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ABSTRACT. Using the techniques of Bredon, some results are obtained concerning the possible cohomology of the fixed set of a smooth involution on a Dold manifold.

In this paper we are concerned with the possible $\mathbb{Z}/2$ cohomologies of components of the fixed set $F$ of a smooth involution $T$ on a Dold manifold $P(m, n) = S^m \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{CP}(n)$ which satisfies the condition that the fibration

$$
\pi: P(m, n) \times_T \times (-1)^b S^\infty \to RP(\infty)
$$

is totally nonhomologous to zero [6, 8]. As a general reference, see the last chapter of [2].

We know [8] that

$$
\pi_*(P(m, n)) = \mathbb{Z}/2[c, d]/(c^{m+1}, d^{n+1})
$$

where degree $d = 2$, degree $c = 1$. For any component of $F$ there are lifts $\gamma$ and $\delta$ of $c$ and $d$ to $H^*(P(m, n) \times \mathbb{Z}/2 S^\infty)$ which restrict to zero in $H^*(RP(\infty))$. The pullbacks of $\gamma$ and $\delta$ to $H^*(F \times \mathbb{Z}/2 Z/2)$ will be denoted by $u_1, v_1, x_1, y_1, z_1$.

Theorem 1. For any component $F_i$ of $F$, $H^*(F_i)$ is generated by:

(i) a single class of degree less than or equal to 2; or
(ii) two linearly independent classes of degree 1; or
(iii) two classes $a \in H^1(F_i), b \in H^2(F_i)$, with $b$ not a multiple of $a^2$ and with $Sq^1 b = ab$.

Proof. Pick $\gamma$ and $\delta$ using $F_1$. By [1, 3], $v_1, y_1, z_1$ generate $H^*(F_1)$, but by [4] two of these suffice.

Suppose $H^*(F_1)$ is generated by classes $a \in H^1$ and $b \in H^2$ with $b$ not a multiple of $a^2$. If $v_1$ were zero, there would be $P_j \in \{0, 1\}$ such that, for some large integer $p$,

$$
t^p v_1 = P_j (y_1 t + z_1)^j t^{p+1-2j},
$$

so that $z_1 = p_2 y_1^2$. Thus $v_1 \neq 0$ and so $z_1$ and $v_1$ will generate $H^*(F_1)$. Since $v_1$ and $z_1$ are the restrictions of $c$ and $d$, $Sq^1 z_1 = v_1 z_1$. □

Remark. The condition that $\pi$ be totally nonhomologous to zero cannot be dropped. Let $T$ be the involution on $P(m, 2j + 1)$ defined on coordinates by

$$
T((x_0, \ldots, x_m), (z_0, \ldots, z_{2j+1})) = ((-x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m), (-z_1, z_0, \ldots, -z_{2j+1}, z_{2j})].
$$
The fixed set of $T$ is $S^{m-1} \times CP(j)$ which, for $m > 2$ and $j > 1$, does not have the cohomology described by Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** The fixed set of an involution of the given type on $P(m, n)$ has at most three components.

**Proof.** Pick $\gamma$ and $\delta$ using any component. There are $\chi_i \in \{0, 1\}$ such that
\[(1) \quad Sq^1 \delta = \chi_1 \gamma t^2 + \chi_2 \delta t + \chi_3 \gamma^2 t + \gamma \delta.
\]
Plling this back to $F \times BZ/2$ and equating coefficients of $t^3$ yields $ux = (\chi_1 + \chi_3)u + \chi_2 x$. Thus $\{1, u, x\}$ generates $H^0(F)$. □

**Remark.** If, for some choice of $\gamma$, $\gamma^{n+1} = 0$, then $u$ is also zero and $F$ can have at most two components.

For the remainder of the paper, we will consider only the cases where $m = 1$ and $n \geq 1$. We write $M \sim N$ to mean that $\gamma^*(M; Z/2) = H^*(N; Z/2)$.

**Theorem 3.** Let $T$ be an involution of the given type on $P(1, n)$ with nonempty fixed set $F$. Then
(i) $F \sim RP(1) \times RP(n)$; or
(ii) $F \sim RP((n-1)e \bigoplus \sigma)$ where $e$ and $\sigma$ are, respectively, the trivial and non-trivial real line bundles over $RP(1)$; or
(iii) $F \sim P(1, r) + P(1, n-r-1)$, $0 \leq r \leq n$ ($P(1, 1) \equiv 0$); or
(iv) $F \sim CP(n) + RP(n)$; or
(v) $F \sim RP(n) + CP(r) + CP(n-r-1)$, $0 \leq r \leq n$.
Moreover, all of these do occur.

(We are indebted to the referee for proving the impossibility of another case for which we had no example, and for the considerable amount of help he has given us toward the format of the paper.)

The proof will proceed via a sequence of lemmas.

**Lemma A.** If for a component $F_1$, $H^*(F_1)$ is generated by two independent classes of degree one, then either $F \sim RP(1) \times RP(n)$ or $F \sim RP((n-1)e \bigoplus \sigma)$.

**Proof.** Pick $\gamma$ and $\delta$ using $F_1$. Then $\gamma^2 = 0$, and so $u = 0$ and $\gamma$ restricts to $v$. Pulling (1) back to $F_1 \times BZ/2$ gives $Sq^1 \delta = 6t + \gamma \delta$, so $x = 0$ and $F = F_1$.

Let $k$ be maximal with respect to $vy^k \neq 0$. Then $v$ annihilates $H^i(F)$ for $i > k$ and no relation can exist between $vy^{i-1}$ and $y^i$ for $i < k$. Since $H^*(F)$ is a Poincaré algebra, $[1, 5]$, $H^i(F) = 0$ for $i > k + 1$, $H^{k+1}(F) \cong Z/2$ and $y^{k+1}$ is zero or equal to $vy^k$. Since $dim_{Z/2} H^*(F) = 2n + 2$, the result follows. □

**Remark.** If $F_1$ and $F_2$ are two components of $F$, it can be shown using (1) that $\gamma$ and $\delta$ can be chosen so that their pullbacks to $H^*(F_1 \times BZ/2)$ and $H^*(F_2 \times BZ/2)$ must fit one of the following (possibly after interchange of $F_1$ and $F_2$):

- Case 1. $\gamma^2 = 0, \gamma \rightarrow (v_1, v_2), \delta \rightarrow (v_1 t + z_1, t^2 + z_2), Sq^1 z_i = v_i z_i, v_1^2 = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, and at least one of $v_1, v_2$ is nonzero. In this case $F_1$ and $F_2$ are the only components of $F$.

- Case 2. $\gamma^2 = \gamma t, \gamma \rightarrow (0, t), \delta \rightarrow (y_1 t + y_2 z_2)$.

- Case 3. $\gamma^2 = \gamma t, \gamma \rightarrow (0, 0), \delta \rightarrow (z_1, t^2 + z_2)$. Since the image of $\gamma$ in $H^*(F \times BZ/2)$ cannot be zero, this case can only occur when there are three components in $F$, and the third and first components must then fit Case 2.

**Lemma B.** If $F_1 \sim RP(r)$ or $F_1 \sim CP(r)$, then $r \leq n$.  
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PROOF. Suppose \( F_1 \sim RP(r) \). Then \( F \) has at least two components and if \( r > 1 \), \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) fit Case 2. There is a relation

\[
\delta^{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (\kappa_i \tau + \zeta_i \gamma) t^{2i-1} \delta^{n+1-i}
\]

which, in \( H^*(F_1 \times BZ/2) \), becomes

\[
y_1^{n+1}(y_1 + t)^{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{k} \binom{k}{r} \kappa_{n+1-k} t^{2n+2-2k+r} y_1^{2k-r}.
\]

Then \( \kappa_{n+1-k} = 0 \) for \( 0 \leq k \leq n \), so \( y_1^{n+1} = 0 \). \( \square \)

**LEMMA C.** If \( F \) has a component \( F_1 \) such that \( H^*(F_1) \) is generated by classes \( a \in H^1 \) and \( b \in H^2 \) with \( b \) not a multiple of \( a^2 \), then \( F \sim P(1,r) + P(1,n-r-1) \) for \( 0 < r < n \).

**PROOF.** This is Case 1. Using (2), with Lemma B, and considering the epimorphism \( H^*(P(1,r)) \otimes H^*(BZ/2) \to H^*(CP(r)) \otimes H^*(BZ/2) \) (defined algebraically), we see that neither \( \upsilon_1 \) nor \( \upsilon_2 \) are zero. \( \square \)

**LEMMA D.** Not all components of \( F \) can be \( \sim \) to

(i) real projective spaces of dimension greater than 1.

(ii) complex projective spaces of positive dimension. \( \square \)

**LEMMA E.** If \( F = F_1 + F_2 \) and \( F_1 \sim RP(1) \), then \( F_2 \sim P(1,n-1) \) or \( F_2 \sim CP(1) \) and \( n = 1 \). \( \square \)

**LEMMA F.** If \( F = F_1 + F_2 + F_3 \) and \( F_1 \sim RP(r) \) for \( r \geq 1 \), then \( r = n \) and \( F_2 + F_3 \sim CP(s) + CP(n-s-1) \) where \( 0 \leq s \leq n \).

**PROOF.** If either of the other components must fit Case 2, so \( F_2 + F_3 \sim CP(s_2) + CP(s_3) \) and \( u_2 = u_3 = 1 \). Since \( u \) and \( x \) are independent we may assume \( x_2 = 0 \). Then pulling back (2) to \( F_2 \times BZ/2 \) yields \( \kappa_i = \zeta_i \) for \( n+1-s_2 \leq i \leq n+1 \). Pulling (2) back to \( F_3 \times BZ/2 \) then yields \( s_3+1 \leq n-s_2 \), and dimensional requirements show \( r = n \) and \( s_3 = n-s_2-1 \). \( \square \)

**LEMMA G.** It is not possible that \( F \sim CP(0) + CP(r) + CP(s) \).

**PROOF.** Suppose \( F \sim CP(0) + CP(r) + CP(s) \). Then \( r + s = 2n-1 \) and we may suppose that \( r = n \) and \( s = n-1 \). Then \( \upsilon = \upsilon_1 = 0 \). Pulling (1) back to \( F \times BZ/2 \) would yield \( \chi_2 = 1, u_2 = u_3 = 1 \) and \( \chi_1 = 0 \). Since \( u \) and \( x \) must be independent, we may suppose \( x_2 = 0 \). Pulling (2) back to \( F_2 \times BZ/2 \) would yield \( \kappa_i = \zeta_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n+1 \), so that pulling (2) back to \( F_3 \times BZ/2 \) would give \( (t^2 + z_2)^{n+1} = 0 \), which is impossible. \( \square \)

Finally, we need some examples. Let \( A \) denote conjugation in \( S^1 \), \( Q \) denote conjugation of the (homogeneous) coordinates of \( CP(n) \), and \( B_r \) denote reflection in the last \( n-r \) coordinates of \( CP(n) \). These actions induce involutions in \( P(1,n) \), with \( F_1 \times Q = RP(1) \times RP(n), F_1 \times Q \beta_0 = RP((n-1)e + \sigma), F_1 \times B_r = P(1,r) + P(1,n-r-1), \) and \( F_A \times B_r = RP(n) + CP(r) + CP(n-r-1) \), for \( 0 \leq r \leq n \).

This completes the proof of Theorem 3. \( \square \)
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