

**A NOTE ON THE COHEN-MACAULAY TYPE OF LINES
 IN UNIFORM POSITION IN A^{n+1}**

WILLIAM C. BROWN

ABSTRACT. Let ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_s be s -distinct lines in A_k^{n+1} passing through the origin. Assume $s = \binom{n+d}{n} - \lambda$ where $n, d \geq 2$. If ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_s are in generic s -position, and $\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, then the Cohen-Macaulay type, $t(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s)$, of ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_s is given by the following formula: $t(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s) = \binom{n+d-1}{n} - \lambda$. This formula is known to be false for $\lambda = n$. In this paper, we show that if ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_s are in uniform position, and $\lambda = n$, then $t(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s) = \binom{n+d-1}{n} - n$.

Introduction. Throughout this paper, k will denote an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. We shall let A_k^{n+1} and P_k^n denote affine $(n+1)$ -space and projective n -space over k respectively.

If ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_s are s -distinct lines in A_k^{n+1} passing through the origin, we shall identify ℓ_i with its direction numbers $P_i \in P_k^n$, $i = 1, \dots, s$. Let $\mathcal{S} = k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ denote the coordinate ring of A_k^{n+1} (or P_k^n). The j th homogeneous piece of \mathcal{S} will be denoted by \mathcal{S}_j . Thus, \mathcal{S}_j is a k -vector space of dimension $\binom{n+j}{n}$. Set $\nu(j) = \binom{n+j}{n}$. Let $\{g_1, \dots, g_{\nu(j)}\}$ be a vector space basis of \mathcal{S}_j . We can then form an $[s \times \nu(j)]$ -matrix $G_j(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s)$ as follows: the (α, β) th entry of $G_j(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s)$ is $g_{\beta_j}(P_\alpha)$. We shall denote the rank of the matrix $G_j(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s)$ by $\text{rk}(G_j(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s))$.

The lines ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_s are said to be in generic s -position if $\text{rk}(G_j(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s)) = \min\{s, \nu(j)\}$ for all $j \geq 1$. If $0 < t \leq s$, we say ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_s are in generic t -position if every t -subset of $\{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s\}$ is in generic t -position. Finally, the set of lines ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_s is said to be in uniform position if it is in generic t -position for every $0 < t \leq s$.

Now let ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_s be in generic s -position in A_k^{n+1} . We assume $s = \nu(d) - \lambda$. Here $0 \leq \lambda \leq \nu(d-1) + 1$. To avoid trivial cases, we shall assume throughout the rest of this paper that $n \geq 2$, and $d \geq 2$. By the Cohen-Macaulay type, $t(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s)$, of ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_s , we shall mean the Cohen-Macaulay type of the corresponding local ring (at the origin) of the reduced curve $\cup_{i=1}^s \ell_i$. The reader is referred to [2] for all pertinent definitions not given here.

$t(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s)$ is known when $\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. A precise formula is given as follows:

$$(1) \quad t(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s) = \binom{n+d-1}{n-1} - \lambda, \quad \lambda = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Received by the editors August 11, 1982 and, in revised form, September 3, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 13H10, 14H20, 13H15.

Key words and phrases. Cohen-Macaulay type, generic s -position, uniform position.

©1983 American Mathematical Society
 0002-9939/82/0000-1235/\$02.00

When $\lambda = 0$, equation (1) follows from Proposition 16 in [3]. For $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, equation (1) was established by L. Roberts in [5].

When $\lambda = n$, Roberts showed [5] that equation (1) continues to hold “generically”. More precisely, let us topologize the lines through the origin in \mathbf{A}_k^{n+1} by identifying $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s$ with the point (P_1, \dots, P_s) in s -copies $\mathbf{P}_k^n \times \dots \times \mathbf{P}_k^n$ of \mathbf{P}_k^n . Then, when $\lambda = n$, Roberts showed that there exists a nonempty, Zariski open subset U of $\mathbf{P}_k^n \times \dots \times \mathbf{P}_k^n$ ($s = \nu(d) - n$ -copies here) such that $t(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s) = \binom{n+d-1}{n-1} - n$ whenever $(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s) \in U$.

The exact nature of the open set U is not clear. For instance, $U' = \{(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s) \in \mathbf{P}_k^n \times \dots \times \mathbf{P}_k^n \mid \mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s \text{ are in generic } s\text{-position in } \mathbf{A}_k^{n+1}\}$ is easily seen to be a nonempty, open subset of $\mathbf{P}_k^n \times \dots \times \mathbf{P}_k^n$. But, for $\lambda = n$, equation (1) is not true on U' . Concrete examples can be found in [1]. In this paper, we give a specific open set upon which equation (1) continues to hold when $\lambda = n$. Namely, let $U'' = \{(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s) \in \mathbf{P}_k^n \times \dots \times \mathbf{P}_k^n \mid \mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s \text{ are in uniform position}\}$. One easily checks that U'' is a nonempty, open subset of $\mathbf{P}_k^n \times \dots \times \mathbf{P}_k^n$ contained in U' . We shall show that the Cohen-Macaulay type of $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s$ is given by equation (1) when $\lambda = n$, and $(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s) \in U''$.

The question of the “generic” Cohen-Macaulay type of lines in \mathbf{A}_k^3 (i.e. $n = 2$) has been completely solved in [4]. The results there seem to indicate that “uniform position” is not a strong enough hypothesis to give an equation like (1) when $n > 2$, and $\lambda > n$.

Finally, the author assumes the reader is familiar with the contents of [2, 3 and 4] and will use results from these three papers freely.

Main result.

THEOREM. *Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s$ be s -distinct lines passing through the origin in \mathbf{A}_k^{n+1} . We assume $s = \binom{n+d}{n} - n$ with $n, d \geq 2$. If $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s$ are in uniform position, then*

$$(2) \quad t(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s) = \binom{n+d-1}{n-1} - n.$$

PROOF. Recall $\mathfrak{S} = k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$. Let \mathcal{G} denote the ideal of $\cup_{i=1}^s \mathcal{L}_i$ in \mathfrak{S} . Thus, \mathcal{G} is a homogeneous, unmixed, radical ideal of height n in \mathfrak{S} . We first argue that we can assume without loss of generality that every nonzero form in \mathcal{G}_d is irreducible. Here, \mathcal{G}_d denotes the d th homogeneous piece of \mathcal{G} .

We first consider the special case $d = 2$. Then $s = \binom{n+2}{n} - n$ with $n \geq 2$. Clearly, $2 = \min\{j \mid \binom{n+j}{j} > s\}$ and, thus, it follows from [2, Remark (3), p. 8] that $\mathcal{G}_2 \neq (0)$. Suppose \mathcal{G}_2 contains a nonzero, reducible quadratic form F . Then $F = l_1 l_2$ with l_i a one form in \mathfrak{S} . $\{P_1, \dots, P_s\} \subseteq V(F) = V(l_1) \cup V(l_2)$ in \mathbf{P}_k^n . Suppose $\{P_1, \dots, P_s\} \subseteq V(l_1)$. Again using [2, Remark (3), p. 8], we would have $\nu(1) - 1 \geq s$. This last inequality implies $4n \geq (n+2)(n+1)$ which is impossible since $n \geq 2$. Thus, some of the P_i lie on l_1 and some on l_2 . Let t_i denote the number of points in $\{P_1, \dots, P_s\} \cap V(l_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $t_i \geq 1$, and $t_1 + t_2 \geq s$. Again applying [2, Remark (3), p. 8], we have the following inequality:

$$(3) \quad 2[\nu(1) - 1] \geq t_1 + t_2 \geq s.$$

The inequality in (3) implies $6n \geq n^2 + 3n + 2$. This is possible only in the case $n = 2$. But then $s = 4$, and we have 4-lines in uniform position in \mathbf{A}_k^3 . Now it is well known (e.g. [2, Theorem 7]) that 4-lines in uniform position in \mathbf{A}_k^3 have a Gorenstein singularity at the origin. Therefore, $\iota(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3, \mathcal{L}_4) = 1 = \binom{2+2-1}{2} - 2$, and our theorem is correct in this case. So, when $d = 2$, we can assume $n \geq 3$. In this case, the above argument shows any $F \in \mathcal{G}_2 - (0)$ is irreducible.

Now assume $d \geq 3$. We first note that $\nu(d - 1) < s < \nu(d)$ (these inequalities hold for $d = 2$ as well). In particular, $d = \min\{j \mid \nu(j) > s\}$. Thus, $\mathcal{G}_j = 0$ for $j < d$, and $\mathcal{G}_d \neq 0$. Since $d \geq 3$, we have $\nu(d - 1) + n \leq s$. Thus, if we write $s = \nu(d - 1) + h$, then $n \leq h < \binom{n+d-1}{n-1}$. It now follows immediately from [4, Theorem 3.4] that every $F \in \mathcal{G}_d - (0)$ is irreducible. This completes all cases for d , and, so, henceforth, we shall assume that any nonzero form in \mathcal{G}_d is irreducible.

Since $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s$ are in generic s -position, $\dim_k(\mathcal{G}_j) = \nu(j) - \min\{s, \nu(j)\}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. In particular, $\dim \mathcal{G}_d = n$. Thus, $\mathcal{G}_d = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n kF_i$ with F_1, \dots, F_n irreducible, d -forms in \mathcal{G} .

Let the associated primes of \mathcal{G} be denoted by $\mathfrak{P}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_s$, and let the associated primes of the ideal (F_i, F_j) be denoted by $Q_1^{i,j}, \dots, Q_{r(i,j)}^{i,j}$. Here $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, and $r(i, j)$ is some positive integer. Since \mathcal{S} is a Cohen-Macaulay ring, the grade of \mathcal{G} is n . Since $\{F_i, F_j\}$ are linearly independent, irreducible forms, the grade of (F_i, F_j) is two. In particular, the maximal ideal (X_0, \dots, X_n) properly contains any \mathfrak{P}_i or $Q_i^{i,j}$. Thus, if we set

$$W = \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{P}_i \cap \mathcal{S}_1 \right\} \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{i \neq j} \left(\bigcup_i Q_i^{i,j} \cap \mathcal{S}_1 \right) \right\},$$

then $\mathcal{S}_1 - W$ is nonempty. Let $g \in \mathcal{S}_1 - W$. Then g is not in any associated prime of \mathcal{G} or of (F_i, F_j) for $i \neq j$. Changing coordinates in \mathbf{P}_k^n if need be, we can assume $g = X_0$ without any loss in generality.

Now set $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{G}$, the coordinate ring of $\bigcup_{i=1}^s \mathcal{L}_i$. Let x_0 denote the image of X_0 in \mathcal{R} . Then x_0 is a nonzero-divisor in \mathcal{R} . Also, for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, $\{F_i, F_j, X_0\}$ is a regular sequence of length three in \mathcal{S} . Since F_i, F_j and X_0 are all forms, $\{X_0, F_i, F_j\}$ is also a regular sequence of length three in \mathcal{S} .

Set $\mathcal{T} = k[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$. Here Y_1, \dots, Y_n are indeterminates over k . Let $\psi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be the k -algebra epimorphism given by $\psi(X_0) = 0, \psi(X_i) = Y_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then we have a natural k -algebra epimorphism $\sigma: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}/x_0\mathcal{R}$ such that the kernel of σ is $\psi(\mathcal{G})$, and $\sigma\psi = \pi$. Here π is the natural projection of \mathcal{S} onto $\mathcal{R}/x_0\mathcal{R}$. Both σ and ψ are homogeneous maps of degree zero.

The d th homogeneous piece, $\psi(\mathcal{G})_d$, of $\psi(\mathcal{G})$ is obviously spanned by $\psi(F_1), \dots, \psi(F_n)$. We claim that these elements are linearly independent over k . This argument is the same as the first paragraph of the proof of Theorem 1 in [3]. Hence we omit it. Set $V = \psi(\mathcal{G})_d$. Then $\dim_k V = n$.

Now \mathcal{R} is a graded ring. We shall denote the j th homogeneous piece of \mathcal{R} by \mathcal{R}_j . Since $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s$ are in generic s -position, we have $\dim_k \mathcal{R}_j = \min\{s, \nu(j)\}$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots$. In particular, we have the following dimensions:

$$(4) \quad \dim_k \mathcal{R}_j = \begin{cases} \nu(j) & \text{if } j = 0, 1, \dots, d - 1, \\ s & \text{if } j \geq d. \end{cases}$$

Since x_0 is not a zero-divisor in \mathfrak{R} , multiplication by x_0 is a monomorphism. Thus, equation (4) implies $\mathfrak{R}/x_0\mathfrak{R}$ has the following form:

$$(5) \quad \mathfrak{R}/x_0\mathfrak{R} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^d \{ \mathfrak{R}_j/x_0\mathfrak{R}_{j-1} \}.$$

In equation (5), the 0th term is k . Now we note that the j th homogeneous piece, \mathfrak{T}_j , of \mathfrak{T} has dimension given by $\dim_k \mathfrak{T}_j = \binom{n+j-1}{n-1}$. But $\binom{n+j-1}{n-1} = \dim_k \{ \mathfrak{R}_j/x_0\mathfrak{R}_{j-1} \}$ for $j = 0, \dots, d-1$. Thus, σ is an isomorphism in degrees $0, 1, \dots, d-1$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{R}/x_0\mathfrak{R} \cong \mathfrak{T}_0 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{T}_{d-1} \oplus \{ \mathfrak{T}_d/V \}$.

Now let

$$\phi: \mathfrak{R}_{d-1}/x_0\mathfrak{R}_{d-2} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k \left(\frac{\mathfrak{R}_1}{x_0\mathfrak{R}_0}, \frac{\mathfrak{R}_d}{x_0\mathfrak{R}_{d-1}} \right)$$

be the natural map induced by multiplication in $\mathfrak{R}/x_0\mathfrak{R}$. Let m denote the homogeneous, maximal ideal in \mathfrak{R} . Then the annihilator of $m/x_0\mathfrak{R}$ in $\mathfrak{R}/x_0\mathfrak{R}$ is given by

$$(6) \quad \text{Ann}\{m/x_0\mathfrak{R}\} = \ker \phi \oplus \{ \mathfrak{R}_d/x_0\mathfrak{R}_{d-1} \}.$$

Since x_0 is not a zero-divisor in \mathfrak{R} , $r(\mathfrak{L}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{L}_s) = \dim_k \{ \text{Ann}\{m/x_0\mathfrak{R}\} \}$. Proofs of these last two facts can be found in [5].

Now equation (5) implies that $\{ \mathfrak{R}_d/x_0\mathfrak{R}_{d-1} \} \cong \mathfrak{T}_d/V$. Since $\dim_k \{ \mathfrak{T}_d/V \} = \binom{n+d-1}{n-1} - n$, the theorem will follow from equation (6) once we have shown that ϕ is a monomorphism. So, we have reduced the proof of the theorem to showing that ϕ is injective when $\mathfrak{L}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{L}_s$ are in uniform position in \mathbf{A}_k^{n+1} .

Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{R}_{d-1}/x_0\mathfrak{R}_{d-2} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \text{Hom}_k \left(\frac{\mathfrak{R}_1}{x_0\mathfrak{R}_0}, \frac{\mathfrak{R}_d}{x_0\mathfrak{R}_{d-1}} \right) \\ \uparrow \sigma_{d-1} & & \uparrow \sigma_d^* \\ \mathfrak{T}_{d-1} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} & \text{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_d) \end{array}$$

In diagram (7), $\tilde{\phi}$ is the obvious monomorphism induced by multiplication in \mathfrak{T} . σ_{d-1} is the $(d-1)$ -piece of the epimorphism σ . We have previously noted that σ_{d-1} is a k -vector space isomorphism. σ_d^* is the surjection induced by the map $\sigma_d: \mathfrak{T}_d \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_d/x_0\mathfrak{R}_{d-1} \cong \mathfrak{T}_d/V$.

Now let $w \in \ker \phi$. Since σ_{d-1} is an isomorphism, there exists a $g \in \mathfrak{T}_{d-1}$ such that $\sigma_{d-1}(g) = w$. The commutativity of diagram (7) implies $\sigma_d^* \tilde{\phi}(g) = 0$. Thus, $g\mathfrak{T}_1 \subseteq V$. In particular, there exist constants $\alpha_{ij} \in k$ such that $gY_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} \psi(F_j)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Now suppose $g \neq 0$. Then gY_1, \dots, gY_n are linearly independent over k . Thus, the matrix (α_{ij}) is invertible. Let (β_{ij}) be the inverse of (α_{ij}) . Then $\psi(F_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(gY_j)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. In particular, $\psi(F_1)$ and $\psi(F_2)$ are in the principal ideal (g) in \mathfrak{T} . Since $\{X_0, F_1, F_2\}$ is a regular sequence in \mathfrak{S} , $\{\psi(F_1), \psi(F_2)\}$ is a regular sequence in \mathfrak{T} . But, this last fact implies the grade of (g) is at least two, which is impossible. Therefore, $g = 0$, and ϕ is a monomorphism. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. M. Baruch and W. C. Brown, *A matrix computation for the Cohen-Macaulay type of s -lines in affine $(n + 1)$ -space*, J. Algebra (to appear).
2. A. Geramita and F. Orecchia, *On the Cohen-Macaulay type of s -lines in \mathbf{A}^{n+1}* , J. Algebra **70** (1981), 116–140.
3. _____, *Minimally generating ideals defining certain tangent curves*, J. Algebra **78** (1982), 36–57.
4. A. Geramita and P. Maroscia, *The ideal of forms vanishing at a finite set of points in \mathbf{P}^n* , Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Math., preprint.
5. L. G. Roberts, *A conjecture on Cohen-Macaulay type*, C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada **3** (1981), 43–48.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48824