

## ON A THEOREM OF FLANDERS

ROBERT E. HARTWIG

**ABSTRACT.** It is shown that if  $R$  is a regular strongly- $\pi$ -regular ring, then  $R$  is unit-regular precisely when  $(ab)^d \approx (ba)^d$  for all  $a, b \in R$ . This generalizes a result by Flanders, which states that the matrices  $AB$  and  $BA$  over a field  $\mathbf{F}$  have the same elementary divisors except possibly those divisible by  $\lambda$ .

**1. Introduction.** A classic theorem of Flanders [3] states that if  $A$  and  $B$  are  $n \times n$  matrices over a field  $\mathbf{F}$ , then  $AB$  and  $BA$  have the same elementary divisors, except possibly for those that are powers of  $\lambda$ .

The purpose of this note is to point out that the real reason why this result is true, is because the matrix ring  $\mathbf{F}_{n \times n}$  is both strongly- $\pi$ -regular as well as unit-regular. We shall use the concept of pseudo-similarity, introduced in [5] to provide the necessary link between strong- $\pi$ -regularity and unit-regularity.

We recall that a ring  $R$  is called (unit) regular, if for every  $a \in R$ , there exists a (unit) solution  $x \in R$ , to the equation  $axa = a$ . Such solutions will be denoted at  $a^-$ . A ring  $R$  is strongly- $\pi$ -regular,  $\pi$ rr for short, if for every  $a \in R$ , there is a solution to the equations

$$a^k xa = a^k, \quad xax = x, \quad ax = xa,$$

for some  $k \geq 0$ . The solution is unique and is called the Drazin inverse  $a^d$  of  $a$  [2]. In the special cases where  $k = 0$  or  $k = 1$ ,  $a^d$  is called the group inverse of  $a$ , and is denoted by  $a^\#$ . It is well known that the ring  $\mathbf{F}_{n \times n}$  of  $n \times n$  matrices over a field  $\mathbf{F}$  is both  $\pi$ rr and unit-regular. Two ring elements are called pseudo-similar if

$$x^-ax = b, \quad xbx^- = a, \quad xx^-x = x$$

for some  $x, x^- \in R$ . It was shown in [6], that for a unit-regular ring, similarity ( $\approx$ ) and pseudo-similarity ( $\approx$ ), coincide. Two idempotents  $e$  and  $f$  in  $R$ , are said to be equivalent,  $e \sim f$ , if  $eR$  and  $fR$  are isomorphic ( $\cong$ ) as  $R$ -modules. This may be rewritten as  $e \sim f$  if  $e = p^+p$ ,  $f = pp^+$ , for some  $p, p^+ \in R$ , that satisfy  $pp^+p = p$  and  $p^+pp^+ = p^+$ . It is easily seen that  $e \sim f \Leftrightarrow e \approx f$  [4] and that  $\sim$  actually coincides with the classical  $\mathcal{D}$ -relation on semigroups.

**2. Main results.** Our generalization of the theorem of Flanders is based on the following two main results.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $R$  be a strongly- $\pi$ -regular ring with unity and let  $x, y \in R$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $x^d \approx y^d$ ,
- (ii)  $x^2x^d \approx y^2y^d$ .

*In which case*

---

Received by the editors July 10, 1980 and, in revised form, December 9, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15A21, 15A09.

© 1982 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9939/81/0000-0789/\$01.75

- (iii)  $xx^d \approx yy^d$  and  $(x^d + 1 - xx^d) \approx (y^d + 1 - yy^d)$ .
- If in addition  $R = \mathbb{F}_{n \times n}$ , then each of the above is equivalent to
- (iv)  $x$  and  $y$  have the same elementary divisors, except possibly those that are powers of  $\lambda$ .

PROOF. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) This is based on a result of Drazin [2], which states that if  $pq = qp$ , and  $p^\#$  exists, then  $p^\#q = qp^\#$ . Now if  $x^d q = qy^d$ , with  $q$  invertible, then because  $(x^d)^\# = x^2 x^d$ , it follows that  $x^2 x^d q = qy^2 y^d$ . The converse follows also since  $(p^\#)^\# = p$ .

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Let  $x^d q = qy^d$ , and hence by part (ii)  $x^2 x^d q = qy^2 y^d$ . Now  $xx^d = (x^2 x^d)x^d$  and so  $xx^d q = qyy^d$ . The remaining result is now also clear.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) Let  $R = \mathbb{F}_{n \times n}$ , and suppose that

$$(2.1) \quad x = P \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_1 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}, \quad y = Q \begin{bmatrix} U_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_2 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1},$$

are the core-nilpotent decompositions of  $x$  and  $y$  respectively, with  $U_i$  invertible and  $\eta_i$  nilpotent,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then

$$x^d = P \begin{bmatrix} U_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1},$$

and  $xx^d \approx yy^d$  shows that  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  have the same size. Next,

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \approx x^d + 1 - xx^d \approx y^d + 1 - yy^d \approx \begin{bmatrix} U_2^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence, on using elementary divisors, it follows that  $U_1^{-1} \approx U_2^{-1}$  and so  $U_1 \approx U_2$ . This is equivalent to (iv).

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) This is clear from the fact that  $x^d \approx y^d \Leftrightarrow U_1^{-1} \approx U_2^{-1} \Leftrightarrow U_1 \approx U_2$ . Our second result deals with the relation between  $ab$  and  $ba$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $R$  be a strongly- $\pi$ -regular ring with unity, and let  $a, b \in R$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $R$  is unit-regular, and
- (ii)  $R$  is regular and  $(ab)^d \approx (ba)^d$  for all  $a, b \in R$ .

PROOF. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Cline's formula [1], states that  $(xy)^d = x(yx)^{d^2}y$ . If we apply this to  $ab$  and  $ba$  we may write

$$(ab)^d = a \cdot (ba)^d \cdot (ba)^d b$$

and

$$(ba)^d = (ba)^d b \cdot (ab)^d \cdot a.$$

Now since  $(ba)^d b \cdot a \cdot (ba)^d b = (ba)^d b$ , it follows that  $(ab)^d \approx (ba)^d$ . Moreover,  $ab(ab)^d \approx ba(ba)^d$  as well. Next, since  $R$  is assumed to be unit-regular, we may conclude [6, p. 453] that semisimilarity implies similarity. Consequently  $(ab)^d \approx (ba)^d$  and  $ab(ab)^d \approx ba(ba)^d$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Based on a result of Vidav [7], it suffices to show that two equivalent idempotents are similar. Suppose therefore that  $e$  and  $f$  are equivalent idempotents in  $R$ , and that  $e = p^+ p f = p p^+$ , with  $p p^+ p = p$  and  $p^+ p p^+ = p^+$ . Now since  $e^d = e$  and  $f^d = f$ , we have  $e = e^d = (p^+ p)^d \approx (p p^+)^d = f^d = f$ . Because  $R$  is regular, the proof is now complete.

COROLLARY 1. If  $A, B \in \mathbf{F}_{n \times n}$ , then

- (i)  $(AB)^d \approx (BA)^d$ ,
- (ii)  $(AB)^2(AB)^d \approx (BA)^2(BA)^d$ , and
- (iii) the elementary divisors of  $AB$  and  $BA$  coincide except possibly for those that are powers of  $\lambda$ .

PROOF. (i) Since  $\mathbf{F}_{n \times n}$  is unit-regular and  $s\pi r$ , this follows from Theorem 2. The remaining two results follow at once from Theorem 1, thereby completing the proof of Flander's Theorem.

COROLLARY 2. If  $A, B \in \mathbf{F}_{n \times n}$ , then  $AB \approx BA$  if and only if  $\text{rank}(AB)^k = \text{rank}(BA)^k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ .

PROOF. The necessity is clear. For the sufficiency, suppose that  $AB = x$  and  $BA = y$  are given as in (2.1). It then suffices to show that  $U_1 \approx U_2$  and  $\eta_1 \approx \eta_2$ . From Corollary 1, we recall that  $U_1 \approx U_2$  always holds. Lastly,  $\text{rank}(AB)^k = \text{rank}(BA)^k$  implies that  $\text{rank}(\eta_1^k) = \text{rank}(\eta_2^k)$ , for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , which is well known to suffice for  $\eta_1 \approx \eta_2$ .

REMARKS. 1. The results of Corollary 1 can easily be modified to include the case where  $A$  and  $B$  are rectangular, by means of adding zeros suitably.

2. The equivalence of the pseudo-similarity and similarity of  $(ab)^d$  and  $(ba)^d$ , hinges on the existence of a unit solution to the equation  $(ba)^d b \cdot x \cdot (ba)^d b = (ba)^d b$ . Even though several obvious solutions exist, such as  $x = a$  or  $x = a + 1 - (ba)(ba)^d$ , it is not known whether there exists a unit solution  $u$  that can be expressed in terms of  $a, b$  and  $(\cdot)^d$  exclusively.

3. It is not known whether the converse of Theorem 1 holds in general. That is whether  $xx^d \approx yy^d$  and  $x^d + 1 - xx^d \approx y^d + 1 - yy^d$  ensure that  $x^d \approx y^d$ .

## REFERENCES

1. R. E. Cline, *An application of representations for the generalized inverse of a matrix*, MRC Technical report, #592, 1965.
2. M. P. Drazin, *Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups*, Amer. Math. Monthly **65** (1958), 506–514.
3. H. Flanders, *Elementary divisors of  $AB$  and  $BA$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1951), 871–874.
4. R. E. Hartwig, *Unit and unitary solutions to  $AX = B$*  (submitted).
5. R. E. Hartwig and F. Hall, *Pseudo-similarity for matrices over a field*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1978), 6–10.
6. R. E. Hartwig and J. Luh, *A note on the group structure of unit regular ring elements*, Pacific J. Math. **71** (1977), 449–461.
7. I. Vidav, *Modules over regular rings*, Math. Balkanica **1** (1971), 287–292.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27650