

MAXIMAL EXTENSIONS OF FIRST-COUNTABLE SPACES

TOSHIJI TERADA AND JUN TERASAWA

ABSTRACT. A first-countable space is called maximal if it is not contained as a dense subspace in a first-countable space properly. The following are shown; (1) every locally compact, first-countable space is a dense subspace of a maximal space, (2) every metrizable space is a dense subspace of a maximal space, and (3) there is a first-countable space which is not a dense subspace of any maximal space.

All spaces in this paper are Tychonoff unless otherwise specified.

A space which contains a space X as a dense subspace is called an extension of X . Let us call a first-countable space *maximal*, or, more precisely, *maximal with respect to first-countability*, if it has no proper, first-countable extension. According to [S, Theorem 2.9], a first-countable space is maximal if and only if it is pseudocompact. (Note that our maximal spaces are identical to Stephenson's first countable- and completely regular-closed spaces.) Hence, if a first-countable space X has first-countable compactification Y , then Y is a maximal extension of X . On the other hand, even if X does not have a first-countable compactification, it can still have a maximal extension.

Here, we are concerned with two questions. Namely, which first-countable spaces have maximal extensions, and whether all first-countable spaces have maximal extensions.

In §§1 and 2, we shall answer the first question by showing that every locally compact, first-countable space and every metrizable space have maximal extensions. §3 will provide a negative answer to the second question.

1. Locally compact, first-countable spaces.

1.1. We shall begin with

PROPOSITION. *For a first-countable space X , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) X has a maximal extension,
- (2) there are a maximal disjoint collection $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ of zero-sets of βX and a family $\mathcal{F} = \{f_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ of real-valued continuous functions on βX such that
 - (a) $\{x\} \in \mathcal{Z}$ for each $x \in X$,
 - (b) $f_\alpha^{-1}(0) = Z_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in A$, and
 - (c) $f_\alpha \mid Z_\beta$ is constant for any $\alpha \in A$ and $\beta \in A$.

Received by the editors February 19, 1981 and, in revised form, August 11, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54D25, 54C25.

Key words and phrases. First-countable, pseudocompact, maximal extension, locally compact, metrizable, Čech-complete.

PROOF. (1) \rightarrow (2) Let Y be a maximal extension of X . Then Y is first-countable and pseudocompact. Since βY is a compactification of X , we have a continuous surjection $h: \beta X \rightarrow \beta Y$ which is identical on X . For each $y \in Y$, there is a real-valued continuous function f_y on βY such that $f_y^{-1}(0) = \{y\}$. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{h^{-1}(y) \mid y \in Y\}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{f_y \circ h \mid y \in Y\}$. Then \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{F} satisfy the conditions (a) to (c). To see that \mathcal{Z} is a maximal disjoint collection of zero-sets of βX it suffices to observe that $h^{-1}(Y)$ is pseudocompact. This is shown by noting that the restriction $h \mid h^{-1}(Y)$ is perfect and irreducible, and that the preimage of a pseudocompact space under a perfect and irreducible map is always pseudocompact.

(2) \rightarrow (1) Let $W = \bigcup \{Z_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ and Y be a quotient space of W obtained by collapsing each Z_α to a point. Obviously, Y is a first-countable, Tychonoff extension of X . The maximality of \mathcal{Z} implies that W is pseudocompact, hence so is Y . Therefore Y is a maximal extension of X .

1.2. THEOREM. *Every locally compact, first-countable space has a maximal extension.*

PROOF. Let X be locally compact and first-countable. At first consider a cozero-set C of $X^* = \beta X - X$ and a zero-set Z of βX such that $C \subset Z \subset X^*$. Then there is a continuous function $g: X^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $C = g^{-1}(\mathbf{R} - \{0\})$. (Here \mathbf{R} denotes the space of reals.) Since X is locally compact, X^* is a closed subset of a compact space βX and g has a continuous extension $h: \beta X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. Take any $r \neq 0$. We have that $g^{-1}(r)$ is a G_δ -set of X^* , that Z is a G_δ -set of βX and that $g^{-1}(r) \subset Z \subset X^*$. Hence $g^{-1}(r)$ is a G_δ -set of βX and is expressed as $g^{-1}(r) = \bigcap \{U_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ with open sets U_n of βX . For each n , $h^{-1}(r) - U_n$ and X^* are disjoint closed subsets of βX . There is a continuous map $k_n: \beta X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $k_n(X^*) = \{0\}$ and $k_n(h^{-1}(r) - U_n) = \{1\}$. Define $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/2^n)k_n + |h - r|$. Then f is a real-valued continuous function on βX such that $f \mid X^* = |g - r|$ and $f^{-1}(0) = g^{-1}(r)$.

Next, consider the collection of all cozero-sets C of X^* such that $C \subset Z \subset X^*$ for some zero-set Z of βX . Take a maximal disjoint subcollection $\{C_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of this collection. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, there is a continuous function $g_\lambda: X^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $C_\lambda = g_\lambda^{-1}(\mathbf{R} - \{0\})$. As above, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $r \neq 0$, there is a continuous function $f_{\lambda,r}: \beta X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $f_{\lambda,r} \mid X^* = |g_\lambda - r|$ and $f_{\lambda,r}^{-1}(0) = g_\lambda^{-1}(r)$. Hence $Z_{\lambda,r} = g_\lambda^{-1}(r)$ is a zero-set of βX and $f_{\lambda,r} \mid Z_{\lambda,r}$ is constant for any (λ, r) and (λ', r') .

Moreover, if $Z \subset X^*$ is a zero-set of βX , then $\text{int}_{X^*} Z \neq \emptyset$ because X is locally compact (see the proof of [W, 4.21]). Therefore $\text{int}_{X^*} Z$, and hence Z , contains a cozero-set of X^* . This means that $\{\{x\} \mid x \in X\} \cup \{Z_{\lambda,r} \mid (\lambda, r) \in \Lambda \times (\mathbf{R} - \{0\})\}$ forms a maximal disjoint collection of zero-sets of βX . For each $x \in X$ let f_x be a real-valued continuous function on βX such that $f_x^{-1}(0) = \{x\}$ and $f_x(X^*) = \{1\}$. Then it is now easy to check that

$$\{\{x\} \mid x \in X\} \cup \{Z_{\lambda,r} \mid (\lambda, r) \in \Lambda \times (\mathbf{R} - \{0\})\}$$

and

$$\{\{f_x\} \mid x \in X\} \cup \{f_{\lambda,r} \mid (\lambda, r) \in \Lambda \times (\mathbf{R} - \{0\})\}$$

satisfy condition (2) of Proposition 1.1.

1.3. A space is called locally pseudocompact if every point has a pseudocompact neighborhood. For any locally pseudocompact space X we can find a locally compact space Y such that $X \subset Y \subset \nu X$. In 1.2, let us consider cozero-sets of $\beta X - Y$ instead of X^* and note that every zero-set of βX which meets $Y \subset \nu X$ meets X . Then we get an extension of X as a quotient space of a subspace of $X \cup (\beta X - Y)$. That is,

THEOREM. Every locally pseudocompact, first-countable space has a maximal extension.

1.4. Since $f_{\lambda,r}^{-1}([-r/2, r/2]) \subset X \cup C_\lambda$, the maximal extension of X in 1.2 is indeed locally compact. That is,

THEOREM. Every locally compact, first-countable space has a locally compact, maximal extension.

2. Metrizable spaces.

2.1. Let X be a space and A its dense subspace. If every sequence in A contains a subsequence which converges in X , then we call X *e-sequentially compact with respect to A* . It is not difficult to observe that

(1) if X is *e-sequentially compact with respect to some dense subset*, then X is pseudocompact,

(2) if X is *e-sequentially compact with respect to A* , and $B \subset A$, then $\text{cl}_X B$ is *e-sequentially compact with respect to B* , and

(3) if X_n is *e-sequentially compact with respect to A_n* for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then so is the product $\prod_{n=1}^\infty X_n$ with respect to $\prod_{n=1}^\infty A_n$.

2.2. Let us recall the following. Let A be a set of cardinality $m \geq \aleph_0$. The star-space $S(A)$ is the metric space $\{0\} \cup \cup \{(0, 1] \times \{\alpha\} \mid \alpha \in A\}$ in which the metric function d is defined by: $d(0, (t, \alpha)) = t$, $d((t, \alpha), (s, \beta)) = |t - s|$ if $\alpha = \beta$, and $= t + s$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$. In [E, Example 4.1.5], the star-space is called the hedgehog space of spininess m . According to [N, Theorem VI.9], any metrizable space of weight $\leq m$ is homeomorphic to a subspace of the product of countably many copies of $S(A)$.

2.3. We shall show

PROPOSITION. For each A , there is a first-countable space X which is e-sequentially compact with respect to $S(A)$.

2.4. By virtue of 2.1 and 2.2, Proposition 2.3 immediately implies

THEOREM. Every metrizable space M has a maximal extension which is e-sequentially compact with respect to M .

2.5. **PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.3.** Consider A as a discrete space based on the set A . Then A can be embedded as a dense subspace in a first-countable pseudocompact space B . (This can be shown in many ways. For example, apply Theorem 1.2 in §1, or see the proof of [A, 2.3.16], or take a maximal almost-disjoint collection \mathcal{R} of countably infinite subsets of A and topologize $A \cup \mathcal{R}$ just as the spaces $N \cup \mathcal{R}$ in [T].) Take the set $X = \{0\} \cup \cup \{(0, 1] \times \{\beta\} \mid \beta \in B\}$. We topologize X in the

following way: The point 0 has the neighborhood basis

$$\{0\} \cup \cup \{(0, 1/n) \times \{\beta\} \mid \beta \in B\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and $(t, \beta) \in (0, 1] \times \{\beta\}$ has the neighborhood basis

$$((t - 1/n, t + 1/n) \cap (0, 1]) \times U_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $\{U_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a neighborhood basis at the point β in B . Now, it is easy to check that X is a first-countable Tychonoff space and is e -sequentially compact with respect to $S(A)$.

3. First-countable spaces without maximal extensions.

3.1. In [vDP], a first-countable Lindelöf space Δ is constructed so that all compactifications of Δ contain βN . This Δ has no maximal extension. The authors incidentally, do not know if there exists a maximal space every compactification of which contains βN .

3.2. Δ is not Čech-complete. In view of Theorem 1.2 in §1, we present a Čech-complete modification Δ' of Δ . Let N be the set of natural numbers and take any almost-disjoint collection \mathfrak{R} of infinite subsets of N such that $|\mathfrak{R}| = c$. (A collection of sets is called almost-disjoint if the intersection of any two of its elements is a finite set.) The collection of all subsets of N has the cardinality c and can be denoted by $\{A_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathfrak{R}\}$. Topologize the set $\Delta' = \mathfrak{R} \cup N \times N$ in the following way. All points of $N \times N$ are isolated and the neighborhood basis at $\lambda \in \mathfrak{R}$ consists of sets $\{\lambda\} \cup ((\lambda - (\text{finite set})) \times A_\lambda)$. It can be easily seen that Δ' is 0-dimensional, Tychonoff and first-countable. To see that Δ' is Čech-complete, let

$$\mathfrak{U}_n = \{\{\lambda\} \cup ((\lambda - \{1, 2, \dots, n\}) \times A_\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \mathfrak{R}\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{V}_n = \mathfrak{U}_n \cup \{x \mid x \in \Delta' - \cup \mathfrak{U}_n\} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then each \mathfrak{V}_n is an open cover of Δ' and $\{\mathfrak{V}_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ determines Čech-completeness of Δ' by [E, Theorem 3.9.2]. Finally, let us show that Δ' has no first-countable, pseudocompact regular extension. We take any first-countable pseudocompact extension X of Δ' and prove that X is not regular. First, decompose N into countably many, disjoint, infinite sets: $N = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$. Each $\{n\} \times N$ is a clopen (i.e., simultaneously-closed-and-open) set of Δ' , hence so is $\{n\} \times B_n$. Since X is pseudocompact, $\{n\} \times B_n$ cannot be a closed set of X . Pick an accumulation point x_n of $\{n\} \times B_n$ in X for each n . It follows from the first-countability of X that there is an infinite subset $C_n \subset B_n$ such that $\{n\} \times C_n$, as a sequence, converges to x_n . Decompose C_n into two disjoint, infinite sets: $C_n = D_n \cup D'_n$. There is a $\lambda \in \mathfrak{R}$ such that $A_\lambda = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$. Obviously $A_\lambda \cap \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} D'_n = \emptyset$. Now assume that X is regular. Then open sets U_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, of X , each of which satisfies $\{\lambda\} \cup ((\lambda - \{1, 2, \dots, n\}) \times A_\lambda) = U_n \cap \Delta'$, form a neighborhood basis at λ in X . Again, by the regularity of X , $\text{cl}_X U_n \subset U_1$ for some n . Take any $m \in \lambda - \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then $\{m\} \times D_m \subset U_n$. On the other hand, $X - U_1 \supset \Delta' - U_n \supset \{m\} \times D'_m$. Here is a contradiction because both $\{m\} \times D_m$ and $\{m\} \times D'_m$ converge to $x_m \in X$.

3.3. It is also seen that all compactifications of Δ' contain βN . In order to obtain a first-countable, Lindelöf, Čech-complete space X without maximal extension, we

employ Alexandroff-Urysohn's two arrow space [E, Exercise 3.10.C]. That is, define

$$X = (0, 1] \times \{0\} \cup [0, 1) \times \{1\} \cup Q \times N,$$

where Q denotes the set of rational numbers in $[0, 1]$. All points of $Q \times N$ are defined to be isolated. To define neighborhoods at other points we need some notation. For $t \in [0, 1]$, let $\lambda^+(t)$ and $\lambda^-(t)$ be strictly increasing and decreasing sequences, respectively, of rational numbers which converge to t . We assume that $\lambda^+(0) = \lambda^-(1) = \emptyset$. Let $\{A(x) \mid x \in (0, 1] \times \{0\} \cup [0, 1) \times \{1\}\}$ be the collection of all subsets of N . The neighborhood basis at $(t, 0) \in (0, 1] \times \{0\}$ is defined as U_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where U_n is the union of $\{(t, 0)\}$, $\{(s, i) \mid t - 1/n < s < t \text{ and } i = 0, 1\}$, $(\lambda^-(t) - \text{finite set}) \times A(t, 0)$ and $\bigcup \{\{q\} \times N \mid t - 1/n < q < t\} - \lambda^+(t) \times A(t, 1)$. Neighborhood bases at points of $[0, 1) \times \{1\}$ are defined likewise. The Čech-completeness and nonexistence of a maximal extension of X could be shown in the same way as 3.2.

REFERENCES

- [A] A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ, *Structure and classification of topological spaces and cardinal invariants*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **33** (1978), no. 6, 29–84 = Russian Math. Surveys **33** (1978), no. 6, 33–96.
 [vDP] E. K. van Douwen and T. C. Przymusiński, *First-countable and countable spaces all compactifications of which contain βN* , Fund. Math. **102** (1979), 229–234.
 [E] R. Engelking, *General topology*, PWN, Warsaw, 1977.
 [N] J. Nagata, *Modern general topology*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
 [S] R. M. Stephenson, Jr., *Minimal first countable topologies*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (1969), 115–127.
 [T] J. Terasawa, *Spaces $N \cup \mathcal{R}$ and their dimensions*, Topology Appl. **11** (1980), 93–102.
 [W] R. Walker, *The Stone-Čech compactification*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1974.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, YOKOHAMA NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, YOKOHAMA 240, JAPAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE DEFENCE ACADEMY, YOKOSUKA 239, JAPAN