

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF THE STONE-WEIERSTRASS THEOREM

BRUNO BROSOWSKI AND FRANK DEUTSCH¹

ABSTRACT. An elementary proof of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem is given.

In this note we give an elementary proof of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem. The proof depends only on the *definitions* of compactness (“each open cover has a finite subcover”) and continuity (“the inverse images of open sets are open”), two simple consequences of these definitions (i.e. “a closed subset of a compact space is compact,” and “a positive continuous function on a compact set has a positive infimum”), and the elementary Bernoulli inequality:

$$(1 + h)^n > 1 + nh \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

if $h > -1$.

In the beautiful and elementary proof of the classical Weierstrass theorem given by Kuhn [1], it is observed that it suffices to be able to approximate, by polynomials, the step function which is 1 on the interval $[0, \frac{1}{2})$ and 0 on the interval $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ uniformly *outside* of each neighborhood of $\frac{1}{2}$. The main step in our proof (Lemma 2) is the general analogue of this. It shows that it suffices to be able to approximate, by elements of the subalgebra, a given “generalized step function” (i.e. a function which is 0 on a closed set and 1 off the set) uniformly on the closed set and off a neighborhood of this set.

It should be remarked that when our proof is specialized to the classical case of polynomials in $C[a, b]$, it is even “simpler” than Kuhn’s proof in the sense that no “change of variables” argument is necessary, nor is it necessary to appeal to the fact that continuous functions on $[a, b]$ are uniformly continuous. (Kuhn’s proof also used the Bernoulli inequality.)

In particular, it is perhaps worth emphasizing that, in contrast to many proofs of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, we do *not* appeal to any of the following facts:

- (a) the classical Weierstrass theorem (nor even the special case of uniformly approximating $f(t) = |t|$ on $[-1, 1]$ by polynomials);
- (b) that the closure of a subalgebra is a subalgebra;
- (c) that the closure of a subalgebra is a sublattice.

Let T be a compact topological space and $C(T)$ the set of all real-valued continuous functions on T . A neighborhood of a point in T is an open set which

Received by the editors July 10, 1979 and, in revised form, April 24, 1980.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46J30, 46E15; Secondary 41A30.

Key words and phrases. Stone-Weierstrass theorem, Weierstrass theorem, approximation by subalgebras, polynomial approximation.

¹On leave from the Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/81/0000-0019/\$02.00

contains the point. Let \mathfrak{A} be a subset of $C(T)$ with the properties:

- (i) x, y in \mathfrak{A} , α, β in \mathbf{R} implies $\alpha x + \beta y \in \mathfrak{A}$;
- (ii) x, y in \mathfrak{A} implies $x \cdot y \in \mathfrak{A}$;
- (iii) $1 \in \mathfrak{A}$;
- (iv) if t_1 and t_2 are distinct points in T , then there exists $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $x(t_1) \neq x(t_2)$.

In other words, \mathfrak{A} is a “subalgebra of $C(T)$ which contains constants and separates points.”

The Stone-Weierstrass theorem may be stated as follows. *If \mathfrak{A} is a subalgebra of $C(T)$ which contains constants and separates points, then the elements of $C(T)$ can be uniformly approximated by the elements of \mathfrak{A} .* That is, given $f \in C(T)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $g \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\sup_{t \in T} |f(t) - g(t)| < \epsilon$.

It is convenient to divide the proof into three steps. The essential step is Lemma 1. For brevity, the norm notation $\|x\| = \sup\{|x(t)| \mid t \in T\}$ will sometimes be used.

LEMMA 1. *Let $t_0 \in T$ and let U be a neighborhood of t_0 . Then there is a neighborhood $V = V(t_0)$ of t_0 , $V \subset U$, with the following property. For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that*

- (1) $0 < x(t) < 1, t \in T$;
- (2) $x(t) < \epsilon, t \in V$;
- (3) $x(t) > 1 - \epsilon, t \in T \setminus U$.

PROOF. For each $t \in T \setminus U$, the point separating property (iv) implies that there is a function $g_t \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $g_t(t) \neq g_t(t_0)$. Then the function $h_t = g_t - g_t(t_0) \cdot 1$ is in \mathfrak{A} and $h_t(t) \neq h_t(t_0) = 0$. The function $p_t = (1/\|h_t\|)h_t^2$ is in \mathfrak{A} , $p_t(t_0) = 0$, $p_t(t) > 0$, and $0 < p_t < 1$.

Let $U(t) = \{s \in T \mid p_t(s) > 0\}$. Then $U(t)$ is a neighborhood of t . By compactness of $T \setminus U$, there exist a finite number of points $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ in $T \setminus U$ such that $T \setminus U \subset \bigcup_1^m U(t_i)$. Let $p = (1/m)\sum_1^m p_{t_i}$. Then $p \in \mathfrak{A}$, $0 < p < 1$, $p(t_0) = 0$, and $p > 0$ on $T \setminus U$.

Again using the compactness of $T \setminus U$, there exists $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $p > \delta$ on $T \setminus U$. Let $V = \{t \in T \mid p(t) < \delta/2\}$. Then V is a neighborhood of t_0 and $V \subset U$.

Let k be the smallest integer which is greater than $1/\delta$. Then $k - 1 < 1/\delta$ which implies that $k < (1 + \delta)/\delta < 2/\delta$. Thus $1 < k\delta < 2$. Consider the functions q_n defined by

$$q_n(t) = [1 - p^n(t)]^{k^n} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Clearly, $q_n \in \mathfrak{A}$, $0 < q_n \leq 1$, and $q_n(t_0) = 1$. For each $t \in V$, $kp(t) < k\delta/2 < 1$ so that, by Bernoulli’s inequality,

$$q_n(t) \geq 1 - [kp(t)]^n > 1 - (k\delta/2)^n \rightarrow 1$$

uniformly on V . For $t \in T \setminus U$, $kp(t) > k\delta > 1$ and, using Bernoulli’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_n(t) &= \frac{1}{k^n p^n(t)} [1 - p^n(t)]^{k^n} k^n p^n(t) < \frac{1}{[kp(t)]^n} [1 - p^n(t)]^{k^n} [1 + k^n p^n(t)] \\
 &< \frac{1}{[kp(t)]^n} [1 - p^n(t)]^{k^n} [1 + p^n(t)]^{k^n} = \frac{1}{[kp(t)]^n} [1 - p^{2n}(t)]^{k^n} \\
 &< \frac{1}{(k\delta)^n} \rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

uniformly on $T \setminus U$.

Thus for n sufficiently large, the function q_n has the property that $0 < q_n < 1$, $q_n < \epsilon$ on $T \setminus U$, and $q_n > 1 - \epsilon$ on V . The result follows by taking $x = 1 - q_n$. \square

LEMMA 2. Let A and B be disjoint closed subsets of T . Then for each $0 < \epsilon < 1$, there exists $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that

- (1) $0 < x(t) < 1, t \in T$;
- (2) $x(t) < \epsilon, t \in A$;
- (3) $x(t) > 1 - \epsilon, t \in B$.

PROOF. Let $U = T \setminus B$. For each $t \in A$, choose the neighborhood $V(t)$ of t as in Lemma 1. Then there exists a finite set of points $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ in A such that $A \subset \cup_1^m V(t_i)$. By the choice of $V(t_i)$, there exist $x_i \in \mathfrak{A}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) with $0 < x_i < 1$, $x_i < \epsilon/m$ on $V(t_i)$, and $x_i > 1 - \epsilon/m$ on $T \setminus U = B$. Then the function $x = x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_m$ is in \mathfrak{A} , $0 < x < 1$, $x < \epsilon/m < \epsilon$ on $\cup_1^m V(t_i) \supset A$, and (using Bernoulli's inequality) $x > (1 - \epsilon/m)^m > 1 - \epsilon$ on B . \square

Finally, we turn to the proof of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem. Let $f \in C(T)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. To complete the proof, it suffices to show the existence of a $g \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$|f(t) - g(t)| < 2\epsilon, \quad t \in T. \tag{*}$$

By replacing f by $f + \|f\|$, we can assume that $f > 0$. We may also assume that $\epsilon < \frac{1}{3}$. Choose an integer n so that $(n - 1)\epsilon > \|f\|$. Define the sets A_j, B_j ($j = 0, 1, \dots, n$) by

$$A_j = \{t \in T | f(t) \leq (j - \frac{1}{3})\epsilon\}, \quad B_j = \{t \in T | f(t) > (j + \frac{1}{3})\epsilon\}.$$

Note that A_j and B_j are disjoint closed sets in T , $\emptyset = A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \dots \subset A_n = T$, and $B_0 \supset B_1 \supset \dots \supset B_n = \emptyset$. For each $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$, Lemma 2 implies that there is $x_j \in \mathfrak{A}$, with $0 < x_j \leq 1$, $x_j < \epsilon/n$ on A_j , and $x_j > 1 - \epsilon/n$ on B_j .

Then the function $g = \epsilon \sum_0^n x_i$ is in \mathfrak{A} . For any $t \in T$, we have $t \in A_j \setminus A_{j-1}$ for some $j \geq 1$ which implies that

$$(j - \frac{4}{3})\epsilon < f(t) \leq (j - \frac{1}{3})\epsilon \tag{**}$$

and

$$x_i(t) < \epsilon/n \quad \text{for every } i \geq j. \tag{***}$$

Also, $t \in B_j$ for every $i < j - 2$ which implies

$$x_i(t) > 1 - \epsilon/n \quad \text{for every } i < j - 2. \tag{****}$$

Using (***) , we obtain

$$g(t) = \varepsilon \sum_0^{j-1} x_i(t) + \varepsilon \sum_j^n x_i(t)$$

$$\leq j\varepsilon + \varepsilon(n-j+1)\varepsilon/n \leq j\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 < \left(j + \frac{1}{3}\right)\varepsilon.$$

Using (****) , we obtain for $j \geq 2$

$$g(t) \geq \varepsilon \sum_0^{j-2} x_i(t) \geq (j-1)\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon/n)$$

$$= (j-1)\varepsilon - ((j-1)/n)\varepsilon^2 > (j-1)\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2 > \left(j - \frac{4}{3}\right)\varepsilon.$$

The inequality $g(t) > (j - \frac{4}{3})\varepsilon$ is trivially true for $j = 1$. Thus

$$|f(t) - g(t)| \leq \left(j + \frac{1}{3}\right)\varepsilon - \left(j - \frac{4}{3}\right)\varepsilon < 2\varepsilon. \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

1. H. Kuhn, *Ein elementarer Beweis des Weierstrasschen Approximationsatzes*, Arch. der Math. (Basel) **15** (1964), 316–317.

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, J. W. GOETHE-UNIVERSITÄT FRANKFURT, ROBERT MAYER-STRASSE 6-10, D-6000 FRANKFURT, WEST GERMANY (Current address of Bruno Brosowski)

Current address (Frank Deutsch): Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802