

A CRITERION FOR FINITE MODULE TYPE

CHRISTINE BESSENRODT

ABSTRACT. The following result is proved: If a p -block of a finite group has only finitely many indecomposable liftable modules with the defect group of the block as a vertex or if it has only finitely many indecomposable periodic modules, then the block is of finite module type.

1. Introduction. The purpose of this paper is to give a criterion for a block to be of finite module type (that is, the block has only finitely many nonisomorphic indecomposable FG -modules) by looking at some nice families of modules in the block, namely the liftable and the periodic modules.

Let G be a finite group and (F, R, K) a p -modular splitting system for G , that is, R is a complete discrete valuation ring with quotient field K of characteristic 0 and residue class field F of characteristic p , such that K and F are splitting fields for all subgroups of G . For further notation we refer to [3]. Then we have the following criterion:

THEOREM. *Let B be a block of FG with defect group D . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) B is of finite module type.
- (ii) B has only finitely many indecomposable liftable modules with vertex D .
- (iii) B has only finitely many indecomposable periodic modules.

Of course, "finitely many" always means up to isomorphism.

2. Preliminary results on periodicity. In the following $A \in \{R, F\}$ and for $A = R$ an AG -module means an RG -lattice, that is an RG -module which is finitely generated and torsionfree as an R -module. Moreover, in this chapter F and K need not be splitting fields.

First let us recall the definition of Heller's operator Ω . If U is an AG -module then by [4, 6] there exists a minimal projective presentation $0 \rightarrow \Omega U \rightarrow P \rightarrow U \rightarrow 0$, that is, P is a projective AG -module and ΩU has no projective summands. P and ΩU are uniquely determined by U (up to isomorphism), and if U is indecomposable and nonprojective, then so is ΩU . By iterating this process we get $\Omega^i U$, for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$. Then an AG -module U is called periodic if $U \simeq \Omega^i U \oplus L$ for some $i > 0$ and projective AG -module L .

A block B of AG is called periodic if all modules in B are periodic.

Received by the editors January 19, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16A26, 20C05.

Key words and phrases. Block, liftable module, periodic module, defect group, vertex.

©1982 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/82/0000-1122/\$0.150

2.1 REMARK. Since a direct sum of modules is periodic iff all summands are periodic, a block B is periodic iff the indecomposable modules in B are periodic.

The following fact is well known and can easily be proved.

2.2 LEMMA. *An AG -module is periodic iff its source is periodic.*

2.3 PROPOSITION. *A block B of AG is periodic iff its defect group D is cyclic or generalized quaternion.*

PROOF. If $A = F$ then by [2] there is an indecomposable FG -module U in B with source F_D . If $A = R$, then using this for the corresponding block of FG and [8] we thus also have an indecomposable RG -lattice U in B with source R_D . Now if B is periodic then so is U and hence A_D by 2.2. But this is well known to be equivalent to D being cyclic or generalized quaternion. If D is cyclic or quaternion then all AD -modules are periodic. Hence all modules in B are direct summands of induced periodic modules, and so B is periodic.

3. Proof of the theorem.

3.1 PROPOSITION. *Let F be any field of characteristic p and B be a block of FG with defect group D , which has only finitely many indecomposable liftable FG -modules with vertex D . Then B is periodic.*

PROOF. As in the preceding proof there is an indecomposable module M in B with source F_D which is liftable, say $M \simeq \bar{U}$, where U is an indecomposable RG -lattice. Now $\Omega^i(M) \simeq \Omega^i(U)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ by [4], so the $\Omega^i(M)$ are indecomposable liftable modules with vertex D in B . By assumption there are only finitely many such modules in B , hence M must be periodic and by 2.2 so is F_D . Now this implies B is periodic by 2.3.

3.2 PROPOSITION. *Let B be a block with generalized quaternion defect group Q . Then B has infinitely many indecomposable liftable modules with vertex Q .*

PROOF. Let t be the unique involution in Q . First suppose $\langle t \rangle \triangleleft G$. Then $G = C_G(\langle t \rangle)$. By [3, 64.5] we have a uniquely determined block \bar{B} of $\bar{G} = G/\langle t \rangle$ corresponding to B (by $\bar{B} \subseteq B$) with defect group $Q/\langle t \rangle$. Since $Q/\langle t \rangle$ is neither cyclic nor quaternion, 2.3 together with 3.1 shows that \bar{B} has infinitely many indecomposable liftable modules with vertex $Q/\langle t \rangle$. By regarding these \bar{G} -modules as FG -modules we obtain an infinite number of indecomposable liftable modules with vertex Q in B .

In the general case we use Green correspondence between $N_G(\langle t \rangle)$ and G . As $\langle t \rangle$ is a trivial intersection set, the Green correspondent of a liftable module is again liftable (this is easy, see e.g. [7, 2.8]). So by using the first case and Green correspondence we get an infinite set of nonisomorphic indecomposable liftable modules with vertex Q in B .

3.3 PROOF OF THE THEOREM.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii), (iii) is trivial.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) As a direct consequence of the preceding propositions D is cyclic; hence B is of finite module type by [5].

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) By [5] a block is of finite module type iff its defect group is cyclic. So suppose D is not cyclic. Then by [1] there are infinitely many indecomposable periodic FD -modules. Hence there are infinitely many indecomposable periodic FY -modules which are sources, for some $Y \leq D$, since a source is a source for only finitely many FD -modules. By [2] each of these sources is a source for an indecomposable module in B , which is periodic by 2.2. Since every module has only finitely many sources we thus have infinitely many indecomposable periodic modules in B .

REFERENCES

1. J. L. Alperin, *Periodicity in groups*, Illinois J. Math. **21** (1977), 776–783.
2. D. W. Burry, *The distribution of modular representations into blocks*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1980), 14–16.
3. L. Dornhoff, *Group representation theory B*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1971.
4. J. A. Green, *Vorlesungen über modulare Darstellungstheorie endlicher Gruppen*, Vorlesungen aus dem Math. Institut Giessen, 1974.
5. W. Hamernik, *Indecomposable modules with cyclic vertex*, Math. Z. **142** (1975), 87–90.
6. A. Heller, *Indecomposable representations and the loop space operation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1961), 640–643.
7. R. M. Peacock, *Ordinary character theory in a block with a cyclic defect group*, J. Algebra **44** (1977), 203–220.
8. L. L. Scott, *Modular permutation representations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **175** (1973), 101–122.

FACHBEREICH 11 –MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG, 4100 DUISBURG 1, WEST GERMANY