

## TOTALLY REAL SUBMANIFOLDS IN A 6-SPHERE

NORIO EJIRI

**ABSTRACT.** A 6-dimensional sphere  $S^6$  has an almost complex structure induced by properties of Cayley algebra. We investigate 3-dimensional totally real submanifolds in  $S^6$  and classify 3-dimensional totally real submanifolds of constant sectional curvature.

**1. Introduction.** It is well known that a 6-dimensional (unit) sphere  $S^6$  admits an almost Hermitian structure, which is a typical example of *Tachibana manifold* or a *nearly Kaehler manifold*.

There are two typical classes among all submanifolds of an almost Hermitian manifold: The one is the class of almost Hermitian Submanifolds and the other is the class of totally real submanifolds.

A. Gray [3] proved that  $S^6$  has no 4-dimensional almost Hermitian submanifolds.

On the contrary,  $S^6$  admits totally real submanifolds.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following.

**THEOREM 1.** *A 3-dimensional totally real submanifold of  $S^6$  is orientable and minimal.*

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $M$  be a 3-dimensional totally real submanifold of constant curvature  $c$  in  $S^6$ . Then either  $c = 1$  (i.e.,  $M$  is totally geodesic) or  $c = 1/16$ .*

The latter case in Theorem 2 is locally equivalent to a minimal immersion  $S^3(1/16) \rightarrow S^6$  defined by spherical harmonics of degree 6 [1].

The author is grateful to Professor K. Ogiue for his useful criticism.

**2. Almost Hermitian structures on  $S^6$ .** Let  $e_1, \dots, e_7$  be the standard basis for  $R^7$ . Then the vector cross product in  $R^7$  is defined by the table for  $e_j \times e_k$ .

| $j/k$ | 1      | 2      | 3      | 4      | 5      | 6      | 7      |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1     | 0      | $e_3$  | $-e_2$ | $e_5$  | $-e_4$ | $e_7$  | $-e_6$ |
| 2     | $-e_3$ | 0      | $e_1$  | $e_6$  | $-e_7$ | $-e_4$ | $e_5$  |
| 3     | $e_2$  | $-e_1$ | $e$    | $-e_7$ | $-e_6$ | $e_5$  | $e_4$  |
| 4     | $-e_5$ | $-e_6$ | $e_7$  | 0      | $e_1$  | $e_2$  | $-e_3$ |
| 5     | $e_4$  | $e_7$  | $e_6$  | $-e_1$ | 0      | $-e_3$ | $-e_2$ |
| 6     | $-e_7$ | $e_4$  | $-e_5$ | $-e_2$ | $e_3$  | 0      | $e_1$  |
| 7     | $e_6$  | $-e_5$ | $-e_4$ | $e_3$  | $e_2$  | $-e_1$ | 0      |

Received by the editors January 26, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C40, 53C42; Secondary 58D10.

*Key words and phrases.* Cayley algebra, Tachibana space, nearly Kaehler manifold, totally real submanifold, Killing frame.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9939/81/0000-0569/\$02.25

We put  $S^6 = \{x \in R^7; \|x\| = 1\}$  and define an almost complex structure  $J$  on  $S^6$  by  $JA = x \times A$ , where  $x \in S^6$  and  $A \in T_x S^6$  (the tangent space of  $S^6$  at  $x$ ). It is easily seen that the Riemannian metric  $\bar{g}$  on  $S^6$  induced from  $R^7$  is a Hermitian metric with respect to  $J$ . We denote by  $\bar{\nabla}$  the covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian connection on  $S^6$ . Then we have the following (cf. for example [2]):

LEMMA 2.1.  $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)X = 0$  holds for all vector fields  $X$  on  $S^6$ .

An almost Hermitian manifold with this property is called a *Tachibana manifold* or a *nearly Kaehler manifold*.

We define a skew-symmetric tensor field  $G$  of type (1, 2) by

$$G(X, Y) = (\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y.$$

Then we have

LEMMA 2.2. (i)  $G(X, JY) = -JG(X, Y)$  and

(ii)  $(\bar{\nabla}_X G)(Y, Z) = \bar{g}(Y, JZ)X + \bar{g}(X, Z)JY - \bar{g}(X, Y)JZ$  hold for all vector fields  $X, Y, Z$  on  $S^6$ .

**3. 3-dimensional totally real submanifolds of  $S^6$ .** Let  $(M, g)$  be a 3-dimensional totally real submanifold of  $(S^6, J, \bar{g})$ . We denote by  $\nabla$  the covariant differentiation on  $M$ . Then the second fundamental form  $\sigma$  of the immersion is given by

$$(3.1) \quad (X, Y) = \bar{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y$$

for vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $M$ . For a normal vector field  $\xi$ , we denote by  $-A_\xi X$  and  $\nabla_X^\perp \xi$  the tangential and normal components of  $\bar{\nabla}_X \xi$  respectively so that

$$(3.2) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + \nabla_X^\perp \xi.$$

Then  $\sigma$  and  $A_\xi$  are related by  $g(\sigma(X, Y), \xi) = g(A_\xi X, Y)$ .

Let  $R$  and  $R^\perp$  be the curvature tensor of  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^\perp$ , respectively. Then the equations of Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci are given respectively by

$$(3.3) \quad g(R(X, Y)Z, W) = g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(X, W)g(Y, Z) + \bar{g}(\sigma(X, Z), \sigma(Y, W)) - \bar{g}(\sigma(X, W), \sigma(Y, Z)),$$

$$(3.4) \quad (\nabla'_X \sigma)(Y, Z) - (\nabla'_Y \sigma)(X, Z) = 0,$$

$$(3.5) \quad g(R^\perp(X, Y)\xi, \eta) - g([A_\xi, A_\eta]X, Y) = 0,$$

where  $(\nabla'_X \sigma)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X^\perp \sigma(Y, Z) - \sigma(\nabla_X Y, Z) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_X Z)$ .

**4. Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a 3-dimensional totally real submanifold of  $(S^6, J, \bar{g})$ . First of all, we shall prove the following.

LEMMA 4.1.  $G(X, Y)$  is normal to  $M$  for  $X, Y$  tangent to  $M$ .

PROOF. From (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$g((\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y, Z) = g(J\sigma(X, Z), Y) - g(J\sigma(X, Y), Z),$$

$$g((\bar{\nabla}_Y J)X, Y) = g(J\sigma(Z, Y), X) - g(J\sigma(Z, X), Y),$$

$$g((\bar{\nabla}_Y J)Z, X) = g(J\sigma(Y, X), Z) - g(J\sigma(Y, Z), X),$$

for  $X, Y, Z$  tangent to  $M$ . Since  $\bar{g}$  is Hermitian with respect to  $J$ ,  $\bar{\nabla}_X J$  is skew-symmetric with respect to  $\bar{g}$ . This, together with Lemma 2.1, implies that the left-hand sides of the above three equations are equal to each other. Therefore we have  $g((\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y, Z) = 0$ , which means  $G(X, Y)$  is orthogonal to  $M$ . Q.E.D.

By Lemma 2.2(i), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_X G)(JY, JZ) &= \bar{\nabla}_X G(JY, JZ) - G(\bar{\nabla}_X JY, JZ) - G(JY, \bar{\nabla}_X JZ) \\ &= -\bar{\nabla}_X G(Y, Z) - G((\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y, JZ) - G(J\bar{\nabla}_X Y, JZ) \\ &\quad - G(JY, (\bar{\nabla}_X J)Z) - G(JY, J\bar{\nabla}_X Z) \\ &\quad - \bar{\nabla}_X G(Y, Z) + JG(G(X, Y), Z) \\ &\quad + G(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, Z) + JG(Y, G(X, Z)) + G(Y, \bar{\nabla}_X Z) \\ &= -(\bar{\nabla}_X G)(Y, Z) + JG(G(X, Y), Z) + JG(Y, G(X, Z)) \end{aligned}$$

for  $X, Y, Z$  tangent to  $M$ . This, combined with Lemma 2.2(ii), implies

$$G(Y, G(Z, X)) + G(Z, G(X, Y)) = g(X, Y)Z - g(X, Z)Y$$

and hence  $G(X, G(Y, Z)) = g(X, Z)Y - g(X, Y)Z$  or equivalently

$$(4.1) \quad JG(X, JG(Y, Z)) = g(X, Z)Y - g(X, Y)Z$$

for  $X, Y, Z$  tangent to  $M$ . Since  $JG(X, Y)$  is tangent to  $M$  by Lemma 4.1, we see from (4.1) that

$$g(JG(X, Y), Y)X - g(JG(X, Y), X)Y = JG(JG(X, Y), JG(X, Y)) = 0.$$

Thus  $JG(X, Y)$  is orthogonal to  $X$  and  $Y$  if  $X$  and  $Y$  are linearly independent. This property, together with (4.1), implies that  $M$  is orientable, because the orientation can be defined by regarding  $JG(X, Y)$  as the vector product of  $X$  and  $Y$  at each point of  $M$ .

Next, we shall prove that  $M$  is minimal. It follows immediately from (3.1), (3.2) and Lemma 4.1 that

$$(4.2) \quad \nabla_X^\perp JY = G(X, Y) + J\nabla_X Y$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad A_{JX} = -J\sigma(X, Y)$$

hold for  $X, Y$  tangent to  $M$ . By (3.1), (3.2), (4.2), (4.3) and Lemma 2.2(i), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_X G)(Y, Z) &= \bar{\nabla}_X G(Y, Z) - G(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, Z) - G(Y, \bar{\nabla}_X Z) \\ &= -A_{G(Y, Z)}X + \nabla_X^\perp G(Y, Z) - G(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, Z) - G(Y, \bar{\nabla}_X Z) \\ &= J\sigma(JG(Y, Z), X) + JG(X, G(Y, Z)) - J(\nabla_X JG)(Y, Z) \\ &\quad - G(\sigma(X, Y), Z) - G(Y, \sigma(X, Z)) \end{aligned}$$

for  $X, Y, Z$  tangent to  $M$ . This, combined with Lemma 2.2(ii), implies

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X JG)(Y, Z) &= g(X, Y)Z - g(X, Z)Y + G(X, G(Y, Z)) + \sigma(X, JG(Y, Z)) \\ &\quad + JG(\sigma(X, Y), Z) + JG(Y, \sigma(Z, X)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the normal component, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \sigma(X, JG(Y, Z)) + JG(\sigma(X, Y), Z) + JG(Y, \sigma(Z, X)) = 0$$

for  $X, Y, Z$  tangent to  $M$ . Let  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  be a local field of orthonormal frames on  $M$ . Then we may assume without loss of generality that  $JG(e_1, e_2) = e_3, JG(e_2, e_3) = e_1$  and  $JG(e_3, e_1) = e_2$ . Hence we have from (4.4) that the trace of  $\sigma = 0$ , which implies that  $M$  is minimal.

**5. Proof of Theorem 2.** Let  $M$  be a 3-dimensional totally real submanifold of constant curvature  $c$  in  $S^6$ . Then the equation (3.3) of Gauss reduces to

$$(5.1) \quad (1 - c)\{g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(X, W)g(Y, Z)\} \\ + \bar{g}(\sigma(X, Z), \sigma(Y, W)) - \bar{g}(\sigma(X, W), \sigma(Y, Z)) = 0.$$

If  $c = 1$ , then  $M$  is totally geodesic. Therefore it is sufficient to consider the case  $c < 1$ .

Consider a cubic function  $f(X) = \bar{g}(\sigma(X, X), JX)$  defined on  $\{X \in T_x M; \|X\| = 1\}$ . If  $f$  attains its maximum at  $x$ , then  $\bar{g}(\sigma(X, X), JY) = 0$  for  $Y$  orthogonal to  $X$  and hence  $\sigma(X, X)$  is proportional to  $JX$ . Therefore, if  $f$  is constant,  $\sigma(X, X) = 0$  for all  $X$ , since  $M$  is minimal. Thus  $f$  is not constant, since we are considering the case where  $M$  is not totally geodesic.

Choose  $e_1$  to be the maximum point of  $f$  at each point  $x \in M$ . By the similar argument to the above, we see that  $f$  restricted to  $\{X \in T_x M; \|X\| = 1$  and  $g(X, e_1) = 0\}$  is not constant. Choose  $e_2$  to be the maximum point of  $f$  restricted to  $\{X \in T_x M; \|X\| = 1$  and  $g(X, e_1) = 0\}$  and choose  $e_3$  so that  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  form an orthonormal frame field. Then we easily see that

$$(5.2) \quad \bar{g}(\sigma(e_2, e_2), Je_3) = 0.$$

Put  $a_i = \bar{g}(\sigma(e_i, e_i), Je_1)$ . Then we have  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0$ , since  $M$  is minimal. We see that  $a_1 > 0$ , because  $a_1$  is the maximum value for the cubic function  $f$  and  $M$  is not totally geodesic. Moreover, from (5.1) we have  $1 - c + a_1 a_2 - a_2^2 = 0$  and  $1 - c + a_1 a_3 - a_3^2 = 0$ , since (4.3) implies that  $\bar{g}(\sigma(X, Y), JZ)$  is symmetric in  $X, Y, Z$ . Therefore we get

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (2\sqrt{(1-c)/3}, -\sqrt{(1-c)/3}, -\sqrt{(1-c)/3}),$$

which implies that

$$(5.3) \quad \sigma(e_1, e_1) = 2\sqrt{(1-c)/3} Je_1$$

and

$$(5.4) \quad \bar{g}(\sigma(X, X), Je_1) = -\sqrt{(1-c)/3}$$

for a unit vector  $X$  orthogonal to  $e_1$ . In particular, putting  $X = (e_2 + e_3)/\sqrt{2}$ , we obtain

$$(5.5) \quad \bar{g}(\sigma(e_2, e_3), Je_1) = 0.$$

In consideration of (5.2), (5.3), (5.4), (5.5) and minimality of  $M$ , we may put  $\sigma(e_2, e_2) = -\sqrt{(1-c)/3} Je_1 + \lambda Je_2$ ,  $\sigma(e_3, e_3) = -\sqrt{(1-c)/3} Je_1 - \lambda e_2$ ,  $\sigma(e_2, e_3) = -\lambda Je_3$ . Putting  $X = W = e_2$  and  $Y = Z = e_3$  in (5.1), we obtain

$\lambda = \sqrt{2(1 - c)/3}$  . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma(e_2, e_2) &= -\sqrt{(1 - c)/3} J e_1 + \sqrt{2(1 - c)/3} J e_2, \\
 \sigma(e_3, e_3) &= -\sqrt{(1 - c)/3} J e_1 - \sqrt{2(1 - c)/3} J e_2, \\
 \sigma(e_2, e_3) &= -\sqrt{2(1 - c)/3} J e_3,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5.6}$$

which, together with (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5), implies

$$\sigma(e_1, e_2) = -\sqrt{(1 - c)/3} J e_2, \quad \sigma(e_1, e_3) = -\sqrt{(1 - c)/3} J e_3.
 \tag{5.7}$$

Applying the equation (3.4) of Codazzi to (5.3), (5.6) and (5.7), we obtain  $\nabla_{e_i} e_i = 0$ ,  $\nabla_{e_1} e_2 = -\nabla_{e_2} e_1 = -\frac{1}{4} e_3$ ,  $\nabla_{e_1} e_3 = -\nabla_{e_3} e_1 = \frac{1}{4} e_2$ ,  $\nabla_{e_2} e_3 = -\nabla_{e_3} e_2 = -\frac{1}{4} e_1$ . Therefore we have  $R(e_1, e_2)e_1 = 1/16e_2$  and hence  $c = 1/16$ .

**6. Remarks.**

REMARK 1. Let  $M$  be a 3-dimensional totally real submanifold of  $S^6$  and  $\sigma$  its second fundamental form. If we put  $\tau = -J\sigma$ , then  $\tau$  is a symmetric tensor field of type (1, 2) on  $M$  and the equations of Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci can be written in terms of the intrinsic tensor field  $\tau$ . By identifying the tangent bundle of  $M$  with the normal bundle, we can state the fundamental theorem in terms of intrinsic language of  $M$ . In particular, using a Killing frame  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  on  $S^3(1/16)$  (cf. for example [5]), we can give a minimal immersion of  $S^3(1/16)$  into  $S^6$  as a totally real submanifold.

REMARK 2. From Moore's theorem [4], we know that the minimum number  $l$  for which  $S^3(c)$  can admit a (nontotally geodesic) minimal immersion into  $S^l$  is 6. This gives a counterexample for a problem in [1, p. 44].

REFERENCES

1. M. doCarmo and N. R. Wallach, *Minimal immersions of spheres into spheres*, Ann. of Math. (2) **95** (1971), 43-62.
2. A. Gray, *Minimal varieties and almost Hermitian submanifold*, Michigan Math. J. **12** (1965), 273-285.
3. \_\_\_\_\_, *Almost complex submanifolds in the six sphere*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1969), 277-279.
4. J. D. Moore, *Isometric immersions of space forms into space forms*, Pacific J. Math. **40** (1972), 157-166.
5. S. Tanno, *Orthonormal frames on 3-dimensional Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **11** (1976), 467-474.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, TOKYO, JAPAN