

## CONVERGING FACTORS FOR CONTINUED FRACTIONS

$$K(a_n/1), \quad a_n \rightarrow 0$$

JOHN GILL

**ABSTRACT.** Converging factors for continued fractions  $K(a_n/1)$  are used to enhance convergence either by accelerating the convergence process or by altering the region of convergence if the  $a_n$ 's are functions of a complex variable. The first results concerning the use of converging factors to accelerate convergence in the important case  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  are presented in this paper.

The approximants,  $A_n/B_n$ , of the continued fraction

$$(1) \quad \frac{a_1}{1} + \frac{a_2}{1} + \cdots + \frac{a_n}{1} + \cdots,$$

where each  $a_n$  is a nonzero complex number, can be generated in the following way.

Let  $t_n(z) = a_n/(1+z)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $T_1(z) = t_1(z)$ ,  $T_n(z) = T_{n-1}(t_n(z))$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $A_n/B_n = T_n(0)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Let us assume that (1) converges, i.e.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(0) = T$  exists.

Complex numbers  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots$  are called *converging factors* of (1) provided

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(\mu_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(0) = T.$$

For limit-periodic continued fractions (1) (i.e.,  $a_n \rightarrow a$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), something is known about converging factors that accelerate convergence. See, e.g., [2, 3, 6, and 7]. However, these investigations are restricted to the case  $a \neq 0$ . In this paper the case  $a = 0$  is considered.

The following theorem [2] is a generalization of a theorem appearing in [4] and is basic to the study of converging factors of limit-periodic fractions. Set  $a_n = \alpha_n(\alpha_n + 1)$ , where  $|\alpha_n| < |\alpha_n + 1|$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a = \alpha(\alpha + 1)$ , where  $|\alpha| < |\alpha + 1|$ . The imposed conditions established by the inequalities imply  $a_n \neq -\frac{1}{4}$ , and  $a \neq -\frac{1}{4}$ .

**THEOREM 1.**

$$\underline{\lim} |\mu_n - (\alpha + 1)| > 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(\mu_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(0).$$

In [3] the author developed a geometrical approach to the use of converging factors of the form  $\mu_n \equiv \alpha$  for accelerating convergence of certain limit-periodic fractions. The elementary techniques involved gave fairly accurate truncation error estimates. Waadeland, in essence, employed  $\mu_n \equiv \alpha$  in his study of limit-periodic  $T$ -fractions [7]. More recently, Thron and Waadeland [6] reported the far more general result that follows.

Received by the editors March 2, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 40A15.

© 1982 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9939/82/0000-0019/\$02.00

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $a_n \rightarrow a \neq 0$ ,  $|\arg(a + \frac{1}{4})| < \pi$ . Assume that, for all  $n > 1$ ,  $|a_n - a| < \min\{\frac{1}{2}(|a + \frac{1}{4}| + \frac{1}{4} - |a|), |a|/2\}$ . Set  $d_n = \max_{m>n} |a_m - a|$ . Then

$$\left| \frac{T - T_n(\alpha)}{T - T_n(0)} \right| < 2d_n \frac{|a| + |\frac{1}{2} + a + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + a}|}{|a|(\frac{1}{4} + |\frac{1}{4} + a| - |a|)}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + a}) > 0.$$

Set

$$T_k^{(n)} = \frac{a_{n+1}}{1} + \frac{a_{n+2}}{1} + \dots + \frac{a_{n+k}}{1}, \quad n > 0, k > 1,$$

and  $T^{(n)} = \operatorname{Lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} T_k^{(n)}$ ,  $n > 0$ , provided these limits exist. Let  $T = T^{(0)}$ . Then  $T^{(n)}$  is the "tail end" of (1), and it is natural to use  $\alpha$  as a constant converging factor, since  $T^{(n)} \rightarrow \alpha$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . See, e.g., [5, p. 286].

However, this very convenient factor fails to be of any value if  $a = 0$  ( $\alpha = 0$ ), for we then have merely the traditional approximants of (1). Under certain circumstances the converging factors  $\mu_n = \alpha_{n+1}$ ,  $n > 1$ , accelerate convergence in this special case. The use of the  $\alpha_n$  notation instead of the  $a_n$  notation facilitates progress in this direction, since the  $\alpha_n$ 's are the *attractive fixed points* of the  $t_n$ 's [1, pp. 6-21] and the geometrical approach to the convergence behavior of (1) initiated by this concept has proven to be of value in the past [3].

A "reluctant" convergence of  $\{\alpha_n\}$  to 0 sets the stage for the advantageous use of these converging factors. Here  $\alpha_n = -\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + a_n}$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + a_n} > 0$ .

**THEOREM 3.** If (i)  $\max_{m>n} |\alpha_m - \alpha_{m+1}| < \epsilon_n |\alpha_{n+1}|$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $0 < \epsilon_n < 1$ , and (ii)  $0 < |\alpha_m| < \sigma_n < \frac{1}{5}$ ,  $m > n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , are satisfied, then

$$|T_n(\alpha_{n+1}) - T| < \frac{\sigma_n \epsilon_n}{(1 - 5\sigma_n)^2} \cdot |T_n(0) - T|$$

where  $\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = 0$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $h_1 = 1$ ,

$$h_n = 1 + \frac{a_n}{1} + \frac{a_{n-1}}{1} + \dots + \frac{a_2}{1}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

The following equation is easily obtained (see [6]).

$$(2) \quad \left| \frac{T - T_n(\alpha_{n+1})}{T - T_n(0)} \right| = \left| \frac{T^{(n)} - \alpha_{n+1}}{T^{(n)}} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{h_n}{h_n + \alpha_{n+1}} \right|.$$

Let us first consider the expression  $|T^{(n)} - \alpha_{n+1}|$  in (2). Set  $\rho_{m-1} = T^{(m-1)} - \alpha_m$ ,  $d_m = |\alpha_{m+1} + 1| - |\alpha_m|$ ,  $f_m = |\alpha_m(\alpha_m - \alpha_{m+1})|$ ,  $D_n = \min_{m>n} d_m$ , and  $F_n = \max_{m>n} f_m$ ,  $m > 1$ ,  $n > 1$ . Then

$$(3) \quad |\rho_{m-1}| = \left| \frac{\alpha_m(\alpha_m + 1)}{1 + T^{(m)}} - \alpha_m \right| < \frac{|\alpha_m|(|\alpha_m - \alpha_{m+1}| + |\rho_m|)}{|1 + \alpha_{m+1}| - |\rho_m|}.$$

As in [6], we wish to find  $R_n > 0$  such that  $|\rho_m| < R_n$  for  $m > n$ . Assuming  $|\rho_m| < R_n$  in (3), we have

$$|\rho_{m-1}| < \frac{|\alpha_m|(|\alpha_m - \alpha_{m+1}| + R_n)}{|1 + \alpha_{m+1}| - R_n}.$$

The expression on the right is  $< R_n$  provided  $f_m < d_m R_n - R_n^2$ . Since  $f_m < F_n$  and  $D_n < d_m$  for  $m > n$ ,  $f_m < d_m R_n - R_n^2$  if  $F_n < D_n R_n - R_n^2$ . This last inequality is satisfied if  $R_n = F_n D_n / (D_n^2 - 2F_n)$ , as can be routinely verified by showing that  $|\alpha_n| < \frac{1}{5}$  implies  $4F_n < D_n^2$ .

Now,  $\text{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{(n)} = 0$  and  $\text{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n+1} = 0$  imply  $\text{Lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \rho_m = 0$ . Hence, there exists  $k > 0$  for fixed  $m$  and  $n$  ( $m > n$ ) such that  $|\rho_{m+k}| < R_n$ . Then  $|\rho_{m-1}| < R_n$ ; i.e.,  $|T^{(m-1)} - \alpha_m| < R_n, m > n$ .

Turning now to the first factor in the right side of (2),

$$\left| \frac{T^{(n)} - \alpha_{n+1}}{T^{(n)}} \right| = \frac{1}{\left| \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{T^{(n)} - \alpha_{n+1}} + 1 \right|} < \frac{1}{\left| \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{T^{(n)} - \alpha_{n+1}} \right| - 1},$$

we see that

$$\left| \frac{T^{(n)} - \alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_{n+1}} \right| < \frac{R_n}{|\alpha_{n+1}|} < \frac{\max_{m > n} |\alpha_m - \alpha_{m+1}|}{|\alpha_{n+1}|} \cdot \max_{m > n} |\alpha_m| \cdot \frac{D_n}{D_n^2 - 2F_n} < \epsilon_n \sigma_n \cdot \frac{1}{1 - 4\sigma_n},$$

since  $1 - 2\sigma_n < D_n < 1$  and  $F_n < 2\sigma_n^2$ . Therefore,

$$(4) \quad \left| \frac{T^{(n)} - \alpha_{n+1}}{T^{(n)}} \right| < \frac{\epsilon_n \sigma_n}{1 - 5\sigma_n}.$$

Inverting the second factor in (2),

$$(5) \quad \left| \frac{h_n + \alpha_{n+1}}{h_n} \right| > 1 - \frac{|\alpha_{n+1}|}{|h_n|} > 1 - 2|\alpha_{n+1}| > 1 - 2\sigma_n,$$

since  $|h_n| > \frac{1}{2}$  in the Worpitzky circle,  $\alpha_n \in \{z: |z| < \frac{1}{4}\}$  [8, p. 60], and condition (ii) implies  $|\alpha_n| < \frac{1}{4}$ . Combining (4) and (5) gives the conclusion of Theorem 3.

EXAMPLE. Let  $|\alpha_1| < 10^{-3}$  and  $\alpha_n = (.52)^{n-1} \alpha_1$  for  $n > 2$ . Then  $\epsilon_n < 9.3 \times 10^{-1}$  and  $\sigma_n = (.52)^{n-1} \times 10^{-3}$  for  $n > 2$ . Theorem 3 gives, e.g.,

$$|T_2(\alpha_3) - T| < 4.9 \times 10^{-4} |T_2(0) - T|$$

and

$$|T_{10}(\alpha_{11}) - T| < 2.6 \times 10^{-6} |T_{10}(0) - T|.$$

In general, if the  $\alpha_n$ 's are quite small, then an improvement on the order of magnitude of  $|\alpha_1|$  occurs in the first calculation.

REFERENCES

1. L. Ford, *Automorphic functions*, 2nd ed., Chelsea, New York, 1951.
2. J. Gill, *Modifying factors for sequences of linear fractional transformations*, Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. (Trondheim) 1978, no. 3.
3. J. Gill, *The use of attractive fixed points in accelerating the convergence of limit periodic continued fractions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 47 (1975), 119-126.
4. A. Magnus and M. Mandel, *On convergence of sequences of linear fractional transformations*, Math. Z. 115 (1970), 11-17.

5. O. Perron, *Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen*. Band 2, 3rd ed., Teubner, Stuttgart, 1957.
6. W. Thron and H. Waadeland, *Accelerating convergence of limit periodic continued fractions  $K(a_n/1)$* , *Numer. Math.* **34** (1980), 155–170.
7. H. Waadeland, *A convergence property of certain  $T$ -fraction expansions*, *Norske. Vid. Selsk. Skr. (Trondheim)* **1966**, no. 9.
8. H. Wall, *Analytic theory of continued fractions*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1948.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN COLORADO, PUEBLO, COLORADO 81001