

## SEMIREGULAR INVARIANT MEASURES ON ABELIAN GROUPS

ANDRZEJ PELC

**ABSTRACT.** A nonnegative countably additive, extended real-valued measure is called semiregular if every set of positive measure contains a set of positive finite measure. V. Kannan and S. R. Raju [3] stated the problem of whether every invariant semiregular measure defined on all subsets of a group is necessarily a multiple of the counting measure. We prove that the negative answer is equivalent to the existence of a real-valued measurable cardinal.

It is shown, moreover, that a counterexample can be found on every abelian group of real-valued measurable cardinality.

We consider countably additive, nonnegative extended real-valued measures which are not identically equal to zero. Such a measure is called universal on a set  $X$  if it is defined on all subsets of  $X$  and it is called semiregular if every set of positive measure contains a set of positive finite measure. A universal measure  $m$  on a group  $(G, \circ)$  is invariant if  $m(a \circ A) = m(A)$  for every  $a \in G, A \subset G$ .

A measure is called  $\kappa$ -additive if the union of less than  $\kappa$  sets of measure 0 has measure 0. A cardinal  $\kappa$  is called real-valued measurable if there exists a finite universal  $\kappa$ -additive measure on  $\kappa$  which vanishes on singletons.<sup>1</sup> It is well known that the existence of a real-valued measurable cardinal is unprovable in usual set theory with choice.

Erdős and Mauldin [2] proved that if  $(G, \circ)$  is an uncountable group then there is no  $\sigma$ -finite invariant universal measure on  $(G, \circ)$ . Kannan and Raju [3] asked whether every invariant semiregular universal measure on a group is necessarily a multiple of the counting measure. It is clear that any measure providing a counterexample has to vanish on singletons.

We give the following solution to the above problem.

**THEOREM 1.** *The following are equivalent:*

(\*) *Every universal invariant semiregular measure on a group is a multiple of the counting measure.*

(\*\*) *There does not exist a real valued measurable cardinal.*

**PROOF.**<sup>2</sup> Assume that (\*) does not hold. Hence there exists a universal invariant semiregular measure on a group, vanishing on singletons. Let  $A$  be any set of

---

Received by the editors July 1, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 43A05; Secondary 28C10, 03E55.

*Key words and phrases.* Universal invariant measure, group, real-valued measurable cardinal.

<sup>1</sup>According to the common habit in modern set theory we identify every ordinal with the set of its predecessors and cardinals with initial ordinals.

<sup>2</sup>The present version of the proof is simpler than the original one thanks to the referee's suggestion.

positive finite measure. It is well known (cf. [1]) that the minimal cardinality of a subset  $B \subset A$  of positive measure is a real-valued measurable cardinal. Assume that (\*\*) is false and let  $\kappa$  be a real-valued measurable cardinal with a  $\kappa$ -additive universal measure  $\mu$  on  $\kappa$  vanishing on singletons and such that  $\mu(\kappa) = 1$ . Let  $(G, \circ)$  be the direct sum of  $\kappa$  copies of the two-element group  $C_2$ . It will be convenient to view  $(G, \circ)$  as the group of all finite subsets of  $\kappa$  with symmetric difference as the group operation.

For each  $p \in G$  set  $G_p = \{q \in G: \exists \beta > \max(p) [q = p \cup \{\beta\}]\}$ . Notice that the sets  $G_p$  together with  $\{\emptyset\}$  form a partition of  $G$ . Define  $m: \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$  by

$$m(A) = \sum_{p \in G} \mu(\cup [G_p \cap A]),$$

the right-hand sum being the upper bound of appropriate sums over finite subsets of  $G$ .

Clearly  $m(\{p\}) = 0$  for each  $p \in G$ . If  $m(A) > 0$  then there is some  $p \in G$  such that  $\mu(\cup [G_p \cap A]) > 0$ . Of course,  $A \cap G_p \subset A$  and  $0 < m(A \cap G_p) = \mu(\cup [G_p \cap A]) < \infty$ . Hence  $m$  is semiregular.

We check that  $m$  is  $\kappa$ -additive. Suppose  $\{A_\gamma: \gamma < \beta\}$  is a pairwise disjoint family of subsets of  $G$ , with  $\beta < \kappa$ . Then for every  $p \in G$  the sets  $\cup (G_p \cap A_\gamma): \gamma < \beta$  are pairwise almost disjoint and we get

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(\bigcup_{\gamma < \beta} A_\gamma\right) &= \sum_{p \in G} \mu\left(\bigcup \left[G_p \cap \left(\bigcup_{\gamma < \beta} A_\gamma\right)\right]\right) \\ &= \sum_{p \in G} \mu\left(\bigcup_{\gamma < \beta} [G_p \cap A_\gamma]\right) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma < \beta} \sum_{p \in G} \mu([G_p \cap A_\gamma]) = \sum_{\gamma < \beta} m(A_\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

We now check that  $m$  is invariant. Let  $q \in G$  and  $A \subset G$ .

$$\begin{aligned} m(q \circ A) &= \sum_{p \in G} \mu\left(\bigcup [G_p \cap q \circ A]\right) \\ &= \sum_{p \in G} \mu\left(\bigcup [G_{p \circ q} \cap q \circ A]\right). \end{aligned}$$

For every  $p \in G$  and  $\beta > \max(p \circ q)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \in \bigcup [G_p \cap A] &\equiv p \cup \{\beta\} \in A \\ &\equiv q \circ (p \cup \{\beta\}) \in q \circ A \equiv (q \circ p) \cup \{\beta\} \in q \circ A \\ &\equiv \beta \in \bigcup [G_{p \circ q} \cap q \circ A]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence in view of  $\kappa$ -additivity of  $\mu$  we get

$$\mu\left(\bigcup [G_p \cap A]\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup [G_{p \circ q} \cap q \circ A]\right)$$

and finally

$$m(q \circ A) = \sum_{p \in G} \mu\left(\bigcup [G_p \cap A]\right) = m(A).$$

This shows that  $m$  is an invariant measure and hence a counterexample to (\*) which concludes the proof of our theorem.

An extension of the above argument gives the following

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $(G, +)$  be an abelian group. Then there exists a universal semiregular invariant measure on  $(G, +)$  vanishing on singletons iff there exists a real-valued measurable cardinal  $\kappa \leq |G|$ .*

**PROOF.** If there exists a measure with the above properties on  $(G, +)$  then, as before, there is a subset of  $G$  with real-valued measurable cardinality.

In order to show the converse we first consider the case when  $(G, +)$  is torsion-free and  $|G| = \kappa$  is itself real-valued measurable. Let  $\mu$  be a  $\kappa$ -additive universal finite measure on  $\kappa$ , vanishing on singletons. We fix a well-ordering  $z_i: i \in \omega$  of all nonzero integers and for  $g \in G$  denote  $z_i g$  the result of adding  $g|z_i|$  times if  $z_i > 0$  and adding  $-g|z_i|$  times if  $z_i < 0$ .

The group  $G$  has a free abelian subgroup  $G'$  with base of cardinality  $\kappa$ . Let  $x_\alpha: \alpha < \kappa$  be an enumeration of this base. Every element  $g \in G'$  has exactly one representation as  $z_{i_1} x_{\alpha_1} + \dots + z_{i_n} x_{\alpha_n}$ .

Denote by  $\varphi(g)$  the set  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subset \kappa$ . Following the idea from the proof of Theorem 1 we put

$$G_g^i = \{h \in G': \exists \beta > \max(\varphi(g)) [h = z_i x_\beta + g]\},$$

for  $g \in G', i \in \omega$ . Now the measure  $m: \mathcal{P}(G') \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$  is defined as

$$m(A) = \sum_{i \in \omega} \sum_{g \in G'} \mu\left(\bigcup (\varphi * [G_g^i \cap A])\right).$$

The verification that  $m$  has all required properties is similar to that in the proof of Theorem 1.

In order to define the measure  $m_1$  on  $G$  we let  $\{s_\alpha + G': \alpha < \lambda\}$  be the family of cosets of  $G'$  in  $G$  ( $\{s_\alpha: \alpha < \lambda\}$  is a fixed selector of this family). On each coset we define  $m_1$  separately putting  $m_1(s_\alpha + A) = m(A)$  where  $A \subset G'$ . Since every  $A \subset G$  splits into sets  $s_\alpha + A_\alpha: \alpha < \lambda$  where  $A_\alpha \subset G'$  we can define  $m_1(A) = \sum_{\alpha < \lambda} m(A_\alpha)$ .

It is easy to see that  $m_1$  is a  $\kappa$ -additive semiregular universal measure vanishing on singletons. The only property to verify is invariance. By definition it is enough to check  $m_1(g + B) = m_1(B)$  for  $g \in G$  and  $B$  included in a coset. Let  $g = s_\alpha + a$ ,  $a \in G'$ , and  $B = s_\beta + A$ ,  $A \subset G'$ . We get  $m_1(g + B) = m_1(s_\alpha + s_\beta + a + A)$ . There exist an element  $b \in G'$  and an ordinal  $\gamma < \lambda$  such that  $s_\alpha + s_\beta = s_\gamma + b$ . Hence  $m_1(s_\alpha + s_\beta + a + A) = m_1(s_\gamma + b + a + A) = m(b + a + A)$ . In view of the invariance of  $m$  we have  $m(b + a + A) = m(A)$  and by definition  $m_1(B) = m(A)$ . Hence finally  $m_1(g + B) = m_1(B)$ . This finishes the proof in the case when  $(G, +)$  is a torsion-free abelian group of cardinality  $\kappa$ ,

Next we consider the case of arbitrary abelian groups  $(G, +)$  of cardinality  $\kappa$ . Let  $H$  be the torsion subgroup of  $G$ . First assume that  $|H| = \kappa$ . Then for some  $n \in \omega$  the group  $H_n$  of elements of rank dividing  $n$  has cardinality  $\kappa$ . Let  $n_0$  be the smallest  $n$  with this property. We claim that  $n_0$  is prime. If not, let  $n_0 = n_1 n_2$ ,  $1 < n_1, n_2$ .

There exist  $\kappa$  elements  $a_\alpha$ :  $\alpha < \kappa$  of rank exactly  $n_0$ . Consider the family  $\{n_1 \cdot a_\alpha$ :  $\alpha < \kappa\}$ . All these elements have rank  $n_2$  hence there are  $< \kappa$  of them. It follows that there are  $\kappa$  distinct elements which have equal  $n_1$ -multiples.

Thus there are  $\kappa$  elements with rank dividing  $n_1$  contrary to the minimality of  $n_0$ .

This proves that  $n_0$  is a prime number and hence the set consisting of elements of rank  $n_0$  is (together with the neutral element) a group of cardinality  $\kappa$ . It is also a linear space over the field  $Z_{n_0}$ . Hence we can construct a universal semiregular invariant measure  $m_2$  on  $H_{n_0}$  similarly as on a free abelian group. Next we define an extension of this measure to the whole group  $(G, +)$  first separately on cosets of  $H_{n_0}$  in  $G$  and then for an arbitrary set as the sum of measures of its intersections with cosets. All details are much the same as in the torsion-free case and hence we leave them to the reader.

If the torsion subgroup  $H$  has cardinality  $< \kappa$  then  $G/H$  is a torsion-free abelian group of cardinality  $\kappa$ . Hence, by the first part of the proof there exists a universal semiregular invariant measure  $m_3$  on  $G/H$  vanishing on singletons.

Let  $U = \{u_\alpha$ :  $\alpha < \kappa\}$  be any selector of cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ . The sets  $h + U$ :  $h \in H$  form a disjoint partition of  $G$ . On each of them we define the measure  $m_4$  separately: for  $A \subset U$  and  $h \in H$ ,  $m_4(h + A) = m_3(\{a + H$ :  $a \in A\})$ .

Finally for an arbitrary  $A \subset G$  we put  $m_4(A) = \sum_{h \in H} m_4(A_h)$  where  $A_h = A \cap (h + U)$ . Again it is not hard to see that  $m_4$  is universal,  $\kappa$ -additive, semiregular and vanishes on singletons. The only property to verify is invariance. In view of  $\kappa$ -additivity of  $m_4$  and of  $|H| < \kappa$  it is enough to check  $m_4(a + A) = m_4(A)$ , for  $a \in G$ , and  $A \subset U$ . The set  $A$  splits into disjoint sets  $\tilde{A}_h$ :  $h \in H$ , such that  $a + \tilde{A}_h \subset h + U$ . Again in view of  $\kappa$ -additivity it is enough to check  $m_4(a + \tilde{A}_h) = m_4(\tilde{A}_h)$  for each  $h \in H$  separately. By definition of  $m_4$  and invariance of the measure  $m_3$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} m_4(a + \tilde{A}_h) &= m_3(\{b + H$$
:  $b \in a + \tilde{A}_h\}) \\ &= m_3(\{-a + b + H$ :  $b \in a + \tilde{A}_h\}) = m_3(\{b + H$ :  $b \in \tilde{A}_h\}) = m_4(\tilde{A}_h). \end{aligned}$

This proves the invariance of  $m_4$  and finishes the proof in the case when  $(G, +)$  is an abelian group with real-valued measurable cardinality.

In the general case let  $\kappa \leq |G|$  be a real-valued measurable cardinal and let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  of cardinality  $\kappa$ . We construct a measure on  $H$  with the required properties and then extend it to  $G$  as described in the previous part of the proof.

**REMARK.** The above constructed measure has the following additional property: any set  $A$  has the same measure as  $\{-a$ :  $a \in A\}$ .

**PROBLEM.** Is Theorem 2 true for arbitrary groups?

#### REFERENCES

1. F. Drake, *Set theory. An introduction to large cardinals*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1974.
2. P. Erdős and R. D. Mauldin, *The nonexistence of certain invariant measures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **59** (1976), 321–322.
3. V. Kannan and S. Radhakrishnesvara Raju, *The nonexistence of invariant universal measures on semigroups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1980), 482–484.