

SUBNORMALS IN C^* -ALGEBRAS

F. H. SZAFRANIEC

ABSTRACT. We prove, in a C^* -algebra set-up, the Bram improvement of Halmos' characterization of subnormals: (1) \Rightarrow (2).

In what is the basic paper on subnormal operators [1], Bram proved that if S is a Hilbert space operator such that

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^n (S^{*i}S^j f_i, f_j) \geq 0, \quad f_0, \dots, f_n \in H,$$

then

$$(0) \quad \sum_{i,j=0}^n (S^{*i+1}S^{j+1} f_i, f_j) \leq \|S\|^2 \sum_{i,j=0}^n (S^{*i}S^j f_i, f_j), \quad f_0, \dots, f_n \in H.$$

This simplifies Halmos' characterization of subnormal operators.

In his recent paper [2] Bunce refers to an element s in a unital C^* -algebra A as *subnormal* if

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i,j=0}^n a_j^* s^{*i} s^j a_i \geq 0, \quad a_0, \dots, a_n \in A.$$

It is required for s to satisfy an inequality like (0) that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i,j=0}^n a_j^* s^{*i+1} s^{j+1} a_i \leq \|s\|^2 \sum_{i,j=0}^n a_j^* s^{*i} s^j a_i, \quad a_0, \dots, a_n \in A.$$

Then (p. 106) he writes "there should be a direct C^* -algebraic proof that (1) implies (2) without using Theorem 1 of [1] but we have been unable to find such a proof." Here we want to give such a proof adopting arguments of [3] and [4].

Take a positive linear functional φ on A and define $\omega(a, b) = \varphi(\sum_{i,j} b_j^* s^{*i} s^j a_i)$ where $a = \{a_i\}$, $b = \{b_i\}$ are finitely nonzero sequences of elements of A . Denote by X the linear space of such sequences. ω is a positive definite (hermitian) bilinear form on $X \times X$. Thus we have the Schwarz inequality $|\omega(a, b)|^2 \leq \omega(a, a)\omega(b, b)$.

Received by the editors January 23, 1981 and, in revised form, April 17, 1981.
 1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46L05; Secondary 47C15.

©1982 American Mathematical Society
 0002-9939/81/0000-1047/\$01.50

Denoting the element $\{0, 0, \dots, s^k a_0, s^k a_1, \dots\}$ (where the first k coordinates are zero) of X by \tilde{a} , the Schwarz inequality gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\varphi \left(\sum_{i,j=0} a_j^* s^{*i+k} s^{j+k} a_i \right) \right)^2 &= \left(\varphi \left(\sum_{j=0, i=k} a_j^* s^{*i} s^j (s^k a_{i-k}) \right) \right)^2 \\ &= |\omega(\tilde{a}, a)|^2 \leq \omega(a, a) \omega(\tilde{a}, \tilde{a}) \\ &= \varphi \left(\sum_{i,j=0} a_j^* s^{*i} s^j a_i \right) \varphi \left(\sum_{i,j=0} a_j^* s^{*i+2k} s^{j+2k} a_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using this and a mathematical induction we have

$$\left(\varphi \left(\sum_{i,j} a_j^* s^{*i+1} s^{j+1} a_i \right) \right)^{2^k} \leq \left(\varphi \left(\sum_{i,j} a_j^* s^{*i} s^j a_i \right) \right)^{2^{k-1}} \cdot \varphi \left(\sum_{i,j} a_j^* s^{*i+2k} s^{j+2k} a_i \right)$$

and consequently

$$\left(\varphi \left(\sum_{i,j} a_j^* s^{*i+1} s^{j+1} a_i \right) \right)^{2^k} \leq \left(\varphi \left(\sum_{i,j} a_j^* s^{*i} s^j a_i \right) \right)^{2^{k-1}} \|\varphi\| \left(\sum_i \|a_i\| \|s^i\| \right)^2 \|s\|^{2^{k+1}}.$$

Taking the 2^k th root and passing to the limit we get

$$\varphi \left(\sum_{i,j=0}^n a_j^* s^{*i+1} s^{j+1} a_i \right) \leq \|s\|^2 \varphi \left(\sum_{i,j=0}^n a_j^* s^{*i} s^j a_i \right).$$

Since φ is arbitrary, in conclusion, we establish (2).

REFERENCES

1. J. Bram, *Subnormal operators*, Duke Math. J. **22** (1955), 75–94.
2. J. W. Bunce, *A universal diagram property of minimal normal extensions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1978), 103–108.
3. F. H. Szafraniec, *On the boundedness condition involved in a general dilation theory*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **24** (1976), 877–881.
4. _____, *Dilations on involution semigroups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **66** (1977), 30–32.

UNIwersytet Jagielloński, Instytut Matematyki, ul. Reymonta 4, 30059 Kraków, Poland