TOTA럴Y POSITIVE UNITS AND SQUARES

1. HUGHES AND R. MOLLIN

Abstract. Let K be a finite cyclic extension of the rational number field Q, with Galois group \( G(K/Q) \) of order \( p^n \) for an odd prime \( p \). Armitage and Fröhlich [1] proved that if the order of 2 modulo \( p \) is even and the class number \( h_K \) of \( K \) is odd then \( U_K^- = U_K^2 \), where \( U_K^- \) is the group of units of the ring of integers \( \mathcal{O}_K \) of \( K \), \( U_K^+ \) is the group of totally positive units, and \( U_K^2 \) is the group of unit squares. The purpose of this paper is to provide a generalization of this result to a larger class of abelian extensions of \( Q \).

1. We begin with some definitions and notation. Let \( K \) be a finite real abelian extension of \( Q \). We define \( U_K^0 \) to be \( \{ u \in U_K : u \equiv k^2 \mod(4) \text{ for some } k \in \mathcal{O}_K \} \), and set \( \overline{U_K} = U_K^+ / U_K^2 \), where \( U_K^+ \) is the group of units of the ring of integers \( \mathcal{O}_K \) of \( K \) and \( U_K^2 \) is the group of unit squares. Similarly we set \( \overline{U_K^-} = U_K^- / U_K^2 \), where \( U_K^- \) is the group of totally positive units. It is worth noting at this juncture that the units \( u \) in \( U_K^0 \) are precisely those units for which \( K(\sqrt{u})/K \) is ramified at, at most, the infinite \( K \)-primes when \( |K : Q| \) is odd. This fact follows from Hecke [5] and Kummer theory considerations.

We let \( F_K \) denote the group of cyclotomic units a la Leopoldt [8]. We caution the reader that these are not Hasse’s circular units. \( C_K \) (see [4]), \( F_K \) is a subgroup of \( U_K \) related to \( C_K \), and Leopoldt has obtained the result \( |U_K : F_K| = h_K Q_G \) where \( Q_G \) is an integer depending on the structure of \( G(K/Q) \) and \( h_k \) is the class number of \( K \). For the reader who is interested in an easily understood exposition of Leopoldt’s work in this direction we suggest Oriat’s description [10] as an alternative to [8]. Now, \( F_K^0 \), \( F_K^+ \), \( F_K^- \) and \( F_K^0 \) are defined in an analogous fashion to that of \( U_K \).

We let \( K^{(1)} \) denote the Hilbert class field of \( K \); i.e., \( |K^{(1)} : K| = h_K \); and we let \( K^{(+) \ast} \) denote the “narrow” class field of \( K \); i.e., \( G(K^{(+) \ast}/K) \) is the quotient group of ideals of \( \mathcal{O}_K \) modulo totally positive principal ideals. We note that asking when \( U_K^- = U_K^2 \) is equivalent to asking when \( K^{(+) \ast} = K^{(1)} \). This fact, for real \( K \), is the statement of [7, Theorem 3.1, p. 203], the proof of which uses the Artin map.

2. To prove the main result we first need two lemmas. The first lemma is provided in its most general form since it may be of independent interest.

In the following lemma \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) denotes the field of 2 elements.
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Lemma 1. Let \(|K^{(1)}:Q|\) be odd where \(K\) is Galois over \(Q\). Then
\[
(1) \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{U}_K = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} U_K^0 \quad \text{and} \quad (2) \overline{U}_K \cap U_K^0 = \{1\}.
\]

Proof. (1) Let \(\{\bar{u}_1, \ldots, \bar{u}_n\}\) be an \(\mathbb{F}_2\)-basis of \(\overline{U}_K^0\) where \(u_i \in U_K^0\) for \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\). Let \(K\) be the subfield of \(K^{(1)}\) such that \(|K:F| = 2\), the 2-power part of \(|K^{(1)}:K|\).

Since the elements of \(U_K^0\) are precisely the units of \(\mathcal{O}_K\) such that \(K(\sqrt{u_i})\) is ramified at, at most, the infinite \(K\)-primes then \(K(\sqrt{u_1}, \ldots, \sqrt{u_n}) \subseteq \overline{K}\). Moreover, if \(K(\sqrt{u_1, u_2 \ldots, u_r}) = K\) for some \(r\) with \(1 \leq r \leq n\) then \(u_1 \ldots u_r \in U_K^2\); i.e., \(\bar{u}_1 \ldots \bar{u}_r = 1\) which is a contradiction since the \(\bar{u}_i\)'s are linearly independent as an \(\mathbb{F}_2\)-basis for \(\overline{U}_K^0\).

Now, since \(h_K\) is odd then by class field theory any quadratic extension of \(K\) in \(\overline{K}\) is obtainable by taking roots of units of \(K\). Hence \(\overline{K} = K(\sqrt{u_1}, \ldots, \sqrt{u_n})\). Since \(|U_K^0| = |\overline{K}:K| = |K^{(1)}:K| = |\overline{U}_K|\), we have secured (1).

(2) Let \(1 \neq u \in U_K^+ \cap U_K^0\). Since \(u \in U_K^+\) then \(K(\sqrt{u})/K\) is unramified at all infinite \(K\)-primes. By Kummer theory the only other possibility for ramification in \(K(\sqrt{u})/K\) is at \(K\)-primes above 2. But \(u \in U_K^0\) precludes this possibility. Thus \(K(\sqrt{u})\) is in \(K^{(1)}\), contradicting that \(h_K\) is odd. Q.E.D.

Lemma 2. Let \(|K^{(1)}:Q|\) be odd where \(K\) is abelian over \(Q\). Then \(U_K^+ = F_K^+\).

Proof. We have \(|U_K^+ : U_K^0| = |U_K^+ : F_K| \mid |U_K : F_K^+|\). Moreover,
\[
\[
= |U_K : U_K^0| \mid |F_K : F_K^+| = |U_K : U_K^0| \mid |F_K : F_K^+| = |U_K : F_K| = |U_K : F_K^+| = |U_K^0 : U_K^+| = |U_K^0 : F_K^+| = |F_K : F_K^+|. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}
\]

Now we show that \(|F_K : F_K^+| = |U_K : U_K^0|\). By Leopoldt [8] (see also [10, Proposition IV(b), p. 28]) we have that \(|U_K : F_K|\) is odd because \(h_K\) is odd (see \(\S 1\)). Now let \(U_K^0\) denote the group of absolute values of \(U_K\); i.e., \(U_K^0\) may be identified with \(U_K/\{ \pm 1 \}\). Similarly let \(F_K^0\) denote the group of absolute values of \(F_K\). Thus \(U_K^0\) may be viewed as a free \(\mathbb{Z}\)-module of dimension \(n - 1\) where \(|K : Q| = n\). Therefore there is a basis \(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n-1}\) for \(U_K\) such that \(u_i, u_{i+1}, \ldots, u_{i+n-1}\) is a basis for \(F_K\) where the \(v_i\)'s are positive integers, and so we have \(|U_K : F_K| = |U_K^0 : F_K^0| = v_1 \cdots v_{n-1}\). Hence \(|U_K^0 : U_K^+| = |U_K : F_K^+| = |F_K : F_K^+|\). Q.E.D.

We need one more result in order to prove the main theorem. It is easily seen, and interesting to note, that a well-known paper by Iwasawa [6] in fact holds for the narrow class number \(h_K^{(1)} = |K^{(1)}:K|\) (although [6] is only stated for \(h_K\), the proof holds for \(h_K^{(1)}\) mutatis mutandis). The revised result is:

\((\ast)\) If \(K/k\) is a finite Galois extension of number fields and some finite \(k\)-prime is fully ramified in \(K\) then \(h_K^{(1)} | h_k^{(1)}\). Furthermore, if \(K/k\) is cyclic \(\mathfrak{p}\)-power and no other finite prime ramifies in \(K\) then \(p | h_K^{(1)} \Rightarrow p | h_k^{(1)}\).

As far as \(h_K^{(1)}\) is concerned, the latter part of \((\ast)\) is only interesting for \(p = 2\), as we shall see. From \((\ast)\) it is immediate that:

\((\ast\ast)\) If \(K\) is a finite real Galois extension of \(Q\) and \(k \subseteq K\) such that \(G(K/k)\) is cyclic of \(2\)-power order with exactly one \(k\)-prime ramified in \(K\) then \(\overline{U}_K = \{1\}\) if and only if \(U_K^+ = \{1\}\).
3. The stage is now set for the main result which is a generalization of [1, IV, p. 94] for the odd class number case. In what follows \( Q_2 \) denotes the completion of \( Q \) at 2.

**Theorem.** Let \( K \) be a real finite abelian extension of \( Q \). Suppose \( L \subseteq K \) with \( |L : Q| \) odd, \( G(L/Q) = G \) of exponent \( n \), and \( G(K/L) \) cyclic of 2 power order. If \( K \neq L \) then we assume that exactly one \( L \)-prime ramifies in \( K \). If \( h_L \) is odd and \(-1\) is congruent to a power of 2 modulo \( n \) then \( U_{K}^{+} = U_{L}^{2} \).

**Proof.** From (**) it suffices to show that \( \overline{U}_{L}^{+} = \{1\} \). From [3, Théorème III.2, p. 187] we have that if \( F_{L}^{+} = \bigoplus_{e} \mathcal{F}_{e} \) (where the \( e_i \)'s are idempotents) is a decomposition of \( F_{L}^{+} \) into simple submodules then \( \overline{F}_{L}^{0} = \bigoplus_{e} \mathcal{F}_{e} \psi(e) \) where \( \psi \) is the standard involution of \( \mathcal{F}_{e} G \) given by \( \psi(g) = g^{-1} \) for all \( g \in G \). Since \( h_L \) is odd then by Lemma 2 we have \( \overline{U}_{L}^{+} = \overline{F}_{L}^{+} \). Hence it follows that \( \overline{U}_{L}^{+} \) is mapped onto \( \overline{U}_{L}^{0} \) under \( \psi \).

Now suppose that \( \mathcal{F}_{e} G \) is a simple component of \( \mathcal{F}_{e} G \) corresponding to an absolutely irreducible character \( \chi \) of \( G \); and let \( m = \text{order of } \chi \) in the group of absolutely irreducible characters of \( G \). Then \( G(Q_{2}(e_{m}))/Q_{2} \) is isomorphic to \( H = \{2, 2^{2}, \ldots, 2^{l}\} \) in \((\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{*}\), where \( f = \text{order of 2 modulo } m \). Hence \(-1 \in H \) if and only if \( \chi \) is conjugate to \( \chi^{-1} \) if and only if \( \mathcal{F}_{e} G = \mathcal{F}_{e} G \psi(e) \). Since \(-1\) is congruent to a power of 2 modulo \( n \) by hypothesis then we must have \( \overline{U}_{L}^{+} = \overline{U}_{L}^{0} \). By Lemma 1 we get \( \overline{U}_{L}^{+} = \{1\} \). Q.E.D.

We note that if \(-1\) is not a power of 2 modulo \( n \) then the theorem fails. For example if \( K \) is the subfield of \( Q(\varepsilon_{29}) \) of degree 7 over \( Q \) then \( h_K = 1 \) but \( \overline{U}_{K}^{+} \neq \{1\} \) (see [2, Example 1, p. 380]).

When \( h_K \) is even the proof of the theorem fails to be valid. For example if \( |K : Q| = 3 \) where \( K \subseteq Q(\varepsilon_{163}) \) then \( h_K \) is even, \( \overline{F}_{K}^{+} \neq \{1\} \) and \( \overline{U}_{K}^{+} = \{1\} \) (see [3, p. 188 and 2, p. 383]).

**4. Applications of the theorem.** (1) The Armitage-Fröhlich result [1] for the odd class number case is immediate.

(2) Let \( K = Q(\varepsilon_{p} + \varepsilon_{p}^{-1}) \). As mentioned above it remains an unsolved problem as to the determination of those primes \( p \) for which \( U_{K}^{+} = U_{L}^{2} \). The following, however, advances the solution. If \( F \subseteq K \) with \( |F(1) : Q| \) odd and \( |K : F| \) is a 2-power then whenever \(-1\) is congruent to a power of 2 modulo the exponent of \( G(F/Q) \) we have \( U_{K}^{+} = U_{L}^{2} \).

In particular if \( p \) is a *Fermat prime* then \( F = Q \) and so \( U_{K}^{+} = U_{L}^{2} \) (see also [9]).

(3) If \( K \) is a real subfield of \( Q(\varepsilon_{2}) \) then \( U_{K}^{+} = U_{L}^{2} \). This is Weber's theorem (see [11]). In fact if \( K \) is any real cyclic 2-power extension of \( Q \) with exactly one ramified prime \( K \) then \( U_{K}^{+} = U_{L}^{2} \) (see also [9]).

(4) If \( K = Q(\sqrt{p}) \) for a prime \( p \equiv 1 \rmod 4 \) then \( U_{K}^{+} = U_{L}^{2} \). We note that in the real quadratic field \( K = Q(\sqrt{d}) \) case, asking when \( U_{K}^{+} = U_{L}^{2} \) is equivalent to asking whether there exists a \( u \in U_{K} \) with norm \(-1\) (see [7]). This is currently an unsolved problem.

**5. Open questions.** We close with some questions, the answers to which would provide a means of generating more examples (which are needed to gain evidence for advancing the theorem).
Suppose \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) are finite real abelian extensions of \( Q \). It can be easily shown that \( \overline{U_{F_1}} \times \overline{U_{F_2}} \) injects into \( \overline{U_{F_1 \cap F_2}} \). A natural question to ask is:

(a) Is \( \overline{U_{F_1}} \times \overline{U_{F_2}} \) isomorphic to \( \overline{U_{F_1 \cap F_2}} \)? or

(b) If (a) has a negative answer then: What restrictions can be made in terms of the arithmetic of \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) to guarantee that \( \overline{U_{F_1}} \times \overline{U_{F_2}} \) and \( \overline{U_{F_1 \cap F_2}} \) are isomorphic?

We leave the reader with an example, pertaining to (a) and (b), which we feel is worth investigating toward the possibility of finding a counterexample to (a) or, at worst, providing further evidence that (a) has an affirmative answer. Moreover, it would add to the quite short list of available examples.

Consider the prime \( p = 18121 \). Let \( K \) be the subfield of \( Q(\sqrt[15]{p}) \) of degree 15 over \( Q \). Let \( F_i \subseteq K \) for \( i = 1, 2 \) with \( |F_1 : Q| = 3 \) and \( |F_2 : Q| = 5 \). By Gras [3, Remark IV.4, p. 189] \( h_F \) is odd for \( i = 1, 2 \). Therefore since \(-1\) is a power of 2 modulo both 3 and 5, then by our theorem \( \overline{U_{F_i}} = \{1\} \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). However \(-1\) is not a power of 2 modulo 15. Moreover by Gras [3] \( h_K \) is even (in fact divisible by 8) so we cannot say anything about \( \overline{U_{F_1 \cap F_2}} \). Is \( \overline{U_{F_1 \cap F_2}} = \{1\} \)?
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