

## SPATIAL THEORY FOR ALGEBRAS OF UNBOUNDED OPERATORS. II

A. INOUE AND K. TAKESUE

**ABSTRACT.** In the previous paper [6], we have studied the spatial theory of  $O_p^*$ -algebras with a strongly cyclic vector. In this paper, we will investigate the spatial theory between  $O_p^*$ -algebras induced by a positive invariant sesquilinear form, which contains the former result.

**1. Introduction.** The spatial theory of von Neumann algebras has been investigated in detail, but the study for unbounded operator algebras seems to be hardly done except in [6 and 7].

In this paper we will continue the study in a more general framework which contains the former result [6].

In §2, we will introduce some notions which are used in this paper, for example,  $O_p^*$ -algebra, positive invariant sesquilinear form and so on.

In §3, we will consider a generalization of strongly cyclic vector for an  $O_p^*$ -algebra, which we call a strongly cyclic vector representation.

In §4, we will investigate the spatial theory between  $O_p^*$ -algebras induced by a positive invariant sesquilinear form.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $\mathfrak{D}$  be a dense subspace of a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . By  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{D})$ , we denote the set of all closed operators of  $\mathfrak{D}$  into  $\mathfrak{D}$ . By  $\mathfrak{L}^+(\mathfrak{D})$  we denote the set of all  $A \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{D})$  with  $\mathfrak{D}(A^*) \supset \mathfrak{D}$  and  $A^*\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{D}$ , where  $\mathfrak{D}(A^*)$  denotes the domain of the adjoint operator  $A^*$ . When we put  $A^+ = A^*/\mathfrak{D}$ , the map  $A \in \mathfrak{L}^+(\mathfrak{D}) \rightarrow A^+ \in \mathfrak{L}^+(\mathfrak{D})$  becomes an involution, and  $\mathfrak{L}^+(\mathfrak{D})$  is a  $*$ -algebra with the usual operation. For a subalgebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{D})$ ,  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{D})$  is called an  $O_p$ -algebra and for a  $*$ -subalgebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $\mathfrak{L}^+(\mathfrak{D})$ ,  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{D})$  is called an  $O_p^*$ -algebra. We note that an  $O_p^*$ -algebra is an  $O_p$ -algebra.

Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{D})$  be an  $O_p$ -algebra. Then we define seminorms  $\|\cdot\|_A$  on  $\mathfrak{D}$  by  $\|\xi\|_A = \|A\xi\|$  for  $A \in \mathfrak{A}_I$  and  $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}$ , where  $\mathfrak{A}_I$  denotes the algebra obtained by adjoining an identity operator to  $\mathfrak{A}$ . The locally convex topology on  $\mathfrak{D}$  defined by the seminorms  $\{\|\cdot\|_A; A \in \mathfrak{A}_I\}$  is called the induced topology  $t_{\mathfrak{A}}$ . If  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{A}) \equiv \bigcap_{A \in \mathfrak{A}} \mathfrak{D}(A) = \mathfrak{D}$ ,  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{D})$  is called closed. Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{D})$  be an  $O_p^*$ -algebra. An  $O_p^*$ -algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{D})$  satisfying  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathfrak{A}} \mathfrak{D}(A^*) = \mathfrak{D}$  is called selfadjoint.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $*$ -algebra which does not necessarily possess an identity. A sesquilinear form  $\phi$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called positive invariant if

$$\phi(x, x) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(ax, y) = \phi(x, a^*y)$$

---

Received by the editors December 29, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47D40, 46K10; Secondary 46L10.

©1983 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9939/82/0000-0652/\$02.50

for each  $a, x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\phi$  be a positive invariant sesquilinear form on a  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then we obtain the following quartet  $(\pi_\phi(\mathcal{A}), \mathfrak{D}_\phi, \lambda_\phi, \mathfrak{H}_\phi)$  by the well-known GNS-construction of  $\phi$ , where  $\mathfrak{D}_\phi$  is a dense subspace of a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}_\phi$ ,  $(\pi_\phi(\mathcal{A}), \mathfrak{D}_\phi)$  is a closed  $O_p^*$ -algebra and  $\lambda_\phi$  is a linear map of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{D}_\phi$  (note:  $\lambda_\phi(\mathcal{A})$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{D}_\phi$  with respect to the induced topology  $t_{\pi_\phi(\mathcal{A})}$ ) satisfying  $\lambda_\phi(ax) = \pi_\phi(a)\lambda_\phi(x)$  for each  $a, x \in \mathcal{A}$ . We put

$$\mathfrak{D}(\pi_\phi^*) = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{A}} \mathfrak{D}(\pi_\phi(x)^*), \quad \pi_\phi^*(x)\xi = \pi_\phi(x^*)^*\xi,$$

for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}(\pi_\phi^*)$ . Then  $(\pi_\phi^*(\mathcal{A}), \mathfrak{D}(\pi_\phi^*))$  is an  $O_p$ -algebra. We put

$$\mathfrak{D}(\pi_\phi^{**}) = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{A}} \mathfrak{D}(\pi_\phi^*(x)^*), \quad \pi_\phi^{**}(x)\xi = \pi_\phi^*(x^*)^*\xi,$$

for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}(\pi_\phi^{**})$ . Then  $(\pi_\phi^{**}(\mathcal{A}), \mathfrak{D}(\pi_\phi^{**}))$  is an  $O_p^*$ -algebra. The form  $\phi$  is called Riesz if  $\mathfrak{D}(\pi_\phi^*) = \mathfrak{D}_\phi$ .

**3. Strongly cyclic vector representations.** In the previous paper [6], we investigated the spatial theory of  $O_p^*$ -algebras with a strongly cyclic vector. It will be natural that we consider a generalization, which is defined in the following, of the notion of strongly cyclic vector for an  $O_p^*$ -algebra which does not necessarily have an identity.

Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{D})$  be a closed  $O_p^*$ -algebra which does not necessarily have an identity and let  $\lambda$  be a linear mapping of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Then  $\lambda$  is called a vector representation if  $\lambda(AB) = A\lambda(B)$  holds for each  $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$ . In particular, if  $\lambda(\mathfrak{A})$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{D}$  with respect to the induced topology  $t_\mathfrak{A}$ , then  $\lambda$  is called a strongly cyclic vector representation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{D}$ . We now consider the following two classes  $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}$ :

$$\mathfrak{X} = \left\{ (\mathcal{A}, \phi) \left| \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A} \text{ is a } * \text{-algebra and } \phi \text{ is a positive invariant} \\ \text{sesquilinear form on } \mathcal{A} \text{ such that } \pi_\phi \text{ is faithful} \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

$$\mathfrak{Y} = \left\{ (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{D}, \lambda) \left| \begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{A} \text{ is a closed } O_p^* \text{-algebra on a pre-Hilbert space } \mathfrak{D} \\ \text{and } \lambda \text{ is a strongly cyclic vector representation} \\ \text{of } \mathfrak{A} \text{ into } \mathfrak{D} \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

We introduce an equivalence relation on  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{D}, \lambda)$  and  $(\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{E}, \mu)$  be elements of  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{D}, \lambda)$  and  $(\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{E}, \mu)$  are called equivalent if there exists a unitary transform  $U$  of  $\mathfrak{D}$  onto  $\mathfrak{E}$  such that  $U\mathfrak{A}U^+ = \mathfrak{L}$  and  $U\lambda(A) = \mu(UAU^+)$  for each  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ . In this context, we have the following Proposition.

**PROPOSITION.** *There exists a map  $F$  of  $\mathfrak{X}$  into  $\mathfrak{Y}$  such that for each  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{D}, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{Y}$  we can assure the existence of an element  $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  of  $\mathfrak{X}$  whose image by  $F$  is equivalent to  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{D}, \lambda)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Naturally we have a closed  $O_p^*$ -algebra  $(\pi_\phi(\mathcal{A}), \mathfrak{D}_\phi)$  by GNS-construction of  $\phi$ . We now define a map  $\lambda$  of  $\pi_\phi(\mathcal{A})$  into  $\mathfrak{D}_\phi$ , putting  $\lambda(\pi_\phi(a)) = \lambda_\phi(a)$  for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  which is well defined since  $\pi_\phi$  is faithful. Clearly  $(\pi_\phi(\mathcal{A}), \mathfrak{D}_\phi, \lambda)$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . We now define a map  $F$  of  $\mathfrak{X}$  into  $\mathfrak{Y}$ , putting  $F((\mathcal{A}, \phi)) = (\pi_\phi(\mathcal{A}), \mathfrak{D}_\phi, \lambda)$ . In the following we will show the latter part of this Proposition. Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{D}, \lambda)$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . We now define a positive

invariant sesquilinear form  $\phi$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$ , putting  $\phi(x, y) = (\lambda(x) | \lambda(y))$  for each  $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ . We consider the closed  $O_p^*$ -algebra  $(\pi_\phi(\mathfrak{A}), \mathfrak{V}_\phi)$  by GNS-construction of  $\phi$ . Since  $\phi(x, y) = (\lambda(x) | \lambda(y)) = (\lambda_\phi(x) | \lambda_\phi(y))$  for each  $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ , there exists a unitary transform  $U$  of  $\mathfrak{V}$  onto  $\mathfrak{V}_\phi$  such that  $U\lambda(x) = \lambda_\phi(x)$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Then we have  $UaU^+ = \pi_\phi(a)$  for each  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $U\mathfrak{A}U^+ = \pi_\phi(\mathfrak{A})$ . Thus  $\pi_\phi$  is faithful and  $(\mathfrak{A}, \phi) \in \mathfrak{X}$ . When we put  $F((\mathfrak{A}, \phi)) = (\pi_\phi(\mathfrak{A}), \mathfrak{V}_\phi, \mu)$ , by the definition of  $F$ ,  $\mu$  is a map of  $\pi_\phi(\mathfrak{A})$  into  $\mathfrak{V}_\phi$  defined by  $\mu(\pi_\phi(a)) = \lambda_\phi(a)$  for each  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Thus we have  $U\lambda(a) = \lambda_\phi(a) = \mu(\pi_\phi(a)) = \mu(UaU^+)$  for each  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Therefore  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{V}, \lambda)$  is equivalent to  $(\pi_\phi(\mathfrak{A}), \mathfrak{V}_\phi, \mu) = F((\mathfrak{A}, \phi))$ . This completes the proof.

By the above consideration, we see that the investigation of  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{V}, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{Y}$  is equivalent to that of  $(\mathfrak{A}, \phi) \in \mathfrak{X}$ .

**4. Spatial theory.** First of all, we introduce some notation to clarify the object with which we will now deal for spatial theory. Let  $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$  be  $*$ -algebras which do not necessarily have an identity. By  $I(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$  we denote the set of all  $*$ -isomorphisms of  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Let  $\phi$  (resp.  $\psi$ ) be a positive invariant sesquilinear form on  $\mathfrak{A}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{B}$ ). By  $I((\mathfrak{A}, \phi), (\mathfrak{B}, \psi))$  we denote the set of all  $\alpha \in I(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$  satisfying  $\alpha(\ker \pi_\phi) = \ker \pi_\psi$ . Let  $(\pi_\phi(\mathfrak{A}), \mathfrak{V}_\phi, \lambda_\phi)$  (resp.  $(\pi_\psi(\mathfrak{B}), \mathfrak{V}_\psi, \lambda_\psi)$ ) be the closed  $O_p^*$ -algebra by the GNS-construction of  $\phi$  (resp.  $\psi$ ). Then a  $*$ -isomorphism  $\alpha_{\psi\phi}$  of  $\pi_\phi(\mathfrak{A})$  onto  $\pi_\psi(\mathfrak{B})$  is defined by

$$\alpha_{\psi\phi}(\pi_\phi(x)) = \pi_\psi(\alpha(x))$$

for each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

In this paper, we will investigate when  $*$ -isomorphisms  $\alpha_{\psi\phi}$  gained in this manner can be spatially realized. We note that this setting contains the spatial problem between the closed  $O_p^*$ -algebras with a strongly cyclic vector representation by the consideration of §3.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  be the positive invariant sesquilinear forms on  $*$ -algebras  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  respectively, and let  $\alpha$  be an element of  $I((\mathfrak{A}, \phi), (\mathfrak{B}, \psi))$ .*

(1) *The following statements are equivalent.*

(1.1) *There exists an isometry  $U$  of  $\mathfrak{V}_\psi$  into  $\mathfrak{V}(\pi_\phi^*)$  such that  $U\alpha_{\psi\phi}(\pi_\phi(x)) = \pi_\phi^*(x)U$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ .*

(1.2) *There exists a linear map  $\mu$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{V}(\pi_\phi^*)$  such that  $\mu(ax) = \pi_\phi^*(a)\mu(x)$  and  $(\lambda_\psi(\alpha(x)) | \lambda_\psi(\alpha(y))) = (\mu(x) | \mu(y))$  for each  $a, x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ .*

(2) *Under the condition of (1), the following statements hold.*

(2.1) *There exists an isometry  $U$  of  $\mathfrak{V}_\psi$  into  $\mathfrak{V}(\pi_\phi^*)$  such that  $\alpha_{\psi\phi}(\pi_\phi(x)) = U^*\pi_\phi^*(x)U$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Moreover,  $U^*\mathfrak{V}(\pi_\phi^{**}) \subset \mathfrak{V}(\pi_\psi^*)$  and  $\pi_\psi^*(\alpha(x))U^*\xi = U^*\pi_\phi^{**}(x)\xi$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\xi \in \mathfrak{V}(\pi_\phi^{**})$ .*

(2.2) *If  $\pi_\psi^{**} = \pi_\psi^*$  (in particular, if  $\psi$  is a Riesz form), we have  $\bar{U}U^* \in \pi_\phi(\mathfrak{A})'$ , where  $\pi_\phi(\mathfrak{A})'$  denotes the set of all bounded operators  $A$  on  $\mathfrak{H}_\phi$  satisfying  $(A\pi_\phi(x)\xi | \eta) = (A\xi | \pi_\phi(x^*)\eta)$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{V}_\phi$ .*

(2.3) *Suppose that  $\pi_\psi^* = \pi_\psi$ ,  $\pi_\phi(\mathfrak{A})' = \mathbf{CI}$  and  $\mu(\mathfrak{A}) \subset \mathfrak{V}_\phi$ . Then  $U$  is a unitary transform of  $\mathfrak{V}_\psi$  onto  $\mathfrak{V}_\phi$  and  $\phi$  is a Riesz form. Therefore, in this case, we have  $\alpha_{\psi\phi}(\pi_\phi(x)) = U^+\pi_\phi(x)U$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ , where  $U^+$  denotes the restriction of  $U^*$  to  $\mathfrak{V}_\phi$ .*

PROOF. (1) (1.1)  $\Rightarrow$  (1.2). Putting  $\mu(x) = U\lambda_\psi(\alpha(x))$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ , we easily see that this map  $\mu$  satisfies all the conditions of (1.2).

(1.2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1.1). By the assumption, there exists an isometry  $U$  of  $\mathfrak{D}_\psi$  into  $\mathfrak{K}_\phi$  such that  $U\lambda_\psi(\alpha(x)) = \mu(x)$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $\xi$  be an arbitrary element of  $\mathfrak{D}_\psi$ . Then there exists a net  $\{y_i\}$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\lambda_\psi(\alpha(y_i))$  converges to  $\xi$  in the induced topology  $t_{\pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A})}$ . For each  $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_\phi(x^*)\lambda_\phi(y) \mid U\xi) &= \lim_i (\pi_\phi(x^*)\lambda_\phi(y) \mid U\lambda_\psi(\alpha(y_i))) \\ &= \lim_i (\pi_\phi(x^*)\lambda_\phi(y) \mid \mu(y_i)) = \lim_i (\lambda_\phi(y) \mid \pi_\phi^*(x)\mu(y_i)) \\ &= \lim_i (\lambda_\phi(y) \mid \mu(xy_i)) = \lim_i (\lambda_\phi(y) \mid U\lambda_\psi(\alpha(xy_i))) \\ &= \lim_i (\lambda_\phi(y) \mid U\pi_\psi(\alpha(x))\lambda_\psi(\alpha(y_i))) = (\lambda_\phi(y) \mid U\pi_\psi(\alpha(x))\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have  $U\xi \in \mathfrak{D}(\pi_\phi(x^*))$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$  and thus we have  $U\xi \in \mathfrak{D}(\pi_\phi^*)$  and  $\pi_\phi^*(x)U\xi = U\pi_\psi(\alpha(x))\xi$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}_\psi$ . This shows that the isometry  $U$  satisfies all the conditions of (1.1).

(2) (2.1) Let  $U$  be an isometry of  $\mathfrak{D}_\psi$  into  $\mathfrak{D}(\pi_\phi^*)$  such that  $U\alpha_{\psi\phi}(\pi_\phi(x)) = \pi_\phi^*(x)U$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ . It is then clear that  $\alpha_{\psi\phi}(\pi_\phi(x)) = U^*\pi_\phi^*(x)U$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ . For each  $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}(\pi_\phi^{**}), \eta \in \mathfrak{D}_\psi$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_\psi(\alpha(x^*))\eta \mid U^*\xi) &= (U\pi_\psi(\alpha(x^*))\eta \mid \xi) = (U\alpha_{\psi\phi}(\pi_\phi(x^*))\eta \mid \xi) \\ &= (\pi_\phi^*(x^*)U\eta \mid \xi) = (U\eta \mid \pi_\phi^{**}(x)\xi) = (\eta \mid U^*\pi_\phi^{**}(x)\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have  $U^*\xi \in \mathfrak{D}(\pi_\psi(\alpha(x^*)))$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$  and thus  $U^*\xi \in \mathfrak{D}(\pi_\psi^*)$  and  $\pi_\psi^*(\alpha(x))U^*\xi = U^*\pi_\phi^{**}(x)\xi$ .

(2.2) We now suppose that  $\pi_\psi^{**} = \pi_\psi^*$ . Then, since  $U^*\lambda_\phi(y) \in \mathfrak{D}(\pi_\psi^*) = \mathfrak{D}(\pi_\psi^{**})$  for each  $y \in \mathfrak{A}$ , it follows that for each  $a, x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{U}U^*\pi_\phi(a)\lambda_\phi(x) \mid \lambda_\phi(y)) &= (\pi_\psi^*(\alpha(a))U^*\lambda_\phi(x) \mid U^*\lambda_\phi(y)) \\ &= (U^*\lambda_\phi(x) \mid \pi_\psi^*(\alpha(a^*))U^*\lambda_\phi(y)) \\ &= (U^*\lambda_\phi(x) \mid U^*\pi_\phi^{**}(a^*)\lambda_\phi(y)) \\ &= (\bar{U}U^*\lambda_\phi(x) \mid \pi_\phi(a^*)\lambda_\phi(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have  $\bar{U}U^* \in \pi_\phi(\mathfrak{A})'$ .

(2.3) By the assumption, it is clear that  $\bar{U}$  is a unitary transform of  $\mathfrak{K}_\psi$  onto  $\mathfrak{K}_\phi$  and  $U\mathfrak{D}_\psi \subset \mathfrak{D}_\phi$  (since  $U\mathfrak{D}_\psi$  is the  $t_{\pi_\phi^*(\mathfrak{A})}$ -closure of  $\mu(\mathfrak{A})$ ). On the other hand, by (2.1) we have  $U^+\mathfrak{D}_\psi \subset \mathfrak{D}_\psi$ . Thus we have  $U\mathfrak{D}_\psi = \mathfrak{D}_\psi$ . This shows that  $U$  is a unitary transform of  $\mathfrak{D}_\psi$  onto  $\mathfrak{D}_\phi$ . Therefore we have  $\alpha_{\psi\phi}(\pi_\phi(x)) = U^+\pi_\phi(x)U$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ . It is almost clear that  $\phi$  is a Riesz form. This completes the proof.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $*$ -algebra and  $\phi, \psi$  be positive invariant sesquilinear forms on  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $\psi$  is called  $\phi$ -bounded if for each  $y \in \mathfrak{A}$  there exists a positive number  $\gamma_y$  such that  $|\psi(x, y)|^2 \leq \gamma_y\phi(x, x)$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ . If there exists a positive number  $\gamma$  such that  $\psi(x, x) \leq \gamma\phi(x, x)$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ , then  $\psi$  is called  $\phi$ -dominated. Let  $I_b((\mathfrak{A}, \phi), (\mathfrak{B}, \psi))$  (resp.  $I_d((\mathfrak{A}, \phi), (\mathfrak{B}, \psi))$ ) be the set of all  $\alpha \in I((\mathfrak{A}, \phi), (\mathfrak{B}, \psi))$

satisfying that  $\psi_\alpha$  is  $\phi$ -bounded (resp.  $\phi$ -dominated), where  $\psi_\alpha$  is a positive invariant sesquilinear form on  $\mathcal{A}$  defined by  $\psi_\alpha(x, y) = \psi(\alpha(x), \alpha(y))$  for each  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Before proceeding with our argument, we note the following well-known fact (therefore we omit its proof).

**LEMMA 4.2 (FRIEDRICH'S EXTENSION).** *Let  $\mathfrak{D}$  be a dense linear subspace of a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $T_0$  be an operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  with domain  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Suppose that  $(T_0\xi | \xi) \geq 0$  for each  $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}$ . Then there exists a selfadjoint positive operator  $T$  such that  $T$  is an extension of  $T_0$  and  $X\mathfrak{D}(T) \subset \mathfrak{D}(T)$  and  $XT\xi = TX\xi$  for each  $X \in \mathfrak{B}(T_0)$  and  $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}(T)$ , where  $\mathfrak{D}(T)$  is the domain of  $T$  and  $\mathfrak{B}(T_0)$  is the set of all bounded operators  $X$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfying  $X\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{D}$ ,  $X^*\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{D}$  and  $XT_0\xi = T_0X\xi$ ,  $X^*T_0\xi = T_0X^*\xi$  for each  $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}$ .*

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $\alpha$  be an element of  $I_b((\mathcal{A}, \phi), (\mathfrak{B}, \psi))$ . Suppose that  $\phi$  is admissible; that is,  $\pi_\phi(x)$  is a bounded operator for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then,  $\psi$  is also admissible and there exists an isometry  $U$  of  $\mathcal{H}_\psi$  into  $\mathcal{H}_\phi$  such that  $\alpha_{\psi\phi}(\pi_\phi(x)) = U^*\pi_\phi(x)U$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

**PROOF.** Putting  $K_0\lambda_\phi(x) = \lambda_\psi(\alpha(x))$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , we define an operator  $K_0$  with domain  $\lambda_\phi(\mathcal{A})$ , which is well defined by the assumption. The inequality  $|\psi(\alpha(x), \alpha(y))|^2 \leq \gamma_\psi\phi(x, x)$  means that  $|(K_0\lambda_\phi(x) | \lambda_\psi(\alpha(y)))| \leq \gamma_\psi^{1/2} \|\lambda_\phi(x)\|$ . Hence  $\lambda_\psi(\mathfrak{B}) (= K_0\lambda_\phi(\mathcal{A}))$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{D}(K_0^*)$ . For each  $a, x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (K_0^*K_0\pi_\phi(a)\lambda_\phi(x) | \lambda_\phi(y)) &= (\lambda_\psi(\alpha(ax)) | \lambda_\psi(\alpha(y))) \\ &= (\pi_\psi(\alpha(a))\lambda_\psi(\alpha(x)) | \lambda_\psi(\alpha(y))) \\ &= (\lambda_\psi(\alpha(x)) | \pi_\psi(\alpha(a^*))\lambda_\psi(\alpha(y))) \\ &= (K_0\lambda_\phi(x) | K_0\lambda_\phi(a^*y)) \\ &= (K_0^*K_0\lambda_\phi(x) | \pi_\phi(a^*)\lambda_\phi(y)) \\ &= (\pi_\phi(a)K_0^*K_0\lambda_\phi(x) | \lambda_\phi(y)) \end{aligned}$$

(since  $\pi_\phi(a^*)$  is a bounded operator). Hence we have  $K_0^*K_0\pi_\phi(a)\lambda_\phi(x) = \pi_\phi(a)K_0^*K_0\lambda_\phi(x)$  for each  $a, x \in \mathcal{A}$ . When we use Lemma 4.2 for  $K_0^*K_0$ , we can easily see the existence of a selfadjoint positive operator  $H$  such that  $H$  is an extension of  $K_0^*K_0$  and affiliated with the von Neumann algebra  $\pi_\phi(\mathcal{A})'$ . For each  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$(H^{1/2}\lambda_\phi(x) | H^{1/2}\lambda_\phi(y)) = (\lambda_\psi(\alpha(x)) | \lambda_\psi(\alpha(y))).$$

Therefore, when we put  $\mu(x) = H^{1/2}\lambda_\phi(x)$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , the map  $\mu$  satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 4.1(1.2). Thus there exists an isometry  $U$  of  $\mathfrak{D}_\psi$  into  $\mathcal{H}_\phi$  such that  $\alpha_{\psi\phi}(\pi_\phi(x)) = U^*\pi_\phi(x)U$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . On the other hand, since

$$\pi_\psi(\alpha(x)) = \alpha_{\psi\phi}(\pi_\phi(x)) = U^*\pi_\phi(x)U$$

for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\pi_\psi(y)$  is a bounded operator for each  $y \in \mathfrak{B}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{D}_\psi = \mathcal{H}_\psi$  and  $\psi$  is admissible. This completes the proof.

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $\alpha$  be an element of  $I_d((\mathcal{A}, \phi), (\mathcal{B}, \psi))$ . Suppose that  $\phi$  is a Riesz form. Then there exists an isometry  $U$  of  $\mathfrak{O}_\psi$  into  $\mathfrak{O}_\phi$  such that  $\alpha_{\psi\phi}(\pi_\phi(x)) = U^+ \pi_\psi(x) U$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

**PROOF.** By the assumption, there exists a bounded operator  $K$  of  $\mathfrak{K}_\phi$  into  $\mathfrak{K}_\psi$  such that  $K\lambda_\phi(x) = \lambda_\psi(\alpha(x))$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then we can easily see that  $K^*K \in \pi_\phi(\mathcal{A})'$ . Since  $\pi_\phi(\mathcal{A})'$  is a von Neumann algebra,  $(K^*K)^{1/2}$  is an element of  $\pi_\phi(\mathcal{A})'$ . Now we put  $\mu(x) = (K^*K)^{1/2}\lambda_\phi(x)$ . Then it is almost clear that  $\mu$  satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 4.1(1.2). Hence there exists an isometry  $U$  of  $\mathfrak{O}_\psi$  into  $\mathfrak{O}_\phi$  such that  $\alpha_{\psi\phi}(\pi_\phi(x)) = U^+ \pi_\psi(x) U$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . This completes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien*, 2<sup>e</sup> ed., Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
2. S. P. Gudder and W. Scruggs, *Unbounded representations of \*-algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **70** (1977), 369–382.
3. A. Inoue, *Operator-representations and vector-representations of positive linear functionals*, Fukuoka Univ. Rep. (to appear).
4. G. Lassner, *Topological algebras of operators*, Rep. Math. Phys. **3** (1972), 279–293.
5. R. T. Powers, *Self-adjoint algebras of unbounded operators*, Comm. Math. Phys. **21** (1971), 85–124.
6. K. Takesue, *Spatial theory for algebras of unbounded operators*, Rep. Math. Phys. (to appear).
7. A. Uhlmann, *Properties of the algebra  $L^+(D)$* , JINR, Comm. E2-8149, Dubna, 1974.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, FUKUOKA UNIVERSITY, 814-01, FUKUOKA, JAPAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, FUKUOKA, JAPAN