

ON DUNFORD-PETTIS OPERATORS THAT ARE PETTIS-REPRESENTABLE

ELIAS SAAB

ABSTRACT. Let E be a Banach space. It is shown that if every Dunford-Pettis operator $T: L^1[0, 1] \rightarrow E^*$ is Pettis-representable, then every operator $T: L^1[0, 1] \rightarrow E^*$ is Pettis-representable.

It is well known that a bounded linear operator T from $L^1[0, 1]$ into a Banach space E that is Bochner or Pettis-representable is a Dunford-Pettis operator, i.e., T maps weakly convergent sequences into norm convergent sequences. It was suspected for a while that any Dunford-Pettis operator $T: L^1[0, 1] \rightarrow L^1[0, 1]$ is Bochner representable, but Costé (see [3, p. 90]) gave an example of a convolution type operator $T: L^1[0, 1] \rightarrow L^1[0, 1]$ that is a Dunford-Pettis operator but is not Bochner representable. Costé's example suggested the following problem: If every Dunford-Pettis operator from $L^1[0, 1]$ into a Banach space E is Bochner representable, is every bounded linear operator $T: L^1[0, 1] \rightarrow E$ Bochner representable? In [2] Bourgain solved the above problem affirmatively. A parallel problem to the one solved by Bourgain can now be asked as follows: If every Dunford-Pettis operator T from $L^1[0, 1]$ to a Banach space E is Pettis-representable, is every bounded linear operator $T: L^1[0, 1] \rightarrow E$ Pettis-representable?

In [10] we showed that the answer to the above problem is positive when the Banach space E is complemented in a Banach lattice. In fact under this hypothesis one can conclude that every bounded linear operator $T: L^1[0, 1] \rightarrow E$ is Bochner representable (see [5]).

In this paper, we will show that the answer is also positive for dual Banach spaces, indeed we shall show that if every Dunford-Pettis operator T from L^1 into a dual Banach space E^* is Pettis-representable then every bounded linear operator is Pettis-representable.

By an operator between two Banach spaces, we always mean a bounded linear operator. All the notions used in this paper and not defined can be found in [3, 5]. Let E be a Banach space and let T be an operator from $L^1[0, 1]$ to E . The operator T is said to be Bochner (resp. Pettis) representable if there exists $g: [0, 1] \rightarrow E$ Bochner-integrable and essentially bounded (resp. Pettis-integrable and scalarly essentially bounded) such that for every f in $L^1[0, 1]$, $T(f) = \text{Bochner-}\int_0^1 f \cdot g \, d\lambda$ (resp., Pettis- $\int_0^1 f \cdot g \, d\lambda$).

A Banach space E is said to have the Radon-Nikodym property (RNP) (resp., the weak-Radon-Nikodym property (WRNP)), if every operator $T: L^1[0, 1] \rightarrow E$ is Bochner-representable (resp., Pettis-representable). For more about the RNP and the WRNP see [3, 5, 7, 8, 9].

Received by the editors August 15, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B22, 46G10.

Key words and phrases. Dunford-Pettis operators, Pettis-representable operators.

© 1982 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/82/0000-0045/\$01.75

THEOREM 1. *Let E be a Banach space. If every Dunford-Pettis operator $T: L^1[0, 1] \rightarrow E^*$ is Pettis-representable then E does not contain any isomorphic copy of l_1 .*

PROOF. If not, then l_1 is isomorphic to a subspace of E . Let $S: l^1 \rightarrow E$ be the embedding of l_1 into E and let V be a quotient map from l_1 onto $C[0, 1]$ the space of continuous functions on the interval $[0, 1]$ and let $V^* = U$ be the adjoint map $U: M[0, 1] \rightarrow l^\infty$ where $M[0, 1] = C[0, 1]^*$ the Banach space of all Radon measures on $[0, 1]$.

It is clear that U is an embedding. The space $M[0, 1]$ is an L -space, therefore, by a result of Grothendieck [6] the operator U has a lifting Q from $M[0, 1]$ to E^* such that $S^*Q = U$. It is evident that Q is an embedding. Let R be the natural embedding of $L^1[0, 1]$ into $M[0, 1]$, and let T be a Dunford-Pettis operator from $L^1[0, 1]$ to $L^1[0, 1]$ that is not Bochner-representable in $L^1[0, 1]$ [3, p. 92]. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L^1[0, 1] & & [0, 1] & \xrightarrow{g_1} & E^* \\ & \tau \downarrow & & \searrow Q & \\ & & & & \downarrow S^* \\ L^1[0, 1] & \xrightarrow{R} & M[0, 1] & \xrightarrow{U} & l_\infty \end{array}$$

where g_1 and g_2 are to be specified shortly. The operator $QRT: L^1[0, 1] \rightarrow E^*$ is Dunford-Pettis, therefore QRT is Pettis-representable. Let $g_1: [0, 1] \rightarrow E^*$ be its Pettis-derivative, that is

$$(1) \quad QRT(f) = \text{Pettis-} \int_0^1 f g_1 \, d\lambda \quad \text{for every } f \in L^1[0, 1].$$

Let g_2 be a weak*-derivative of the operator $RT: L^1[0, 1] \rightarrow M[0, 1]$, that is,

$$(2) \quad RT(f) = \omega^* \text{-} \int_0^1 f \cdot g_2 \, d\lambda \quad \text{for every } f \in L^1[0, 1]$$

and this means that $\langle \phi, RT(f) \rangle = \int_0^1 \langle \phi, g_2(t) \rangle d\lambda$ for every ϕ in $C[0, 1]$ [3, VI.8.6]. The function g_2 takes its values in the weak* closure of the image by RT of the unit ball of $L^1[0, 1]$. Notice that (1) implies that $S^*QRT(f) = \text{Pettis-} \int_0^1 f S^* g_1 \, d\lambda$ for every $f \in L^1[0, 1]$. But $S^*Q = U$, hence

$$(3) \quad URT(f) = \text{Pettis-} \int_0^1 f S^* g_1 \, d\lambda \quad \text{for every } f \in L^1[0, 1].$$

On the other hand (2) implies

$$(4) \quad URT(f) = \omega^* \text{-} \int_0^1 f U g_2 \, d\lambda \quad \text{for every } f \in L^1[0, 1]$$

because U is weak* to weak* continuous. (3) and (4) imply that for every $a \in l_1$ and every Lebesgue measurable subset A in $[0, 1]$ $\int_A \langle a, S^* g_1 \rangle d\lambda = \int_A \langle a, U g_2 \rangle d\lambda$. This implies that $S^* g_1 = U g_2(t)$ λ -almost everywhere, because l_1 is separable. The function $S^* g_1: [0, 1] \rightarrow l_\infty$ is Pettis-integrable, therefore, the function $U g_2: [0, 1] \rightarrow l_\infty$ is Pettis-integrable. Hence $g_2: [0, 1] \rightarrow M[0, 1]$ is Pettis-integrable because U is an embedding, hence

$$(5) \quad RT(f) = \text{Pettis-} \int_0^1 f \cdot g_2 \, d\lambda \quad \text{for every } f \in L^1[0, 1].$$

Let P be the usual projection from $M[0, 1]$ into $R(L^1[0, 1])$ and

$$W = R^{-1}: R(L^1[0, 1]) \rightarrow L^1[0, 1].$$

The map $h = WPg_2: [0, 1] \rightarrow L_1[0, 1]$ is weakly measurable, therefore it is strongly measurable by the Pettis measurability theorem [3, p. 42], hence it is Bochner-integrable because it is bounded. Now (5) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} T(f) &= WPRT(f) = \text{Pettis-} \int_0^1 fWPg_2 d\lambda \\ &= \text{Bochner-} \int_0^1 f \cdot h d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

for every $f \in L^1[0, 1]$. This shows that T is Bochner-representable, a contradiction that finishes the proof.

Theorem 1 and the result of [1] or [7] give the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let E be a Banach space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The space E does not contain a copy of l_1 ;*
- (ii) *The space E^* has the weak Radon-Nikodym property;*
- (iii) *Every operator $T: L^1[0, 1] \rightarrow E^*$ is Pettis-representable;*
- (iv) *Every Dunford-Pettis operator $T: L^1[0, 1] \rightarrow E^*$ is Pettis-representable.*

PROOF. (i)→(ii) is due to Bourgain [1] and Janicka [7].

(ii)→(iii)→(iv) are evident.

(iv)→(i) is Theorem 1.

It is worth mentioning the well-known fact that (i), (ii) or (iii) of Theorem 2 is equivalent to the following statement: (v) Every operator $T: L^1[0, 1] \rightarrow E^*$ is Dunford-Pettis [9].

REFERENCES

1. J. Bourgain, *On martingales in conjugate Banach spaces* (unpublished).
2. —, *Dunford-Pettis operators on L^1 and the Radon-Nikodym property*, Israel J. Math. **37** (1980), 34–47.
3. J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector measures*, Math. Surveys, no. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1977.
4. D. G. Fremlin and M. Talagrand, *A decomposition theorem for additive set-functions, with applications to Pettis integrals and ergodic means*, Math. Z. **168** (1979), 117–242.
5. N. Ghoussoub and E. Saab, *On the weak Radon-Nikodym property*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1981), 81–84.
6. A. Grothendieck, *Une caractérisation vectorielle métrique des espaces L^1* , Canad. J. Math. **7** (1953), 552–561.
7. L. Janicka, *Własności typu Radona-Nikodyma dla przestrzeni Banacha*, Thesis, Wrocław, Poland, 1978.
8. K. Musiał, *The weak Radon-Nikodym property in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **64** (1978), 151–174.
9. L. H. Riddle and J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Martingales and the fine line between Asplund spaces and spaces not containing a copy of l_1* (preprint).
10. E. Saab, *On Dunford-Pettis operators*, Canad. Math. Bull. (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MISSOURI 65211