

THE EISENBUD-EVANS
GENERALIZED PRINCIPAL IDEAL THEOREM
AND DETERMINANTAL IDEALS

WINFRIED BRUNS

ABSTRACT. In [2] Eisenbud and Evans gave an important generalization of Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem. However, their proof, using maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules, may have limited the validity of their theorem to a proper subclass of all local rings. (Hochster proved the existence of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules for local rings which contain a field, cf. [4]). In the first section we present a proof which is simpler and guarantees the Generalized Principal Ideal Theorem for all local rings. The main result of the second section was conjectured in [2]. Under a hypothesis typically being satisfied for the most important fitting invariant of a module, it improves the Eagon-Northcott bound [1] on the height of a determinantal ideal considerably. Finally we will discuss the implications of a recent theorem of Faltings [3] on determinantal ideals.

1. The Generalized Principal Ideal Theorem. We recall some notations from [2]. Let R be a commutative noetherian ring, and M a finitely generated R -module. The order ideal $M^*(x)$ of an element $x \in M$ is given by

$$M^*(x) := \{f(x) : f \in M^*\},$$

where M^* denotes the dual $\text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ of M . Since M is finitely presented, the formation of $M^*(x)$ commutes with flat ring extensions, in particular with localizations, completions, and the adjunction of indeterminates. The rank of M is the maximum of $\dim_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, \mathfrak{p} ranging over the minimal primes of R . For all unexplained notations and terminology we refer the reader to [7].

Theorem 1 below extends Theorem 1.1 of [2] to all (local) rings R . It was named "Generalized Principal Ideal Theorem" because one recovers Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem for elements $x_1, \dots, x_m \in R$ from it by specializing M to R^m and x to $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in R^m$. (Theorem 1 was called the "Eisenbud-Evans Principal Ideal Conjecture" in [5].)

THEOREM 1. *Let R be a noetherian ring, M a finitely generated R -module, and $x \in M$. If there is a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R with $x \in \mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then*

$$\text{ht } M^*(x) < \text{rank } M.$$

PROOF. It is enough to prove $\text{ht } M_q^*(x) < \text{rank } M_q$ for a prime ideal \mathfrak{q} of R (with $x \in \mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{q}}$): By the way rank M was defined, it cannot increase under localization, and $\text{ht } M^*(x) < \text{ht } M_q^*(x)$ simply because $(M^*(x))_{\mathfrak{q}} = M_q^*(x)$.

Received by the editors July 23, 1980 and, in revised form, November 6, 1980.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13C05.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/81/0000-0404/\$02.50

Let us first assume that there is a prime ideal \mathfrak{q} of R such that $M_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a free $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -module and $x \in \mathfrak{q}M_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Then $\text{ht } M_{\mathfrak{q}}^*(x) < \text{rank } M_{\mathfrak{q}}$ by Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem since $M_{\mathfrak{q}}^*$ is generated by rank $M_{\mathfrak{q}}$ elements.

In the general case we may assume that R is local with maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} . We may even suppose that R is a complete local ring, height and rank being stable under completion. Finally we can factor out a minimal prime ideal \mathfrak{q} of R for which $\text{ht } M^*(x) = \text{ht}(M^*(x) + \mathfrak{q})/\mathfrak{q}$, cf. [2]. So we only need to prove the theorem for universally catenarian local domains.

There are elements $e_1, \dots, e_m \in M$ such that $x = a_1e_1 + \dots + a_me_m$ with $a_i \in \mathfrak{p}$. Let S denote the localization of $R[T_1, \dots, T_m]$ with respect to the maximal ideal generated by \mathfrak{p} and the indeterminates T_1, \dots, T_m . The ideal

$$\mathfrak{r} := S(a_1 + T_1) + \dots + S(a_m + T_m)$$

is a prime ideal of S with $\mathfrak{r} \cap R = \{0\}$. Thus

$$(M \otimes S)_{\mathfrak{r}} = M_{(0)} \otimes S_{\mathfrak{r}}$$

is a free $S_{\mathfrak{r}}$ -module ($M_{(0)}$ denotes the localization of M with respect to the zero-ideal of R). The element

$$y := (a_1 + T_1)e_1 + \dots + (a_m + T_m)e_m$$

is contained in $\mathfrak{r}(M \otimes S)$. By what has been shown above, $\text{ht}(M \otimes S)^*(y) < \text{rank } M \otimes S = \text{rank } M$.

S is a catenarian ring. Consequently, there is a prime ideal \mathfrak{q} of S containing $(M \otimes S)^*(y)$ as well as T_1, \dots, T_m , such that $\text{ht } \mathfrak{q} < \text{rank } M + m$. Then \mathfrak{q} must also contain a minimal prime ideal $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}$ of $(M \otimes S)^*(x) = M^*(x)S$. All minimal prime ideals of $M^*(x)S$ are extended from prime ideals of R . Therefore

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{q}} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{q}} + ST_1 \subset \dots \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{q}} + ST_1 + \dots + ST_m$$

is a strictly ascending chain of prime ideals, whence

$$\text{ht } M^*(x) = \text{ht } M^*(x)S < \text{ht } \tilde{\mathfrak{q}} < \text{rank } M.$$

The depth (or grade) of an ideal \mathfrak{a} with respect to an (arbitrary) R -module N , i.e., the length of a maximal N -sequence contained in \mathfrak{a} , is bounded above by $\text{ht } \mathfrak{a}$. Therefore Theorem 1 implies the corresponding inequality for depth. Being immediate consequences of Theorem 2.1 of [2], Corollaries 1.2 and 1.3 of [2] become valid for all local rings.

2. Determinantal ideals. As above, let R be a commutative noetherian ring. The ideal generated by the determinants of the $t \times t$ submatrices of an $m \times n$ matrix φ over R is denoted by $I_t(\varphi)$ (with the usual conventions, $I_t(\varphi) = R$ for $t < 0$ and $I_t(\varphi) = 0$ for $t > \min(m, n)$). We define the k th fitting invariant $F_k(M)$ of a finitely generated R -module M [6] to be the ideal $I_{n-k}(\varphi)$ where φ represents a homomorphism $R^m \rightarrow R^n$ such that $M = \text{Coker } \varphi$. The fitting invariants determine the level sets of the (locally constant) function assigning to each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} the minimal number of generators of the $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$: $\mathfrak{p} \supset F_k(M)$ whenever $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ cannot be spanned by fewer than $k + 1$ elements.

Let us say that M has *f-rank* r if $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a free $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of constant rank r for all associated primes \mathfrak{p} of R . The *f-rank* of M is denoted by $\text{frk } M$. (This is the definition of rank proposed in [8].) In general, not every module has an *f-rank*. However, when R is an integral domain or M has a finite free resolution, then $\text{frk } M$ is defined, and, in the latter case, given by the Euler characteristic of a finite free resolution. The reader will check that $\text{frk } M = r$ if and only if $F_r(M)$ contains a nonzero divisor and $F_{r-1}(M) = 0$. Furthermore, in case $\text{frk } M = r$, a localization $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a free $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq F_r(M)$. This property renders $F_r(M)$ the most important of all fitting invariants and explains a great deal of our interest in a bound on $\text{ht } I_t(\varphi)$ under the condition $I_{t+1}(\varphi) = 0$.

The classical bound on the height of determinantal ideals was given by Eagon and Northcott in [1, Theorem 3]:

$$\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) \leq \text{EN}(m, n, t) := (m - t + 1)(n - t + 1)$$

for $t = 1, \dots, \min(m, n)$, regardless of any hypothesis on φ (except, of course, $I_t(\varphi) \neq R$). The “generic” case, in which φ is a matrix of indeterminates over the integers, demonstrates that the Eagon-Northcott bound is optimal in general. One then has $\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) = \text{EN}(m, n, t)$ and, hence, $\text{ht } I_t(\varphi)/I_{t+1}(\varphi) = m + n - 2t + 1$. The last equation presumably led Eisenbud and Evans to conjecture the following theorem [2, Conjecture 2.6]:

THEOREM 2. *Let R be a commutative noetherian ring, and φ an $m \times n$ matrix over R . If $I_t(\varphi) \neq R$ and $I_{t+1}(\varphi) = 0$, then*

$$\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) \leq m + n - 2t + 1.$$

PROOF. We use induction on t , and may restrict ourselves to complete local integral domains R and ideals $I_t(\varphi)$ primary to the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R . Let

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \dots & x_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{m1} & \dots & x_{mn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

If there is an $x_{ij} \notin \mathfrak{m}$, then one reduces the assertion to the case $t - 1$ by applying elementary row and column operations to φ . So we may assume that all $x_{ij} \in \mathfrak{m}$, and, by induction on n , that there is a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$ containing $I_t(\varphi')$, where

$$\varphi' = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \dots & x_{1n-1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{m1} & \dots & x_{mn-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We claim $\text{ht } I_t(\varphi') \leq n - t$. Consider φ as a map $R^m \rightarrow R^n$ and, correspondingly, φ' as a map $R^m \rightarrow R^{n-1}$. Let $M := \text{Coker } \varphi$ and $M' := \text{Coker } \varphi'$. M' is isomorphic to $M/R\bar{e}_n$, e_1, \dots, e_n denoting the elements of the canonical basis of R^n . Since $I_t(\varphi) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ needs exactly $n - t$ generators. So does $M'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ because $I_t(\varphi') \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Necessarily $\bar{e}_n \in \mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and $\text{ht } M^*(\bar{e}_n) \leq \text{rank } M = n - t$ by Theorem 1. Regarding

the determinantal relations of the columns of φ as elements of R^n which vanish on $\text{Im } \varphi$ (the submodule of R^n generated by the rows of φ) we conclude $I_t(\varphi) \subset M^*(\bar{e}_n)$, and obtain the claim.

In complete local domains the equation $\text{ht } \mathfrak{a} + \dim R/\mathfrak{a} = \dim R$ holds for all ideals \mathfrak{a} . Consequently Theorem 2 is settled once we have shown that $\dim R/I_t(\varphi) = \text{ht } I_t(\varphi)/I_t(\varphi) \leq m - t + 1$.

LEMMA. *Let R be a local ring, and φ an $m \times n$ matrix over R , whose last column consists of elements in the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R . Let φ' be the matrix formed by the first $n - 1$ columns of φ . If $I_t(\varphi') = 0$, then*

$$\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) \leq m - t + 1.$$

The lemma just extends Theorem 2.1 of [2] to all local rings. The following hint will enable the reader to prove it. Consider the transpose of φ and adjoin a column to it:

$$\tilde{\varphi} := \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{m1} & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ x_{1n-1} & \cdots & x_{mn-1} & 0 \\ x_{1n} & \cdots & x_{mn} & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now $\tilde{\varphi}$ and φ are related in the same way as φ and φ' in the proof of Theorem 2, and $\bar{e}_{m+1} = x_{1n}\bar{e}_1 + \cdots + x_{mn}\bar{e}_m \in \mathfrak{m}M$, the notations corresponding to those above.

COROLLARY 1. *Let R be as in Theorem 2, φ an $m \times n$ matrix over R , and ψ a $u \times v$ submatrix of φ such that all coefficients of φ outside ψ generate a proper ideal of R . If $I_t(\varphi) \neq R$, then*

$$\text{ht } I_t(\varphi)/I_{t+k}(\psi) \leq \text{EN}(m, n, t) - \text{EN}(u, v, t + k)$$

for all $k = 0, \dots, \min(u, v) - t + 1$.

PROOF. After the by now usual reduction to the case of a complete local domain, one applies Theorem 2 inductively to obtain the assertion in the case $\varphi = \psi$. Then one uses the lemma to complete the proof by induction on $(m + n) - (u + v)$.

Corollary 1, essentially predicted in [2], generalizes the Eagon-Northcott bound, to which it specializes for $\varphi = \psi$, $t + k = \min(m, n) + 1$. It does not say (in general): $\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) > \text{EN}(m, n, t)$ implies $\text{ht } I_{t+k}(\psi) > \text{EN}(u, v, t + k)$. The corresponding statement for $\dim R - \dim R/I_t(\varphi)$ and $\dim R - \dim R/I_{t+k}(\psi)$, however, is always true (cf. [2, proof of Corollary 2.4]). Again the reader should observe that the inequalities for height imply the corresponding inequalities for depth.

We now return to the interpretation of determinantal ideals as fitting invariants. For a closed subset A of $\text{Spec } R$ we put

$$\text{codim } A := \min\{\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p} \in A\}.$$

For every finitely generated R -module M

$$\text{Nf } M := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R : M_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is not a free } R_{\mathfrak{p}}\text{-module}\}$$

is a closed subset of $\text{Spec } R$ and consists of the prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \supset F_r(M)$ in case $\text{frk } M = r$, as was noted above.

COROLLARY 2. *Let R be as in Theorem 2, and M a finitely generated R -module with an f -rank. Let N be a second syzygy of M . If M is not free, then*

$$\text{codim Nf } M < \text{frk } M + \text{frk } N + 1.$$

PROOF. Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow R^m \xrightarrow{\varphi} R^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

and put $t := n - \text{frk } M$. Then $I_t(\varphi) \neq R$, $I_{t+1}(\varphi) = 0$, $\text{frk } N = m - t$, and the conclusion follows from Theorem 2.

It would be extremely interesting to construct modules over regular local rings for which the bound in Corollary 2 is attained. It is easy to write down examples with rank $N = 0$ (equivalently, $\text{proj dim } M = 1$), and rather nontrivial ones with rank $N = 1$ can be found in [9], but we know of no such modules with rank $M > 1$ and rank $N > 1$.

In our last corollary $\mu(N)$ shall denote the minimal number of generators of an R -module N .

COROLLARY 3. *Let R be as in Theorem 2, M a torsion-free R -module with an f -rank. Then*

$$\text{codim Nf } M < \mu(M) + \mu(M^*) - 2(\text{frk } M) + 1.$$

PROOF. Let $m := \mu(M)$, $n := \mu(M^*)$, and choose generators x_1, \dots, x_m of M and f_1, \dots, f_n of M^* . Let φ be the $m \times n$ matrix $(f_j(x_i))$. Then $\text{Im } \varphi = M/U$, where U is the kernel of the natural homomorphism $M \rightarrow M^{**}$. Since M has an f -rank, U is a torsion module and thus $U = 0$. It is easy to check that $\text{Nf } M = \text{Nf Coker } \varphi$, $\text{frk Coker } \varphi = \mu(M^*) - \text{frk } M$, and $\text{frk ker } \varphi = \mu(M) - \text{frk } M$. Now the conclusion follows at once from Corollary 2.

Theorem 3, which is a consequence of a theorem of Faltings [3], gives a better bound on $\text{ht } I_t(\varphi)$, provided R is regular and t is small compared to m or n .

THEOREM 3. *Let R be a regular local ring, and φ an $m \times n$ matrix over R . If $I_t(\varphi) \neq R$ and $I_{t+1}(\varphi) = 0$, then*

$$\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) < \max(n, m - t + 1).$$

PROOF. Localizing with respect to a minimal prime ideal of $I_t(\varphi)$, we may suppose $I_t(\varphi)$ primary to the maximal ideal of R . Regard φ as a map of $R^m \rightarrow R^n$, and put $M := \text{Coker } \varphi$. If $\dim R > n$, then by Satz 1 of [3], $n - t$ among the residues $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_n$ of the canonical basis of R^n , say, $\bar{e}_{t+1}, \dots, \bar{e}_n$, generate a free direct summand of rank $n - t$ in every localization $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, \mathfrak{p} nonmaximal. Therefore $M' := M/R\bar{e}_{t+1} + \dots + R\bar{e}_n$ has finite length. Now M' is isomorphic to $\text{Coker } \varphi'$, φ' consisting of the first t columns of φ . $I_t(\varphi')$ is again primary to the maximal ideal of R , hence $\dim R < m - t + 1$ by Theorem 2 (for the classical case of maximal minors).

Faltings gives his theorem in a more general setting. For complete local domains the inequality of Theorem 3 becomes

$$\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) < \max(m + \text{embdim } R - \dim R, n - t + 1),$$

$\text{embdim } R$ denoting the embedding dimension of R , i.e., the minimal number of generators of the maximal ideal of R . For the most general case cf. [3].

REFERENCES

1. J. A. Eagon and D. G. Northcott, *Ideals defined by matrices and a certain complex associated with them*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **269** (1962), 188–204.
2. D. Eisenbud and E. G. Evans, Jr., *A generalized principal ideal theorem*, Nagoya Math. J. **62** (1976), 41–53.
3. G. Faltings, *Ein Kriterium für vollständige Durchschnitte*, Invent. Math. **62** (1981), 383–402.
4. M. Hochster, *Deep local rings*, preprint, Aarhus, 1973.
5. _____, *Principal ideal theorems*, Ring Theory (Waterloo, 1978), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 734, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1979.
6. I. Kaplansky, *Commutative rings*, rev. ed., The University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London, 1974.
7. H. Matsumura, *Commutative algebra*, Benjamin, New York, 1970.
8. G. Scheja and U. Storch, *Differentielle Eigenschaften der Lokalisierungen analytischer Algebren*, Math. Ann. **197** (1972), 137–170.
9. U. Vetter, *Zu einem Satz von G. Trautmann über den Rang gewisser kohärenter analytischer Moduln*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **24** (1973), 158–161.

FACHBEREICH NATURWISSENSCHAFTEN/MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT OSNABRÜCK, ABTEILUNG VECHTA,
VECHTA, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY