as a scalar spectral measure. The papers [1] and [2] address the problem of constructing multiplicity functions for such operators. We close this section by summarizing these results.

If  $\varphi$  is a Borel representative of  $\phi$ , we define  $m_{\varphi}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  by taking  $m_{\varphi}(\lambda)$  to be the cardinality of the set  $\varphi^{-1}(\lambda)$ . (All infinite cardinalities are identified.)

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $\phi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ , take  $M_{\phi}$  to be the corresponding multiplication operator, and set  $\nu = \mu \circ \phi^{-1}$ .*

(1) *There is a Borel representative  $\varphi_0$  of  $\phi$  such that  $m_{\varphi_0}$  is a multiplicity function for  $M_{\phi}$ .*

(2) *If  $\varphi$  is any Borel representative of  $\phi$ , then  $m_{\varphi}$  is  $\nu$ -measurable and  $m_{\varphi}(\lambda) \geq m_{\varphi_0}(\lambda)$  for  $\nu$ -almost all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

(3) *Given a Borel multiplicity function  $m$  for  $M_{\phi}$ , there is a Borel representative  $\varphi$  of  $\phi$  such that  $m_{\varphi}(\lambda) \leq m(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \neq 0$ .*

(4) *In order that  $M_{\phi}$  have uniform multiplicity one, it is necessary and sufficient that some (hence every) representative  $\varphi$  of  $\phi$  be one-to-one on a set of full  $\mu$ -measure.*

**PROOF.** Statements (1) and (2) constitute Theorem 4.1 of [2]; statement (4) is contained in Corollary 4.1 of that paper. To prove (3), choose  $\varphi_0$  as in (1), and set  $E = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} | m_{\varphi_0}(\lambda) > m(\lambda)\}$ . Since  $\nu(E) = 0$ , there is a Borel set  $F$  containing  $E$  with  $\nu(F) = 0$ . Set

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi_0(x) & \text{if } x \notin \varphi_0^{-1}(F), \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \varphi_0^{-1}(F). \end{cases}$$

For  $\lambda \neq 0$ , we have  $\varphi^{-1}(\lambda) \subseteq \varphi_0^{-1}(\lambda)$  so  $m_{\varphi}(\lambda) \leq m_{\varphi_0}(\lambda)$ . Moreover, if  $\lambda \in E \sim \{0\}$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}(\lambda) = \emptyset$  so  $m_{\varphi}(\lambda) = 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**REMARK.** If  $\nu$  is not totally atomic the Borel representative  $\varphi$  of (3) can be chosen to satisfy  $m_{\varphi} \equiv m$ .

Finally we recall the construction of the Abrahamse-Kriete multiplicity function [1] for  $M_\phi$ . Given  $\lambda \in \text{ess ran } \phi$ , a point  $x \in X$  is said to belong to the *essential preimage*,  $\phi_{\text{ess}}^{-1}(\lambda)$ , of  $\phi$  at  $\lambda$  in case

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(V \cap \phi^{-1}(B_\delta(\lambda)))}{\mu(\phi^{-1}(B_\delta(\lambda)))}$$

is strictly positive for every neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$ ; here  $B_\delta(\lambda)$  denotes the closed ball of radius  $\delta$  about  $\lambda$ . The function  $\bar{m}_\phi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  (or simply  $\bar{m}$  if  $\phi$  is understood) is defined as

$$\bar{m}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \text{cardinality of } \phi_{\text{ess}}^{-1}(\lambda) & \text{if } \lambda \in \text{ess ran } \phi, \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda \notin \text{ess ran } \phi. \end{cases}$$

It is shown in [1] that  $\bar{m}$  is a multiplicity function for  $M_\phi$ ; in the sequel, it will be referred to as the *Abrahamse-Kriete multiplicity function* for  $M_\phi$ .

**Noncanonicity of multiplicity functions.** Given functions  $m_i: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), we adopt equation (1) as the definition of  $m_1 * m_2$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  be normal operators having multiplicity functions  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  respectively. Let  $\nu$  be a scalar spectral measure for  $N_1 \otimes N_2$ , and suppose  $m$  is one of its multiplicity functions. Then  $(m_1 * m_2)(\lambda) > m(\lambda)$  for  $\nu$ -almost all  $\lambda$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\nu_i$  be a scalar spectral measure for  $N_i$ ; up to absolute continuity, the measure  $\nu$  is given by  $\nu(E) = (\nu_1 \times \nu_2)\{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \in E\}$ . By lowering the values of  $m_i$  on a  $\nu_i$ -null set, we can make  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  Borel measurable. Since this can only decrease the value of  $(m_1 * m_2)(\lambda)$ , we may as well assume  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are Borel to start with. Since every normal operator is unitarily equivalent to a multiplication operator, we may take  $N_i$  to be a multiplication operator  $M_{\phi_i}$  acting on a Hilbert space  $L^2(X_i, \mu_i)$ . Apply Proposition 1(3) to construct a Borel representative  $\varphi_i$  of  $\phi_i$  such that  $m_{\varphi_i}(\lambda) \leq m_i(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \neq 0$ , let  $\varphi: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\varphi(x_1, x_2) = \varphi_1(x_1) \cdot \varphi_2(x_2)$  and write  $\phi = [\varphi]_{\mu_1 \times \mu_2}$ . Then  $N_1 \otimes N_2$  is unitarily equivalent to  $M_\phi$  acting on  $L^2(X_1 \times X_2, \mu_1 \times \mu_2)$  and so  $m(\lambda) \leq m_\varphi(\lambda)$  for  $\nu$ -almost all  $\lambda$  by Proposition 1(2). But for  $\lambda \neq 0$ , we have  $m_\varphi(\lambda) = (m_{\varphi_1} * m_{\varphi_2})(\lambda) \leq (m_1 * m_2)(\lambda)$  so the proof is complete as long as  $\{0\}$  is not an atom for  $\nu$ . On the other hand, if  $\{0\}$  is an atom for  $\nu$ , then  $m(0) = \dim \ker(N_1 \otimes N_2)$  which is easily seen to be less than or equal to  $m_1 * m_2(0)$ .  $\square$

Proposition 2 means that  $m_1 * m_2$  can only fail to be a multiplicity function for  $N_1 \times N_2$  by being too large, i.e. by having the strict inequality  $(m_1 * m_2)(\lambda) > m(\lambda)$  hold on a set of  $\lambda$  which does not have  $\nu$  measure zero. The three examples which follow illustrate successively more pathological instances of this behavior.

In each of these examples,  $\mu_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is a measure supported on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$  is the identity function on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $\phi_i = [\varphi_i]_{\mu_i}$ , and  $N_i$  is the multiplication operator  $M_{\phi_i}$  acting on  $L^2([0, 1], \mu_i)$ . Thus  $N_1 \otimes N_2$  is unitarily equivalent to the multiplication operator  $M_{\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2}$  acting on  $L^2([0, 1] \times [0, 1], \mu_1 \times \mu_2)$ . It will be convenient to let  $p: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denote multiplication. Of course,  $\mu_i$  is a scalar spectral measure for  $N_i$  and  $\nu \equiv (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \circ p^{-1}$  provides a scalar spectral measure for  $N_1 \otimes N_2$ .

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$  be the point-mass measure based at 1, and let  $m_1 = m_2: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  be the constant function 1. Then  $m_i$  is a multiplicity function for  $N_i$ , but  $m_1 * m_2 \equiv \infty$  is not a multiplicity function for  $N_1 \otimes N_2 = I$ . On the other hand,  $\bar{m}_1 = \bar{m}_2$  equals the characteristic function of the singleton set  $\{1\}$ , so  $\bar{m}_1 * \bar{m}_2$  is a multiplicity function for  $N_1 \otimes N_2$ .

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $A_1 = \{e^{-q} | q > 0, q \in \mathbf{Q}\}$  and  $A_2 = \{e^{-q\sqrt{2}} | q > 0, q \in \mathbf{Q}\}$ . Let  $\mu_i$  be a totally atomic measure on  $[0, 1]$  having  $A_i$  as its set of atoms. Since  $A_i$  is dense in  $[0, 1]$ , the Abrahamse-Kriete multiplicity function  $\bar{m}_i$  turns out to be the characteristic function  $X_{[0,1]}$ . Thus  $(\bar{m}_1 * \bar{m}_2)(\lambda) = \infty$  for each  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . On the other hand,  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  is supported on  $A_1 \times A_2$  and  $p$  is one-to-one on  $A_1 \times A_2$ , so  $N_1 \otimes N_2$  has uniform multiplicity one. Thus  $\bar{m}_1 * \bar{m}_2$  is not a multiplicity function for  $N_1 \otimes N_2$ , and we have a counterexample to the conjecture in §7 of [1]. Note however that  $m_i \equiv X_{A_i}$  provides a multiplicity for  $N_i$  such that  $m_1 * m_2$  is a multiplicity function for  $N_1 \otimes N_2$ .

EXAMPLE 3. We construct measures  $\mu_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) such that for any choice  $m_i$  of multiplicity function for  $N_i$ ,  $m_1 * m_2$  fails to be a multiplicity function for  $N_1 \otimes N_2$ . We need the following lemma.

LEMMA. *There exist four uncountable, closed subsets  $A, B, C, D$  of  $[0, 1]$  with the following properties:*

- (1)  $p$  is one-to-one on  $(A \times D) \cup (B \times C) \cup (B \times D)$ .
- (2) For each  $b \in B$ , there is a unique  $d \in D$  such that  $b \cdot d \in A \cdot C$  and for each  $d \in D$ , there is a unique  $b \in B$ , such that  $b \cdot d \in A \cdot C$ .
- (3)  $A \cdot C \subseteq B \cdot D$ .

PROOF. For a member  $x \in [0, 10]$ , we let  $x_n$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) denote the  $n$ th digit in its decimal expansion. (Expansions ending in nines will not be considered.) Let  $A_0, B_0, C_0, D_0$  be the sets of numbers having the decimal expansions indicated in the following table.

|       | $x_0$ | $x_{2n-1}$ ( $n > 0$ ) | $x_{2n}$ ( $n > 0$ ) |
|-------|-------|------------------------|----------------------|
| $A_0$ | 1     | 0 or 1                 | 1                    |
| $B_0$ | 0     | 0                      | 0, 1, 3 or 4         |
| $C_0$ | 3     | 0 or 3                 | 3                    |
| $D_0$ | 4     | 0, 1, 3 or 4           | $4 - x_{2n-1}$       |

It is easy to check that  $A_0, B_0, C_0, D_0$  satisfy conditions analogous to (1), (2) and (3) of the lemma with addition in place of multiplication. Set  $A = \{e^{-x} | x \in A_0\}$ ,  $B = e^{-B_0}$ ,  $C = e^{-C_0}$ ,  $D = e^{-D_0}$ .  $\square$

By Theorem 8.1 of [3], we know that the sets  $A$  and  $C$  can be equipped with nonatomic measures  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  respectively. Define a measure  $\beta$  on  $B$  by setting  $\beta(S) = (\alpha \times \gamma)(p^{-1}(S \cdot D))$ . Similarly let  $\delta$  be defined on  $D$  by  $\delta(S) = (\alpha \times \gamma)(p^{-1}(B \cdot S))$ . Finally we take  $\mu_1 = (\alpha + \beta)/2$ ,  $\mu_2 = (\gamma + \delta)/2$ .

We first show that  $N_1 \otimes N_2$  has uniform multiplicity 1. To see this, let  $E = B \times D \cap p^{-1}(A \cdot C)$ . By (2) of the lemma, each section  $E_d \equiv \{b \in B | (b, d) \in E\}$  of  $E$  in a singleton, and hence has  $\mu_1$  measure zero. It follows by Fubini's theorem

that  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2(E) = 0$ . By (1) and (3) of the lemma,  $p$  is one-to-one on  $(A \cup B) \times (C \cup D) \sim E$ . Since  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  is supported on this set, we conclude by Proposition 1(4) that  $N_1 \otimes N_2$  has uniform multiplicity one.

Suppose next that  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are multiplicity functions for  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  respectively. Since  $\mu_i$  is a scalar spectral measure for  $N_i$ , there is a set  $X_i$  of full measure in  $[0, 1]$  on which  $m_i$  is identically one. If  $\lambda$  belongs to both of the sets  $(X_1 \cap A) \cdot (X_2 \cap C)$  and  $(X_1 \cap B) \cdot (X_2 \cap D) \cap A \cdot C$ , then  $(m_1 * m_2)(\lambda) > 2$ . We will show that these sets have full  $\nu$ -measure in  $A \cdot C$ . Now

$$\nu(A \cdot C) = (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(p^{-1}(A \cdot C)) = (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(A \times C \cup E) = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Thus we will know that  $(m_1 * m_2)(\lambda) > 1$  on a set of positive  $\nu$ -measure, so that in view of the preceding paragraph,  $m_1 * m_2$  cannot be a multiplicity function for  $N_1 \otimes N_2$ .

It remains to show that

$$\nu[(X_1 \cap A) \cdot (X_2 \cap C)] = \nu[(X_1 \cap B) \cdot (X_2 \cap D) \cap A \cdot C] = \frac{1}{4}.$$

The first set is easy:  $\nu((X_1 \cap A) \cdot (X_2 \cap C)) > (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)[(X_1 \cap A) \times (X_2 \cap C)] = \mu_1(A) \cdot \mu_2(C) = \frac{1}{4}$ . To handle the second set, we first note that if  $S \subseteq A \times C$ , then  $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(S) = \frac{1}{4}(\alpha \times \gamma)(S)$ . It follows that  $\nu[(B \sim X_1) \cdot D \cap A \cdot C] = \frac{1}{4}(\alpha \times \gamma)(p^{-1}[(B \sim X_1) \cdot D])$  which is by definition of  $\beta$  equal to  $\frac{1}{4}\beta(B \sim X_1)$ . Since  $X_1$  has full measure, we conclude that  $\nu[(B \sim X_1) \cdot D \cap A \cdot C] = 0$ . A similar argument shows that  $\nu[B \cdot (D \sim X_2) \cap A \cdot C] = 0$ . Thus

$$\nu[(X_1 \cap B) \cdot (X_2 \cap D) \cap A \cdot C] = \nu(B \cdot D \cap A \cdot C) = \nu(A \cdot C) = \frac{1}{4}$$

as desired.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. B. Abrahamse and T. L. Kriete, *The spectral multiplicity of a multiplication operator*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **22** (1973), 845–857.
2. E. Azoff and K. Clancey, *Spectral multiplicity for direct integrals of normal operators*, J. Operator Theory **3** (1980), 213–235.
3. K. R. Parthasarathy, *Probability measures on metric spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1967.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS, GEORGIA 30602