

## CLOSABLE OPERATORS AND SEMIGROUPS

NEIL FALKNER

**ABSTRACT.** We show that a linear operator is closable iff it is possible to weaken the topology on its range in a certain nice way so as to render the operator continuous. We apply this result to show that if  $E$  is a sequentially complete locally convex Hausdorff space and  $(L(t))_{0 \leq t < \infty}$  is a locally equicontinuous semigroup of class  $(C_0)$  in  $E$  with generator  $S$  and if  $x \in E$  (not necessarily belonging to the domain of  $S$ ) then the function  $u(t) = L(t)x$  is a solution, in a generalized sense, of the initial value problem  $u'(t) = Su(t)$ ,  $u(0) = x$ , and that such a generalized solution is unique. It should be noted here that  $u(t)$  may fail to belong to the domain of  $S$  so we must assign a suitable meaning to the expression  $Su(t)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be topological vector spaces and let  $S$  be a linear operator from  $E$  into  $F$  with domain  $D \subseteq E$ . Then  $S$  is closable iff there is a Hausdorff linear topology  $\tau$  on  $F$  which is weaker than the original topology on  $F$  and which renders  $S$  continuous. In this case, there is a canonical description of the strongest such topology  $\tau$ .*

**PROOF.** As is usual, we identify  $S$  with its graph. Define  $\psi: D \times F \rightarrow F$  by  $\psi(x, y) = y - Sx$ . Then  $\psi$  is a linear map, the kernel of  $\psi$  is  $S$ , and the range of  $\psi$  is all of  $F$ . Let  $\tau$  be the quotient topology induced on  $F$  by  $\psi$ . Then it is easy to check that  $\tau$  is the strongest linear topology on  $F$  which is weaker than the original topology of  $F$  and which renders  $S$  continuous. Thus we need only show that  $S$  is closable (as an operator from  $E$  to  $F$ ) iff  $\tau$  is Hausdorff. But, as is well known,  $S$  is closable iff  $S$  is closed in  $D \times F$ , and  $\tau$  is Hausdorff iff the kernel of  $\psi$ , namely  $S$ , is closed in  $D \times F$ . This completes the proof.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $E$ ,  $F$ ,  $D$ , and  $S$  be as in Theorem 1. Assume  $D$  is dense in  $E$ . Suppose  $S$  is closable and let  $\tau$  be the strongest linear topology on  $F$  which is weaker than the original topology of  $F$  and which renders  $S$  continuous. Let  $G$  be the completion of  $(F, \tau)$ , let  $T$  be the unique extension of  $S$  to a continuous linear map of  $E$  into  $G$ , and let  $\bar{S}$  be the closure of  $S$  in  $E \times F$ . Then  $T \supseteq \bar{S}$ , and if  $x \in E$  and  $Tx \in F$  then  $x$  is an element of the domain of  $\bar{S}$ .*

**PROOF.** It is clear that  $T \supseteq \bar{S}$ . Suppose  $x \in E$  and  $y = Tx \in F$ . Let  $(x_i)$  be a net in  $D$  which converges in  $E$  to  $x$ . Let  $U$  and  $V$  be neighbourhoods of 0 in  $E$  and  $F$  respectively. Let  $W = \psi[(U \cap D) \times V]$  where  $\psi$  is as in the proof of Theorem 1. As  $\psi$  is linear and  $\tau$  is the quotient topology induced on  $F$  by  $\psi$ ,  $\psi$  is open onto  $(F, \tau)$  so  $W$  is a neighbourhood of 0 in  $(F, \tau)$ . Now  $(y - Sx_i)$   $\tau$ -converges to 0. Thus there exists  $i_0$  such that  $i \geq i_0$  implies  $\psi(x_i, y) \in W$ ; i.e.,  $(x_i, y) \in [(U \cap D) \times V] + S$ .

---

Received by the editors March 18, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A05, 47D05.

© 1983 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/82/0000-0427/\$01.75

Hence  $(x, y) \in \overline{(U \times V) + S}$ . But  $\bar{S}$  is the intersection of the sets of the form  $(U \times V) + S$  where  $U$  and  $V$  range over neighbourhoods of 0 in  $E$  and  $F$  respectively. Thus  $(x, y) \in \bar{S}$ . Hence  $x$  is an element of the domain of  $\bar{S}$ . This completes the proof.

Now let  $E$  be a sequentially complete locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space, which will be fixed throughout the rest of the discussion. We shall give some applications of Theorems 1 and 2 to the study of semigroups of operators on  $E$ . Let  $(L(t))_{0 \leq t < \infty}$  be a locally equicontinuous semigroup of class  $(C_0)$  on  $E$ . We recall that this means:

- (a) Each  $L(t)$  is a continuous linear operator on  $E$ .
- (b)  $L(0) = I$  and  $L(s + t) = L(s)L(t)$  for all  $s$  and  $t$ .
- (c) For each  $x \in E$ , the map  $t \mapsto L(t)x$  is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ .
- (d) For any  $a \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $\{L(t): 0 \leq t \leq a\}$  is equicontinuous.

Let  $S$  be the generator of  $(L(t))$ . Then  $S$  is a closed, densely defined, linear operator in  $E$ . (The proof given in [1] for this standard result in the case where  $E$  is a Banach space can easily be generalized to the present setting.) Let  $D$  be the domain of  $S$  and let  $\tau$  be the strongest linear topology on  $E$  which is weaker than the original topology on  $E$  and which renders  $S$  continuous from  $D$  with its original topology to  $(E, \tau)$ . By Theorem 1,  $\tau$  is Hausdorff because  $S$  is closed. Also, by the description of  $\tau$  given in the proof of Theorem 1,  $\tau$  is locally convex. Let  $F$  be the completion of  $(E, \tau)$  (then  $F$  is also locally convex) and let  $T$  be the unique extension of  $S$  to a continuous linear map of  $E$  into  $F$ .

It is natural to ask whether  $(L(t))$  can be extended to a semigroup on  $F$  and what properties this extended semigroup may have. The next result deals with this question.

**THEOREM 3.** *The following statements hold:*

- (a) *For each  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , there is a unique continuous linear operator  $M(t)$  on  $F$  whose restriction to  $E$  is  $L(t)$ .*
- (b)  *$(M(t))$  is a locally equicontinuous semigroup of class  $(C_0)$  on  $F$  and the generator  $A$  of  $(M(t))$  is an extension of  $T$ .*
- (c) *If  $E$  is complete and if for some real number  $\beta$ ,  $\{e^{-t\beta}L(t): 0 \leq t < \infty\}$  is equicontinuous on  $E$ , then  $A = T$ .*

(We remark that if  $E$  is a Banach space then the hypotheses of (c) are automatically satisfied; see [3, p. 232].)

**PROOF.** Let  $\psi$  be the map  $(x, y) \mapsto y - Sx$  from  $D \times E$  onto  $E$ . It is a well-known observation that if  $x \in D$  then for  $0 \leq t < \infty$  we have  $L(t)x \in D$  and  $SL(t)x = L(t)Sx$ . From this it follows that  $L(t)\psi(x, y) = \psi(L(t)x, L(t)y)$ . Suppose  $a \in (0, \infty)$  and  $W$  is any neighbourhood of 0 in  $(E, \tau)$ . Then there are neighbourhoods  $U$  and  $V$  of 0 in  $E$  such that  $\psi[(D \cap V) \times V] \subseteq W$  and  $L(t)[U] \subseteq V$  for  $0 \leq t \leq a$ . But then  $L(t)[\psi[(D \cap U) \times U]] \subseteq W$  for  $0 \leq t \leq a$ . Now  $\psi[(D \cap U) \times U]$  is a neighbourhood of 0 in  $(E, \tau)$  since  $\psi$  is open onto  $(E, \tau)$ . Thus  $\{L(t): 0 \leq t \leq a\}$  is an equicontinuous family of linear operators on  $(E, \tau)$ . Part (a) follows from this. Moreover, since the closure in  $F$  of any neighbourhood of 0 in  $(E, \tau)$  is a

neighbourhood of 0 in  $F$ , it follows that  $\{M(t): 0 \leq t \leq a\}$  is equicontinuous on  $F$  for any  $a \in (0, \infty)$ . (A similar argument shows that under the hypotheses of (c),  $\{e^{-t\beta}M(t): 0 \leq t < \infty\}$  will be equicontinuous on  $F$ .) That  $M(0) = I$  and  $M(s + t) = M(s)M(t)$  is clear. The continuity of  $t \mapsto M(t)x$  for  $x \in F$  is proved by a uniform convergence argument using the local equicontinuity of  $(M(t))$ . It is obvious that  $A \supseteq S$ . Suppose  $x \in E$  and  $y = Tx$ . Then there is a net  $(x_i)$  in  $D$  converging to  $x$  in  $E$ . Then  $Sx_i \rightarrow y$  in  $F$  and  $x_i \rightarrow x$  in  $F$ . As  $A \supseteq S$  and  $A$  is closed in  $F \times F$ ,  $x$  belongs to the domain of  $A$  and  $Ax = y$ . Thus  $A \supseteq T$ .

Now suppose the hypotheses of part (c) are satisfied. Let  $\lambda \in (\beta, \infty)$ . Then  $(\lambda - S)^{-1}$  exists and is continuous from  $E$  into  $E$  and  $R := (\lambda - A)^{-1}$  exists and is continuous from  $F$  into  $F$ ; see [3, p. 240]. Since  $A \supseteq S$ ,  $R \supseteq (\lambda - S)^{-1}$ . It is easy to check that  $(\lambda - S)^{-1} \circ \psi$  is continuous from  $D \times E$  into  $E$ . It follows that  $(\lambda - S)^{-1}$  is continuous from  $(E, \tau)$  into  $E$ . Therefore the range of  $R$  is contained in  $E$ , since  $E$  is complete. Hence  $A = T$ . This completes the proof.

If  $x \in D$  then  $u(t) = L(t)x$  is the unique solution of the initial value problem  $u'(t) = Su(t)$ ,  $u(0) = x$ . As the next result shows, this is actually true for any  $x \in E$  and not just for  $x \in D$ , provided we replace  $S$  by its extension  $T$  and compute the derivative  $u'(t)$  relative to the topology of  $F$  instead of  $E$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *The following statements hold:*

- (a) *For each  $x \in E$ , we have  $F - dL(t)x/dt = TL(t)x$  on  $[0, \infty)$ .*
- (b) *If  $a \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $x \in E$ , and  $u: [0, a] \rightarrow E$  is continuous from  $[0, a]$  to  $F$  and satisfies  $F - du(t)/dt = Tu(t)$  on  $(0, a)$  and  $u(0) = x$  then  $u(t) = L(t)x$  for  $0 \leq t \leq a$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $(M(t))$  and  $A$  be as in Theorem 3. Then  $L(t)x = M(t)x$  and  $TL(t)x = AL(t)x$ . Thus (a) just says  $F - dM(t)x/dt = AM(t)x$  which, as is well known, holds for all  $x$  in the domain of  $A$  and in particular for all  $x \in E$ .

To prove (b), consider any  $b \in (0, a]$ . Define  $y: [0, b] \rightarrow E$  by  $y(t) = L(b - t)u(t) = M(b - t)u(t)$ . Using the equicontinuity of  $\{M(s): 0 \leq s \leq b\}$  on  $F$ , one can easily check that  $y$  is continuous from  $[0, b]$  into  $F$  and  $F - dy(t)/dt = 0$  on  $(0, b)$ . Hence  $y(b) = y(0)$ ; i.e.,  $u(b) = L(b)u(0) = L(b)x$ . This completes the proof.

As a final application we give an alternative proof of a result of K. Yosida [2, p. 58], to the effect that the weak generator of  $(L(t))$  is the same as  $S$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *Suppose  $x, y \in E$  and*

$$w\text{-}\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{L(h) - I}{h} x = y,$$

where  $w\text{-}\lim$  denotes weak limit in  $E$ . Then  $x \in D$  and  $y = Sx$ .

**PROOF.** The hypotheses implies that

$$F\text{-}w\text{-}\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{M(h) - I}{h} x = y$$

where  $M$  is as in Theorem 3 and  $F$ - $w$ - $\lim$  denotes weak limit in  $F$ . But by (b) of Theorem 3,

$$F\text{-}\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{M(h) - I}{h} x = Tx.$$

Since  $F$  is locally convex and Hausdorff, its weak topology is also Hausdorff. Hence  $y = Tx$ . Since  $S$  is closed and  $Tx \in E$ , we have  $x \in D$  by Theorem 2. Hence  $y = Sx$ . This completes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

1. W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973.
2. K. Yosida, *Lectures on semi-group theory and its application to Cauchy's problem in partial differential equations*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1957.
3. ———, *Functional analysis*, 5th ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305