

## RIEMANNIAN METRICS INDUCED BY TWO IMMERSIONS

M. DO CARMO AND M. DAJCZER

**ABSTRACT.** We consider the situation where a Riemannian manifold  $M^n$  can be isometrically immersed into spaces  $N^{n+1}(c)$  and  $N^{n+q}(\bar{c})$  with constant curvatures  $c < \bar{c}$ ,  $q \leq n - 3$ , and show that this implies the existence, at each point  $p \in M$ , of an umbilic subspace  $U_p \subset T_pM$ , for both immersions, with  $\dim U_p \geq n - q$ . In particular, if  $M^n$  can be isometrically immersed as a hypersurface into two spaces of distinct constant curvatures,  $M^n$  is conformally flat.

### 1. Introduction.

(1.1) An  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $M^n$  is *conformally flat* if, for each point  $p \in M$ , there exists a conformal diffeomorphism of a neighborhood of  $p$  onto an open set of the euclidean space  $R^n$ . Let  $x: M^n \rightarrow \bar{M}^k$  be an immersion of a differentiable manifold  $M^n$  into a Riemannian manifold  $\bar{M}^k$ , and let  $\alpha: T_pM \times T_pM \rightarrow (T_pM)^\perp$  be the second fundamental form of  $x$  at  $p \in M$ ; here  $(T_pM)^\perp$  is the orthogonal complement of  $dx_p(T_pM)$  in  $T_{x(p)}\bar{M}$ . We say that  $U_p \subset T_pM$  is an *umbilic subspace* of  $x$  at  $p$  if  $\langle \alpha(X, Y), \xi \rangle = \lambda \langle X, Y \rangle$ ,  $\lambda = \text{const}$ , for all  $X \in U_p$ , all  $\xi \in (T_pM)^\perp$  and all  $Y \in T_pM$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes both the Riemannian metric on  $\bar{M}$  and the Riemannian metric on  $M$  induced by  $x$ . We will denote by  $\bar{M}^k(x)$  a  $k$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature  $c$ . It is well known that if  $n \geq 4$  and  $x: M^n \rightarrow \bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$  is an immersion, the metric induced on  $M^n$  by  $x$  is conformally flat iff, for each  $p \in M$ , there exists an umbilic subspace  $U_p \subset T_pM$  with  $\dim U_p \geq n - 1$ . We will prove the following local theorem.

(1.2) **THEOREM.** *Let  $M^n$  be a Riemannian manifold. Assume that  $M^n$  can be isometrically immersed in both  $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$  and  $\bar{M}^{n+1}(\bar{c})$ ,  $\bar{c} > c$ ,  $q \leq n - 3$ . Then, for each  $p \in M$ , there exists an umbilic subspace  $U_p \subset T_pM$  of both immersions with  $\dim U_p \geq n - q$ .*

(1.3) **COROLLARY.** *Let  $M^n$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , be a Riemannian manifold. Assume that  $M^n$  can be isometrically immersed in both  $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$  and  $\bar{M}^{n+1}(\bar{c})$ ,  $\bar{c} \neq c$ . Then  $M^n$  is conformally flat.*

(1.4) **REMARK.** Corollary (1.3) is, in a certain sense, the strongest restriction that can be expected under its hypothesis. In fact, it can be shown [1] that if an immersion  $x: M^n \rightarrow \bar{M}^{n+1}(\bar{c})$  of a differentiable manifold  $M^n$  induces on  $M^n$  a general conformally flat Riemannian metric, then, with such a metric,  $M^n$  can be isometrically immersed into a  $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ , for any  $c < \bar{c}$ .

---

Received by the editors December 1, 1980.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C25; Secondary 53A20.

*Key words and phrases.* Isometric immersions, umbilic subspaces, conformally flat Riemannian manifolds.

© 1982 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/81/0000-0102/\$02.25

(1.5) REMARK. The bound on the dimension of  $U_p$  given by Theorem (1.2) is sharp as shown by the following example. Let  $n > 3$  and let  $M^n = S_1^{n-2} \times R^2$  be the Riemannian product of the sphere  $S_1^{n-2}$  of curvature one with the euclidean space  $R^2$ . As the first immersion  $x_1: M^n \rightarrow R^{n+1}$ , take the product immersion of the canonical embedding  $i_{n-1}: S_1^{n-2} \subset R^{n-1}$  with the identity map  $R^2 \subset R^2$ . To define the second immersion, consider the map  $f: R^2 \rightarrow R^4$  obtained by composing the immersion of  $R^2$  as a flat torus in  $S_1^3$  with  $i_4: S_1^3 \subset R^4$ . By taking the product immersion  $i_{n-1} \times f: S_1^{n-2} \times R^2 \rightarrow R^{n+3}$ , it is easily checked that  $i_{n-1} \times f(S^{n-2} \times R^2)$  is contained in a sphere of radius, say,  $1/\sqrt{c}$ , of  $R^{n+3}$ . This gives an immersion  $x_2: M^n \rightarrow S_c^{n+2}$ , and clearly there exists, for all  $p \in M$ , an umbilic subspace  $U_p = T_p(S_1^{n-2})$  of both immersions, with  $\dim U_p = n - 2$ .

(1.6) REMARK. As the proof of Theorem (1.2) will show, we actually obtain that  $\dim U_p \geq n - l$ , where  $l \leq q$  is the dimension of the first normal space at  $p$  of the second immersion.

By imposing further restrictions on the immersions of Corollary (1.3) we can characterize those Riemannian metrics that will arise there.

(1.7) COROLLARY. *Let  $M^n$  be as in the hypothesis of Corollary (1.3). Assume further that the first immersion has constant mean curvature  $H$  and that the second immersion has constant mean curvature  $\tilde{H}$ . Then  $M^n$  is either a space of constant curvature  $M^n(a)$  or a Riemannian product  $M^{n-1}(a) \times R$ .*

PROOF OF (1.7). Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be an orthonormal basis of  $T_pM$  such that  $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$  is a basis for the umbilic subspace  $U_p \subset T_pM$  of both immersions given by Theorem (1.2). Relative to such a basis, let  $\lambda, \mu$  (resp.  $\beta, \gamma$ ) be the eigenvalues of the second fundamental form of the first (resp. second) immersion. From Gauss' equations, we easily see that the fact that  $H$  and  $\tilde{H}$  are constant implies that  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are also constant. The result follows from Ryan [3, p. 373].

**2. Proof of Theorem (1.2).**

(2.1) We will use the theory of flat bilinear forms as developed by J. D. Moore in [2, pp. 459-465]. We recall that, given vector spaces  $V, W$ , a  $W$ -valued bilinear form  $\beta: V \times V \rightarrow W$  is flat relative to a real inner product  $(, ): W \times W \rightarrow R$  if

$$(\beta(x, z), \beta(y, w)) - (\beta(x, w), \beta(y, z)) = 0,$$

for all  $x, y, z, w \in V$ . The nullity  $N(\beta)$  of  $\beta$  is

$$N(\beta) = \{n \in V; \beta(x, n) = 0, \text{ for all } x \in V\},$$

and  $\beta$  is null if

$$(\beta(x, y), \beta(z, w)) = 0, \quad \text{all } x, y, z, w \in V.$$

Now let  $x_1: M^n \rightarrow \overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  and  $x_2: M^n \rightarrow \overline{M}^{n+q}(\tilde{c})$  be the two immersions referred to in the statement, and denote by  $\langle , \rangle, \langle , \rangle_1, \langle , \rangle_2$  the Riemannian inner products of  $M^n, \overline{M}^{n+1}(c), \overline{M}^{n+q}(\tilde{c})$ , respectively. Fix throughout the proof a point  $p \in M$  and let

$$\alpha_1: T_pM \times T_pM \rightarrow (T_p(M))_1^\perp, \quad \alpha_2: T_pM \times T_pM \rightarrow (T_pM)_2^\perp$$

be the second fundamental forms of  $x_1, x_2$ , respectively. Let  $N_i$  be the first normal space of  $x_i$ , i.e.,

$$N_i = \text{span}\{\eta \in (T_pM)_i^\perp; \eta = \alpha_i(X, Y), X, Y \in T_pM\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Set  $W = N_1 \oplus R \oplus N_2$ , and define a Lorentzian inner product  $(, )$  in  $W$  by requiring that  $(, ) = -\langle , \rangle_1$  in  $N_1$ ,  $(, ) = \langle , \rangle_2$  in  $N_2$ , and that the direct summands of  $W$  are pairwise orthogonal. Define a bilinear form  $\beta: T_pM \times T_pM \rightarrow W$  by

$$\beta(X, Y) = \alpha_1(X, Y) + \sqrt{\bar{c} - c} \langle X, Y \rangle \zeta + \alpha_2(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in T_pM,$$

where  $\eta$  is a generator of  $R$  with  $(\eta, \eta) = 1$ .

It follows from Gauss' equations for  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  that  $\beta$  is flat and, since  $\bar{c} - c > 0$ ,  $N(\beta) = 0$ . Notice that  $\alpha_1$  is not zero; otherwise, the inner product  $(, )$  in  $W$  is positive definite, hence (Moore [2, p. 463, Corollary 1])

$$0 = \dim N(\beta) \geq \dim V - \dim W \geq n - (q + 2) \geq 1,$$

which is a contradiction.

Let  $N$  be a vector that generates  $N_1$  with  $(N, N)_1 = -(N, N) = 1$ .

*Assertion.* *There exists a unit vector  $\eta_0 \in R \oplus N_2$  such that*

$$(2.2) \quad (\alpha_1(X, Y), N) = (\sqrt{\bar{c} - c} \langle X, Y \rangle \zeta + \alpha_2(X, Y), \eta_0).$$

To prove the assertion, we use the fact that (Moore [2, p. 464, Corollary 3])  $W$  has a direct sum decomposition  $W = W_1 \oplus W_2$  such that the restrictions of  $(, )$  to  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are nondegenerate, and if  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are the  $W_1$ - and  $W_2$ -components of  $\beta$ , respectively, then  $\beta_1$  is null and  $\dim N(\beta_2) \geq \dim T_pM - \dim W_2$ .

It follows that  $\beta_1$  is not zero; otherwise,  $\beta = \beta_2$  and

$$0 = \dim N(\beta) = \dim N(\beta_2) \geq n - 1.$$

Since  $\beta_1$  is null, the restriction of  $(, )$  to  $W_1$  is Lorentzian. Thus  $\dim W_1 \geq 2$ , and we can choose bases  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  of  $W_1$  and  $\delta_0, \dots, \delta_{l+1}$  of  $R \oplus N_2$ , such that

$$e_1 = \cosh \varphi N + \sinh \varphi \delta_1, \quad e_2 = \delta_2, \dots, e_k = \delta_k.$$

Thus, by writing

$$\beta_1(X, Y) = -(\beta(X, Y), e_1)e_1 + \sum_{\alpha=2}^k (\beta(X, Y), e_\alpha)e_\alpha$$

we obtain that the condition for  $\beta_1$  to be null is

$$(2.3) \quad (\beta(X, Y), e_1)(\beta(Z, W), e_1) = \sum_{\alpha=2}^k (\beta(X, Y), e_\alpha)(\beta(Z, W), e_\alpha),$$

$X, Y, Z, W \in T_pM$ . Define linear maps  $B$  and  $D_\alpha$  of  $T_pM$ ,  $\alpha = 2, \dots, k$ , by

$$\langle BX, Y \rangle = (\beta(X, Y), e_1), \quad \langle D_\alpha X, Y \rangle = (\beta(X, Y), e_\alpha).$$

Then (2.3) is equivalent to

$$(2.4) \quad \langle BX, Y \rangle \langle BZ, W \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} \langle D_\alpha X, Y \rangle \langle D_\alpha Z, W \rangle.$$

We need the following lemma of linear algebra.

(2.5) LEMMA. *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional real vector space with a positive definite inner product  $\langle , \rangle$  and let  $B$  and  $D_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 2, \dots, k$ , be selfadjoint linear maps of  $V$  such that (2.4) holds for all  $X, Y, Z, W \in V$ . Then there exist real numbers  $c_\alpha$  with  $\sum_{\alpha} c_\alpha^2 = 1$  such that  $B = \sum_{\alpha} c_\alpha D_\alpha$ .*

PROOF OF THE LEMMA. Let  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$ ,  $n = \dim V$ , be a basis of  $V$  that diagonalizes  $B$ , i.e.,  $\langle BZ_i, Z_j \rangle = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then by (2.4)

$$\langle BZ_i, Z_j \rangle^2 = \sum_{\alpha} \langle D_{\alpha} Z_i, Z_j \rangle^2.$$

It follows that  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  diagonalizes each  $D_{\alpha}$ . Define  $\gamma_i^{\alpha}$  by  $D_{\alpha} Z_i = \gamma_i^{\alpha} Z_i$ . Then, again by (2.4),

$$(2.6) \quad \lambda_i \lambda_j = \sum_{\alpha} \gamma_i^{\alpha} \gamma_j^{\alpha}.$$

We first notice from (2.6) that if some  $\lambda_i = 0$  then  $\gamma_i^{\alpha} = 0$  for all  $\alpha$ . Next, set  $\gamma_i = (\gamma_i^1, \dots, \gamma_i^k) \in R^k$  and notice that (3.6) means that, in the usual inner product of  $R^k$ ,

$$|\gamma_i \cdot \gamma_j|^2 = \|\gamma_i\|^2 \|\gamma_j\|^2.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\gamma_i^{\alpha}}{\gamma_j^{\alpha}} = \frac{\gamma_i^{\alpha} \gamma_j^{\alpha}}{(\gamma_j^{\alpha})^2} = \frac{\gamma_i^{\beta} \gamma_j^{\beta}}{(\gamma_j^{\beta})^2} = \frac{\sum_{\alpha} \gamma_i^{\alpha} \gamma_j^{\alpha}}{\sum_{\alpha} (\gamma_j^{\alpha})^2} = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j},$$

hence, by setting  $\gamma_i^{\alpha}/\lambda_i = \gamma_j^{\alpha}/\lambda_j = c_{\alpha}$ , we obtain from (2.6) that  $\sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}^2 = 1$ . Finally, since  $(c_{\alpha} \gamma_i^{\alpha})/\lambda_i = c_{\alpha}^2$ , we obtain that  $\lambda_i = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} \gamma_i^{\alpha}$  and this proves Lemma (2.5).

(2.7) To complete the proof of the assertion, we set for convenience

$$\bar{\alpha}(X, Y) = \sqrt{\bar{c} - c(X, Y)} \zeta + \alpha_2(X, Y),$$

and notice that Lemma (2.5) implies that

$$-\cosh \varphi(\alpha_1(X, Y), N) + \sinh \varphi(\bar{\alpha}(X, Y), \delta_1) = \sum_{\alpha=2}^k c_{\alpha}(\bar{\alpha}(X, Y), \delta_{\alpha}).$$

Thus

$$(\alpha_1(X, Y), N) = \left( \bar{\alpha}(X, Y), \frac{\sum_{\alpha=2}^k c_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha} - \sinh \varphi \delta_1}{-\cosh \varphi} \right) = (\bar{\alpha}(X, Y), \eta_0),$$

where

$$\eta_0 = \frac{\sinh \varphi \delta_1 - \sum_{\alpha=2}^k c_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha}}{\cosh \varphi}$$

is easily seen to have norm one. This proves the assertion.

(2.8) We now complete the proof of Theorem (1.2). Choose an orthonormal basis  $\bar{\eta}_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_l$  of  $N_2$  so that

$$(2.9) \quad \eta_0 = \sin \theta \eta + \cos \theta \bar{\eta}_1.$$

Let  $\eta_1 = \cos \theta \eta - \sin \theta \bar{\eta}_1$  and set

$$R^l = \text{span}\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_l\}.$$

Define a bilinear form  $\gamma: T_p M \times T_p M \rightarrow R^l$  by

$$\gamma(X, Y) = (\sqrt{\bar{c} - c(X, Y)} \eta + \alpha_2(X, Y), \eta_1) \eta_1 + \sum_{j=2}^l (\alpha_2(X, Y), \eta_j) \eta_j.$$

By Gauss' equations for  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ ,  $\gamma$  is flat relative to the (Riemannian) inner product obtained by restricting  $(, )$  to  $R^l$ . It follows from Moore ([2, p. 463, Corollary 1]) that  $\dim N(\gamma) \geq n - l \geq n - q$ . On the other hand,  $X \in N(\gamma)$  if and only if, for all  $Y \in T_p M$ , both conditions below are satisfied:

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & \cos \theta \sqrt{\bar{c} - c} \langle X, Y \rangle - \sin \theta \langle \alpha_2(X, Y), \bar{\eta}_1 \rangle_2 = 0, \\ \text{(ii)} & \langle \alpha_2(X, Y), \eta_j \rangle_2 = 0, \quad j \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Notice that by (2.2) and (2.9)

$$(2.11) \quad \langle \alpha_1(X, Y), N \rangle = \sqrt{\bar{c} - c} \langle X, Y \rangle \sin \theta + \langle \alpha_2(X, Y), \bar{\eta}_1 \rangle_2 \cos \theta.$$

It follows by (2.10) (i) that  $\sin \theta \neq 0$ , and by (2.11) that we can assume that  $\cos \theta \neq 0$  (otherwise the whole  $T_p M$  is an umbilic subspace). Thus  $X \in N(\gamma)$  if and only if, for all  $Y \in T_p M$ ,

$$\alpha_2(X, Y) = \cotg \theta \sqrt{\bar{c} - c} \langle X, Y \rangle \bar{\eta}_1,$$

and by (2.11) this is equivalent to

$$\alpha_1(X, Y) = \frac{\sqrt{\bar{c} - c}}{\cos \theta} \langle X, Y \rangle.$$

Therefore,  $N(\gamma) \subset T_p M$  is an umbilic subspace of both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  with  $\dim N(\gamma) \geq n - q$ . This proves Theorem (1.2).

#### REFERENCES

1. M. do Carmo and M. Dajczer, *General conformally flat hypersurfaces* (preprint).
2. J. D. Moore, *Submanifolds of constant positive curvature. I*, Duke Math. J. **44** (1977), 449-484.
3. P. Ryan, *Homogeneity and some curvature conditions for hypersurfaces*, Tôhoku Math. J. **21** (1969), 363-388.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA (IMPA), ESTRADA DONA CASTORINA, 110, CEP 22.460, RIO DE JANEIRO, RJ, BRAZIL